



*Mental Health,
Developmental Disabilities,
and Substance Abuse Services*
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

2015 MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE CONSUMER PERCEPTION OF CARE REPORT

N.C. Department of Health and Human Services

Quality Management Section

Division of Mental Health, Developmental Disabilities, and Substance Abuse Services

April 2016

Contents

Overview of 2015 MH/SA Consumer Perception of Care Survey.....	3
Perception of Care Domains	5
Perception of Care Domain Scores	5
Domain Score Confidence Intervals.....	6
Data Limitations and Interpretation of Results	7
Statewide Annual Trends in Consumer Perceptions of Care	9
2015 Survey Respondent Characteristics	12
Adult Survey.....	12
Youth Survey.....	13
Family Survey	14
Respondent Characteristics and Perceptions of Care.....	16
Consumer Age.....	16
Race/Ethnic Background.....	16
Gender	17
Primary Service Type.....	17
LME-MCO Respondent Sample Variations	20
Consumer Age.....	20
Race/Ethnic Background.....	20
Primary Service Type and Gender.....	21
Perception of Care Survey Results	23
LME-MCO Consumer Perceptions of Care	24
Adult Survey.....	25
Youth Survey.....	34
Family Survey	41
LME-MCO Network	48
Adult Physical Health	52

Overview of 2015 MH/SA Consumer Perception of Care Survey

The North Carolina Mental Health and Substance Abuse Consumer Perception of Care Survey assesses consumer satisfaction and perceptions of quality and outcomes of publicly funded Mental Health (MH) and Substance Use (SU) services. The annual survey satisfies a Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) reporting requirement for the Community Mental Health Services Block Grant.

Statewide survey results are reported to SAMHSA each year for compilation and comparison to national data. To support quality monitoring at the regional level, the NC Division of MH/DD/SAS also shares survey data with the Local Management Entities-Managed Care Organizations (LME-MCOs) and publishes results by LME-MCO in an annual report.

The main component of the Perception of Care instruments administered in North Carolina is the nationally standardized Mental Health Statistical Improvement Project (MHSIP) survey. MHSIP survey questions measure aspects of care related to the following domains:

- *Access to Services*
- *Treatment Planning*
- *Quality and Appropriateness*
- *Cultural Sensitivity*
- *Outcomes*
- *Functioning*
- *Social Connectedness*
- *General Satisfaction*

NC Adult, Youth, and Family Perception of Care Surveys each include additional background questions and a short section about the consumer's experience with the LME-MCO and its providers. The Adult Survey also includes questions about respondents' physical health.

The confidential surveys are administered in English or Spanish at consumers' provider agencies during a specified time period each year. The Adult Survey version is completed by individuals ages 18 years and older, the Youth Survey by consumers ages 12 to 17 years, and the Family Survey by parents, family members, or guardians of children 11 years of age and younger.

The current report summarizes data from the 2015 survey, which was administered between May 18, 2015 and June 15, 2015. Each North Carolina LME-MCO was required to submit a total number of completed surveys approximately equal to five percent of its monthly number of Medicaid, State, and Federal Block Grant MH and SU consumers served. The total required number of surveys was distributed across adult (67%), youth (22%), and child (11%) consumer populations. (See Table 1.) Results in this report are based on all surveys for which respondents answered at least one question about their services, LME-MCO, or physical health. (See Table 2.)

TABLE 1: 2015 MH/SA CONSUMER PERCEPTION OF CARE SURVEYS REQUIRED PER LME-MCO

LME-MCO	Adult	Youth	Family	Total	State %
Alliance Behavioral Healthcare	659	216	108	983	16.4%
Cardinal Innovations Healthcare Solutions	816	268	134	1,218	20.3%
CenterPoint Human Services	200	66	33	299	5.0%
CoastalCare	270	89	44	403	6.7%
Eastpointe	360	118	59	537	9.0%
East Carolina Behavioral Health	263	86	43	392	6.5%
Partners Behavioral Health	426	140	70	636	10.6%
Sandhills Center	466	153	77	696	11.6%
Smoky Mountain Center	551	181	80	812	13.6%
State Total	4,011	1,317	658	5,986	100.0%

TABLE 2: 2015 MH/SA CONSUMER PERCEPTION OF CARE SURVEYS COMPLETED PER LME-MCO*

LME-MCO	Adult	Youth	Family	Total	State %
Alliance Behavioral Healthcare	610	285	280	1,175	16.7%
Cardinal Innovations Healthcare Solutions	773	233	127	1,133	16.1%
CenterPoint Human Services	551	116	63	730	10.4%
CoastalCare	347	127	68	542	7.7%
Eastpointe	375	118	70	563	8.0%
East Carolina Behavioral Health	282	91	56	429	6.1%
Partners Behavioral Health	588	212	83	883	12.6%
Sandhills Center	492	147	51	690	9.8%
Smoky Mountain Center	601	185	92	878	12.5%
State Total	4,619	1,514	890	7,023	100.0%

* Respondent answered at least one question about their services, LME-MCO, or physical health.

Perception of Care Domains

Adult, Youth, and Family surveys assess different subsets of the eight MHSIP domains, as shown in Table 3. The North Carolina Adult and Youth surveys include two additional *Recovery Outcomes* and *Recovery Support* items.

TABLE 3: CONSUMER PERCEPTION OF CARE SURVEY DOMAINS

	Adult Survey (18 Years and Older)	Youth Survey (12 to 17 Years)	Family Survey (Children Under 12)
MHSIP Domains			
<i>Access to Services</i>	✓	✓	✓
<i>Treatment Planning</i>	✓	✓	✓
<i>Quality and Appropriateness</i>	✓		
<i>Cultural Sensitivity</i>		✓	✓
<i>Outcomes</i>	✓	✓	✓
<i>Functioning</i>	✓		✓
<i>Social Connectedness</i>	✓		✓
<i>General Satisfaction</i>	✓	✓	✓
State Variation Questions			
<i>Recovery Outcomes</i>	✓	✓	
<i>Recovery Support</i>	✓	✓	

Perception of Care Domain Scores

Responses to each Perception of Care survey question are assigned number scores. The scores range from 1 (Strongly Agree, indicating a positive perception) to 5 (Strongly Disagree, indicating a negative perception) with a neutral point of 3. A numerical score for each MHSIP domain then is computed as the average number score for the items that count toward the domain.

For reporting purposes, respondent domain scores are grouped into Positive, Neutral, and Negative categories. The percentage of Positive scores for a domain is equal to the proportion of respondents with an average item score between 1.00 and 2.49. Neutral scores range from 2.50 to 3.49, and Negative scores range from 3.50 to 5.00.

A domain score is calculated only if a respondent answered two-thirds or more of the domain items. Responses of “N/A” (not applicable) are excluded for the purpose of computing domain scores. For these reasons, total numbers of respondents with calculated scores for each domain are generally smaller than the total number of survey respondents. The number of respondents also varies across survey items and domains.

For the single item Adult and Youth measures of *Recovery Outcomes* and *Recovery Support*, the percentage of Positive scores is calculated as the proportion of respondents who selected Strongly Agree or Agree. Midpoint responses are assigned to the Neutral category. Disagree and Strongly Disagree are assigned to the Negative category.

Domain Score Confidence Intervals

In later sections of this report, survey domain scores for different groups of respondents are shown with their 95 percent confidence intervals. The confidence interval (CI) is a range of values around a score. The stated confidence level of a CI is the probability that it includes the true population score. Scores based on a sample of a population are estimates. They may differ from true population scores due to sampling error.

The number of points the CI extends in positive and negative directions from the sample score is the margin of error. The difference between two group scores is statistically significant at the stated confidence level when the CIs around them do not overlap to contain any of the same values. A “statistically significant” difference between sample scores means the population scores are probably different.

In general, larger samples produce more reliable estimates of population scores. Because the estimates contain less sampling error, the CIs around sample scores from larger samples are smaller. Scores based on smaller samples contain more error and their CIs have wider margins of error.¹

In this report, LME-MCO percentage score CIs differ considerably due to variations in survey sample sizes. CIs for individual LME-MCOs also are wider than CIs for State Total percentages. This is because State Total scores are based on the much larger combined sample of respondents from all LME-MCOs.

¹ Given equal sample sizes, confidence intervals for more extreme scores—those close to zero or 100 percent—will also be smaller than those for scores that are closer to 50 percent.

Data Limitations and Interpretation of Results

Group scores and group differences presented in this report should be evaluated and interpreted carefully. Several factors may limit conclusions that can be drawn from survey results. These include sampling methods, sample sizes and characteristics, and the distinction between statistical and practical significance.

First, the calculation of confidence intervals and their use in the comparison of sample scores assumes the groups being compared are random samples of their populations. Random sampling helps ensure that a sample represents the features of the larger population from which it is selected. Non-random samples are less likely to yield accurate estimates of the larger population.

LME-MCO Perception of Care survey samples are not truly random for several reasons. For example, survey administration occurs only during a certain period each year, and only individuals who receive services at that time are able to participate. Further, survey participation is voluntary, and self-selection factors may influence who agrees or declines to participate. As a result, samples may differ in known and unknown ways from their populations, and some may be more different than others.

Second, LME-MCO consumer populations or respondent samples may be systematically different from one another. For example, gender distributions, participant race/ethnic backgrounds, and percentages of MH and SU consumers may vary. These variations may contribute to LME-MCO score differences if the different consumer groups also experience, perceive, and/or report aspects of their care in systematically different ways.

As a result, LME-MCO score differences may in part reflect systematic differences between their consumer populations or respondent samples. That is not to say the score differences are not real. However, their interpretation should include consideration of systematic differences between respondent samples that may influence survey scores.

Third, survey sample sizes vary across LME-MCOs. Those who serve smaller numbers of individuals also generally administer fewer surveys. This proportionate sampling approach helps to ensure that the full sample more closely resembles the consumer population statewide. However, as previously discussed, scores based on smaller samples have larger margins of error.

For this reason, scores in this report for LME-MCOs that serve smaller populations generally have wider confidence intervals than scores for LME-MCOs that serve larger populations.²

Finally, statistically significant differences are not always of practical or clinical importance. On the other hand, score differences that seem small may reflect meaningful differences in consumer experiences. For these reasons, survey scores in this report may be most useful when evaluated and interpreted together with other sources of data that measure similar aspects of consumer care and outcomes.

² CIs may assist in the interpretation of differences between LME-MCO scores, but they cannot be used to draw conclusions about the statistical significance of a difference between an LME-MCO score and the corresponding State Total. This is because the State Total includes all of the responses that make up the LME-MCO scores. Survey data from LME-MCOs with larger samples influences State Totals more heavily, and so large LME-MCOs are less likely to differ substantially from the State Total. State Totals include a smaller number of responses from consumers of smaller LME-MCOs. As a result, it is mathematically more possible for smaller LME-MCOs to have more distant scores from the State Total.

Statewide Annual Trends in Consumer Perceptions of Care

Statewide Adult Survey, Youth Survey, and Family Survey MHSIP domain scores for the 2011 through 2015 survey years are shown in Figures 1, 2, and 3. Several trends in consumer perceptions are apparent across this period.

- Across all five years and all domains, Family respondents reported the most positive perceptions, and Youth respondents reported the least positive perceptions.
- Larger percentages of respondents reported positive perceptions for domains that assess experiences with providers (*Access, Treatment Planning, Quality and Appropriateness, Cultural Sensitivity, and General Satisfaction*) compared to domains that pertain more to consumers' treatment outcomes and other relationships (*Outcomes, Functioning, and Social Connectedness*).
- Domains for which 90 percent or more respondents consistently reported positive perceptions include the following:
 - *Adult Quality and Appropriateness and General Satisfaction*
 - *Youth Cultural Sensitivity*
 - *Family Cultural Sensitivity, Treatment Planning, Access, and General Satisfaction*
- Domains consistently rated positively by fewer than 80 percent of respondents include the following:
 - *Adult Outcomes, Functioning, and Social Connectedness*
 - *Youth Outcomes and Treatment Planning*
 - *Family scores for child Outcomes and Functioning*
- Domains with the most stable scores over the five-year period include the following:
 - *Adult General Satisfaction*
 - *Youth Cultural Sensitivity*
 - *Family General Satisfaction and Treatment Planning*
- Domains with the most variable scores include the following:
 - *Adult Treatment Planning*
 - *Youth Access and Treatment Planning*
 - *Family scores for child Functioning and Family Social Connectedness*

FIGURE 1: STATEWIDE TRENDS IN ADULT SURVEY MHSIP DOMAIN SCORES




FIGURE 2: STATEWIDE TRENDS IN YOUTH SURVEY MHSIP DOMAIN SCORES





FIGURE 3: STATEWIDE TRENDS IN FAMILY SURVEY MHSIP DOMAIN SCORES FOR CHILDREN UNDER 12 YEARS




*Beginning in 2013, Family Survey *Outcomes* and *Functioning* MHSIP domain scores are based on five common items, and both domains include one additional unique item.

2015 Survey Respondent Characteristics

Adult Survey


The 2015 Adult Survey sample of 4,619 individuals included more female (59%) than male (41%) respondents. The average respondent age was 41.1 years and did not differ by gender. Although the Adult survey is intended for individuals 18 years and older, a small number of respondents reported ages younger than 18 years.

FIGURE 1: ADULT RESPONDENT AGE DISTRIBUTION




Over half of respondents reported that their racial background is best described as White, and almost one-third selected Black/African American. Response options selected by fewer respondents were American Indian/Native American, Alaskan Native, Multiracial, Asian, and Pacific Islander. (See Figure 2.) Of the total sample, including respondents who identified as White, Multiracial, Black/African American, American Indian/Native American, Pacific Islander, and Other, 2.8 percent also identified as Hispanic or Latino.

FIGURE 2: ADULT RESPONDENT RACE/ETHNICITY



Most (73%) Adults reported that their primary reason for receiving services was related to Mental Health. Just over one-quarter (27%) reported the primary reason was Substance Use. As shown in Figure 3, this varied by respondent gender, with a larger percentage of men than women reporting SU as the primary reason for services.


FIGURE 3: ADULT RESPONDENT GENDER AND PRIMARY REASON FOR SERVICES



Youth Survey

The Youth Survey sample of 1,514 individuals consisted of 55 percent male respondents with an average age of 14.5 years, and 45 percent female respondents with an average age of 14.9 years. The mean age for the full sample was 14.7 years. Although the Youth survey is intended for individuals ages 12 to 17 years, four percent of respondents reported ages younger than 12 or older than 17. (See Figure 4.)


FIGURE 4: YOUTH RESPONDENT AGE DISTRIBUTION



Just under half of respondents identified as White, and approximately one-third identified as Black/African American. Percentages of respondents who selected these and other response options are shown in Figure 5. More than one of every eight respondents, including individuals


who self-identified with every racial background, also self-identified as Hispanic or Latino (13.6%).

FIGURE 5: YOUTH RESPONDENT RACE/ETHNICITY



Few Youth respondents reported a primary reason for receiving services related to SU (8%). Most reported MH (92%) as the primary reason. As shown in Figure 6, male respondents were somewhat more likely to report SU as the primary reason for services.


FIGURE 6: YOUTH RESPONDENT GENDER AND PRIMARY REASON FOR SERVICES



Family Survey


Family Surveys were completed for 890 children (63% male, 37% female) with an average reported age of 8.9 years. Although the survey is intended to be completed for children 11 years of age and younger, over 10 percent of respondents reported child ages of 12 years or older. (See Figure 7.)

FIGURE 7: FAMILY SURVEY CHILD AGE DISTRIBUTION



Most respondents reported a child racial background of White or Black/African American. One-tenth selected Multiracial, and small numbers of respondents reported backgrounds of American Indian/Native American and Asian/Pacific Islander. (See Figure 8.) Approximately one out of every seven child consumers, including those from White, Multiracial, Black/African American, Pacific Islander, and Other racial backgrounds, also was described by the survey respondent as Hispanic or Latino (14.3%).

FIGURE 8: FAMILY SURVEY CHILD RACE/ETHNICITY



Virtually all Family survey respondents reported MH (98.7%) rather than SU (1.3%) as the primary reason for children’s services.

Respondent Characteristics and Perceptions of Care

The previous section of this report describes characteristics of Adult and Youth survey respondents and Family survey child consumers. This section describes results of analyses to determine if perceptions of care varied with these consumer demographic characteristics.

Survey domain scores were examined in relation to respondent or child consumer age, and consumer race/ethnic background and gender group scores were compared to one another. For Adult and Youth samples, scores for respondents with MH and SU primary service types also were compared. Family survey MH and SU groups were not compared due to the small number of child consumers with a primary service type of SU.

Consumer Age

Correlation coefficients were computed between consumer age and each Adult, Youth, and Family survey numerical domain score.³ Consumer age was unrelated to any survey domain within any of the three samples.⁴ Where a correlation coefficient of +/-1.0 indicates a perfect predictive relationship and a correlation of 0.0 indicates no relationship at all, all correlations were smaller than +/-0.10.

Race/Ethnic Background

Due to relatively small numbers in all three samples of respondents who identified their race/ethnic background as other than Black/African American or White, a full examination of racial and ethnic group variations was not conducted. For each survey, average domain scores for only the two largest respondent groups were compared.

Youth and Family respondents who identified themselves or child consumers as Black/African American and White did not differ on any survey domain. For Adults, the two groups differed significantly on only two survey domains. A slightly higher percentage of Black/African American (93%) than White (90%) respondents reported positive perceptions related to *Access*.

³ The numerical domain score is the average item score for all of the items that count toward the domain.


⁴ As shown in Figures 1, 2, and 3, however, scores for similar domains did vary somewhat across the three survey respondent populations. Family survey respondents tended to report the most positive perceptions, and Youth survey respondents reported the least positive perceptions overall.

However, more White (88%) than Black/African American (83%) respondents reported positive *Recovery Outcomes* as a result of their services.⁵

Gender

Comparison of Adult respondent scores indicated small but significant gender differences in two survey domains. Higher percentages of Adult male respondents reported positive *Outcomes* and positive *Functioning* as a result of services. (See Figure 9.)

FIGURE 9: MHSIP DOMAINS, PERCENT POSITIVE BY ADULT RESPONDENT GENDER



*Scores are significantly different. The 95% CIs for all Adult survey domains are within approximately +/-2.1% for male respondents and +/-2.0% for female respondents. Gender group differences of approximately 4% and greater are statistically significant.


No Youth or Family survey domain differed by consumer gender.

Primary Service Type

Larger significant differences between Adult MH and SU consumer respondents were observed. Adults who reported SU as the primary reason for services were more likely to report positive *Outcomes*, *Functioning*, and *Social Connectedness*. (See Figure 10.)

⁵ 95% CIs were within approximately +/-1.4% for Black/African American *Access*, +/-1.1% for White *Access*, +/-1.9% for Black/African American *Recovery Outcomes*, and +/-1.3% for White *Recovery Outcomes* scores.


FIGURE 10: MHSIP DOMAINS, PERCENT POSITIVE BY ADULT RESPONDENT PRIMARY SERVICE TYPE



*Scores are significantly different. The 95% CIs for all Adult survey domains are within approximately +/-1.6% for MH respondents and +/-2.2% for SU respondents. Group differences of approximately 4% and greater are statistically significant.

Significantly more Adult SU consumers also reported positive *Recovery Support*. (See Figure 11.)


FIGURE 11: RECOVERY OUTCOMES AND SUPPORT, PERCENT POSITIVE BY ADULT SERVICE TYPE



*Scores are significantly different. The 95% CIs for all Adult survey domains are within approximately +/-1.6% for MH respondents and +/-2.2% for SU respondents. Group differences of approximately 4% and greater are statistically significant.

Among Youth respondents, a higher percentage of MH consumers reported positive *Access*. (See Figure 12.)


FIGURE 12: MHSIP DOMAINS, PERCENT POSITIVE BY YOUTH RESPONDENT SERVICE TYPE



*Scores are significantly different. The 95% CIs for all Youth survey domains are within approximately +/-2.5% for MH respondents and +/-7.3% for SU respondents. Group differences of approximately 10% and greater are statistically significant.

More Youth MH than SU consumers reported positive *Recovery Outcomes*. (See Figure 13.)

FIGURE 13: RECOVERY OUTCOMES AND SUPPORT, PERCENT POSITIVE BY YOUTH PRIMARY SERVICE TYPE



*Scores are significantly different. The 95% CIs for all Youth survey domains are within approximately +/-2.5% for MH respondents and +/-7.3% for SU respondents. Group differences of approximately 10% and greater are statistically significant.

LME-MCO Respondent Sample Variations

In the previous section of this report, some survey domain scores were shown to vary with respondent race/ethnic background, gender, or primary service type. This section of the report explores the extent to which respondent characteristics that may be systematically related to scores in one or more survey domains also vary across LME-MCOs. If these respondent characteristics are represented in different proportions across LME-MCOs, they also may contribute to differences between LME-MCO scores.

For example, primary service type and gender both were shown to relate to Adult respondent perceptions of *Outcomes*. More male and SU respondents than female and MH respondents reported positive perceptions in this domain. As a result, LME-MCO Adult survey samples that include larger percentages of male and/or SU consumers may also have higher *Outcomes* scores than LME-MCOs that include smaller percentages of male and/or SU respondents.

Importantly, the identification of respondent group differences in perceptions of care does not explain why the differences occur. Rather, understanding how variations across LME-MCOs relate to consumer characteristics may help to identify population subgroups who face added or unique obstacles to accessing culturally appropriate, quality care and/or positive service outcomes.

Consumer Age

No significant differences in consumer age were observed for either Adult or Youth survey respondents across LME-MCOs. Small but significant differences were observed for Family survey child consumer age. Across LME-MCOs, average child age ranged from 8.2 to 10.0 years. However, as reported in the previous section, consumer age was unrelated to any survey domain score within any of the three samples.

Race/Ethnic Background

As reported previously, the only race/ethnic group differences in perception of care domains occurred for Adult survey *Access* and *Recovery Outcomes*. Small observed score differences between Black/African American and White respondents present the possibility that LME-MCO scores in these domains may vary slightly with their relative proportions of Black/African American and White survey respondents.⁶

⁶ Black/African American and White respondents together make up between 77 and 96 percent of each LME-MCO Adult survey sample. American Indians/Native Americans are the only other group to comprise more than five

Each LME-MCO's Adult survey sample percentages of respondents who identified as Black/African American and White, and the percentages of all other respondents combined, are presented in Table 4. These percentages show the primary race/ethnic background differences across LME-MCO survey samples. This information is provided to aid in the interpretation of LME-MCO scores presented in later sections of this report.⁷

TABLE 4: LME-MCO ADULT SURVEY SAMPLE RACE/ETHNIC BACKGROUND PERCENTAGES*

LME-MCO	Black/African American	White	All Other Respondents
Alliance [†]	46% ^b	43% ^{a,b}	11% ^a
Cardinal	29% ^{a,b}	63% ^{a,b}	8% ^a
CenterPoint	36% ^{a,b}	57% ^{a,b}	7% ^a
CoastalCare	21% ^{a,b}	71% ^{a,b}	8% ^a
Eastpointe [‡]	48% ^b	29% ^a	23% ^b
ECBH	42% ^b	53% ^{a,b}	5% ^a
Partners	14% ^a	82% ^b	4% ^a
Sandhills [§]	45% ^b	46% ^{a,b}	9% ^a
Smoky	6% ^a	86% ^b	8% ^a
State Total	31%	61%	9%

*The 95% CIs for LME-MCO percentages in Table 4 all are within approximately +/-6%. LME-MCO differences of approximately 12% and greater between percentages in the same column are statistically significant.

a Percentage is significantly lower than one or more scores in the same column.

b Percentage is significantly higher than one or more scores in the same column.

[†] Across groups, 5% of respondents also identified as Latino or Hispanic.

[‡] Included in Other column, 17% of all respondents identified as American Indian/Native American.

[§] Included in Other column, 5% of all respondents identified as American Indian/Native American.

Primary Service Type and Gender

As previously described, larger percentages of Adult male and SU consumers reported positive *Outcomes* and *Functioning*. More Adult SU than MH consumers also reported positive *Social Connectedness* and *Recovery Support*. Compared to Youth SU consumers, significantly more Youth MH consumers reported positive *Access* and *Recovery Support*.

LME-MCO percentages of Adult and Youth survey male and female respondents and MH and SU consumers are presented in Table 5. This information is provided to aid in the interpretation of LME-MCO scores presented in later sections of this report.

percent of any LME-MCO sample. Systematic differences in perceptions of care between other race/ethnic groups thus are less likely to contribute substantially to differences between LME-MCO survey domain scores.

⁷ Specifically, a relatively low percentage of Black/African American respondents and/or a relatively high percentage of White respondents compared to other LME-MCOs may predict slightly higher *Access* and slightly lower *Recovery Support* scores.

TABLE 5: LME-MCO SAMPLE GENDER AND PRIMARY SERVICE TYPE PERCENTAGES*

	Adult Survey				Youth Survey			
	Gender		Service Type		Gender		Service Type	
LME-MCO	Male	Female	MH	SU	Male	Female	MH	SU
Alliance	39%	61%	73% ^b	27% ^a	51%	49%	94%	6%
Cardinal	40%	60%	81% ^b	19% ^a	53%	47%	92%	8%
CenterPoint	42%	58%	77% ^b	23% ^a	68% ^b	32% ^a	87%	13%
CoastalCare	46%	54%	73% ^b	27% ^a	45% ^a	55% ^b	92%	8%
Eastpointe	37%	63%	72% ^b	28% ^a	55%	45%	92%	8%
ECBH	42%	58%	54% ^a	46% ^b	60%	40%	98%	2%
Partners	37%	63%	67% ^{a,b}	33% ^{a,b}	61%	39%	96%	4%
Sandhills	46%	54%	69% ^{a,b}	31% ^{a,b}	49%	51%	87%	13%
Smoky Mtn	43%	57%	77% ^b	23% ^a	55%	45%	89%	11%
State Total	41%	59%	73%	27%	55%	45%	92%	8%

*Adult sample 95% CIs for LME-MCO percentages in Table 4 all are within approximately +/-6%. LME-MCO differences of approximately 12% and greater between Adult survey percentages in the same column are statistically significant. Youth sample 95% CIs all are within approximately +/-10%. LME-MCO differences of approximately 20% and greater between Youth survey percentages in the same column are statistically significant.

a Percentage is significantly lower than one or more scores in the same column.

b Percentage is significantly higher than one or more scores in the same column.

Youth primary service type proportions did not differ substantially across LME-MCOs. However, significant variations in proportions of Adult MH and SU consumers did occur. Consideration of these proportions is advised in the interpretation of LME-MCO Adult *Outcomes*, *Functioning*, *Social Connectedness*, and *Recovery Support* domain scores, which were shown earlier to vary with consumer primary service type.⁸

Few significant differences in respondent gender proportions occurred across LME-MCOs. However, the relative proportions of male and female respondents in combination with the LME-MCO distribution of MH and SU respondents may relate to LME-MCO domain score differences. This is most likely in the Adult *Outcomes* and *Functioning* domains, for which difference between genders and between MH and SU consumers were observed.⁹

⁸ A relatively high percentage of Adult SU respondents compared to other LME-MCOs may predict higher scores in these domains, and a relatively low percentage of Adult SU respondents may be associated with lower scores.

⁹ For example, relatively high proportions of both Adult female and MH respondents compared to other LME-MCOs may predict lower scores in these domains, and relatively high proportions of Adult male and SU respondents may predict higher scores.

Perception of Care Survey Results

The remainder of this report presents 2015 MH/SA Consumer Perception of Care Survey results in three sections:

LME-MCO Consumer Perceptions of Care are presented for Adult, Youth, and Family surveys in sequence. Results for each MHSIP survey domain and N.C. Recovery item are presented on a separate page that includes the following:

1. A summary statement of the statewide percentages of respondents with positive and negative scores on the domain;
2. A list of the items that count toward the domain;
3. A bar chart that shows the percentage of respondents from each LME-MCO with positive domain scores; and
4. A summary table that shows each LME-MCO's total number of respondents with a calculated score for the domain, and percentages of respondents with negative, neutral, and positive scores.

LME-MCO Network survey responses are summarized on separate pages for Adult, Youth, and Family surveys. Data tables show statewide and LME-MCO percentages of respondents who responded, "Yes" to each question, or who selected each response option.

Adult Survey Physical Health results are presented in summary data tables and charts that show statewide and LME-MCO average scores or percentages of respondents who selected each question response option.

LME-MCO Consumer Perceptions of Care


ADULT SURVEY: ACCESS¹⁰

Statewide, 91 percent of adult respondent Access domain scores were positive, and one percent were negative.

ADULT ACCESS DOMAIN ITEMS

- The location of services was convenient (parking, public transportation, distance, etc.).
- Staff were willing to see me as often as I felt it was necessary.
- Staff returned my call in 24 hours.
- Services were available at times that were good for me.
- I was able to get all the services I thought I needed.
- I was able to see a psychiatrist when I wanted to.

ADULT ACCESS: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-2.2% to +/-4.0%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

ADULT ACCESS: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	589	0.5%	6.6%	92.9%
Cardinal	742	1.1%	8.2%	90.7%
CenterPoint	528	1.3%	13.3%	85.4%
CoastalCare	336	0.6%	5.7%	93.8%
Eastpointe	278	0.3%	4.1%	95.6%
ECBH	366	0.7%	11.5%	87.8%
Partners	563	0.2%	8.3%	91.5%
Sandhills	454	1.1%	9.3%	89.6%
Smoky Mtn	575	1.9%	8.0%	90.1%
State Total	4,431	0.9%	8.4%	90.7%

¹⁰ LME-MCO scores in this Adult Survey domain may vary slightly with proportions of Black/African American and/or White respondents.


ADULT SURVEY: *TREATMENT PLANNING*

Statewide, 87 percent of adult respondent *Treatment Planning* domain scores were positive, and two percent were negative.

ADULT *TREATMENT PLANNING* DOMAIN ITEMS

- I felt comfortable asking questions about my treatment and medication.
- I, not staff, decided my treatment goals.

ADULT *TREATMENT PLANNING*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-2.6% to +/-3.7%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

ADULT *TREATMENT PLANNING*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	568	1.4%	10.7%	87.9%
Cardinal	720	2.1%	12.4%	85.6%
CenterPoint	522	3.6%	17.2%	79.1%
CoastalCare	332	0.6%	6.6%	92.8%
Eastpointe	349	0.9%	7.2%	92.0%
ECBH	270	2.2%	7.4%	90.4%
Partners	557	0.7%	9.9%	89.4%
Sandhills	450	2.4%	10.0%	87.6%
Smoky Mtn	561	2.7%	15.0%	82.4%
State Total	4,329	1.9%	11.3%	86.7%


ADULT SURVEY: *QUALITY AND APPROPRIATENESS*

Statewide, 94 percent of adult respondent *Quality and Appropriateness* domain scores were positive, and fewer than one percent were negative.

ADULT *QUALITY AND APPROPRIATENESS* DOMAIN ITEMS

- Staff here believe that I can grow, change and recover.
- I felt free to complain.
- Staff told me what side effects to watch out for.
- Staff respected my wishes about who is, and who is not, to be given information about my treatment.
- Staff were sensitive to my cultural background.
- Staff helped me obtain the information I needed so that I could take charge of managing my illness.
- I was given information about my rights.
- I was encouraged to used consumer-run programs.
- Staff encouraged me to take responsibility for how I live my life.

ADULT *QUALITY AND APPROPRIATENESS*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-1.7% to +/-3.2%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

ADULT *QUALITY/APPROPRIATENESS*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	597	0.3%	3.7%	96.0%
Cardinal	750	0.7%	6.4%	92.9%
CenterPoint	536	0.4%	9.7%	89.9%
CoastalCare	341	0.0%	3.5%	96.5%
Eastpointe	366	0.0%	3.0%	97.0%
ECBH	280	0.4%	6.8%	92.9%
Partners	578	0.2%	3.8%	96.0%
Sandhills	482	1.0%	5.8%	93.2%
Smoky Mtn	588	0.2%	7.0%	92.9%
State Total	4,518	0.4%	5.6%	94.0%

ADULT SURVEY: *OUTCOMES*¹¹

Statewide, 76 percent of adult respondent *Outcomes* domain scores were positive, and four percent were negative.


ADULT *OUTCOMES* DOMAIN ITEMS

As a direct result of the services I received...

- I deal more effectively with daily problems.
- I am better able to control my life.
- I am better able to deal with crisis.
- I am getting along better with my family.
- I do better in social situations.
- I do better in school and/or work.
- My symptoms are not bothering me as much.*
- My housing situation has improved.

*Item also counts toward Functioning domain

ADULT *OUTCOMES*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-3.3% to +/-4.7%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

ADULT *OUTCOMES*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	587	3.6%	18.4%	78.0%
Cardinal	738	3.9%	23.3%	72.8%
CenterPoint	522	4.8%	26.2%	69.0%
CoastalCare	339	2.7%	21.8%	75.5%
Eastpointe	366	2.7%	18.9%	78.4%
ECBH	277	0.7%	17.3%	81.9%
Partners	565	4.1%	19.1%	76.8%
Sandhills	476	3.2%	20.0%	76.9%
Smoky Mtn	563	4.1%	21.1%	74.8%
State Total	4,433	3.5%	21.0%	75.5%

¹¹ LME-MCO scores in this Adult Survey domain may vary with proportions of MH and SU consumers and male and female respondents.

ADULT SURVEY: *FUNCTIONING*¹²

Statewide, 78 percent of adult respondent *Functioning* domain scores were positive, and seven percent were negative.


ADULT *FUNCTIONING* DOMAIN ITEMS

As a direct result of the services I received...

- My symptoms are not bothering me as much.*
- I do things that are more meaningful to me.
- I am better able to take care of my needs.
- I am better able to handle things when they go wrong.
- I am better able to do things that I want to do.

*Item also counts toward Outcomes domain.

ADULT *FUNCTIONING*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-3.3% to +/-4.5%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

ADULT *FUNCTIONING*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	590	3.2%	18.5%	78.3%
Cardinal	738	6.2%	22.0%	71.8%
CenterPoint	526	6.1%	23.0%	70.9%
CoastalCare	340	3.8%	16.8%	79.4%
Eastpointe	365	4.4%	18.1%	77.5%
ECBH	276	1.8%	14.1%	84.1%
Partners	572	3.5%	17.8%	78.7%
Sandhills	483	3.7%	18.0%	78.3%
Smoky Mtn	565	6.5%	15.2%	78.2%
State Total	4,455	4.6%	18.6%	76.8%

¹² LME-MCO scores in this Adult Survey domain may vary with proportions of MH and SU consumers and male and female respondents.


ADULT SURVEY: SOCIAL CONNECTEDNESS¹³

Statewide, 74 percent of adult respondent *Social Connectedness* domain scores were positive, and six percent were negative.

ADULT SOCIAL CONNECTEDNESS DOMAIN ITEMS

- In a crisis, I would have the support I need from family or friends.
- I am happy with the friendships I have.
- I have people with whom I can do enjoyable things.
- I feel I belong in my community.

ADULT SOCIAL CONNECTEDNESS: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-3.2% to +/-5.0%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

ADULT SOCIAL CONNECTEDNESS: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	591	6.4%	18.1%	75.5%
Cardinal	756	5.6%	20.2%	74.2%
CenterPoint	530	8.5%	23.0%	68.5%
CoastalCare	333	5.1%	23.1%	71.8%
Eastpointe	368	4.9%	16.0%	79.1%
ECBH	275	2.9%	17.5%	79.6%
Partners	583	6.5%	18.7%	74.8%
Sandhills	482	4.4%	17.2%	78.4%
Smoky Mtn	577	6.9%	21.8%	71.2%
State Total	4,495	5.9%	19.7%	74.4%

¹³ LME-MCO scores in this Adult Survey domain may vary with proportions of MH and SU respondents.


ADULT SURVEY: GENERAL SATISFACTION

Statewide, 92 percent of adult respondent *General Satisfaction* domain scores were positive, and one percent were negative.

ADULT GENERAL SATISFACTION DOMAIN ITEMS

- I like the services that I received here.
- If I had other choices, I would still get services from this agency.
- I would recommend this agency to a friend or family member.

ADULT GENERAL SATISFACTION: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-2.0% to +/-3.7%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

ADULT GENERAL SATISFACTION: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	606	1.2%	6.1%	92.7%
Cardinal	765	0.9%	6.9%	92.2%
CenterPoint	545	1.7%	9.5%	88.8%
CoastalCare	343	0.9%	3.2%	95.9%
Eastpointe	373	0.0%	4.0%	96.0%
ECBH	281	0.4%	9.6%	90.0%
Partners	583	0.7%	7.5%	91.8%
Sandhills	486	1.9%	7.0%	91.2%
Smoky Mtn	595	1.7%	6.1%	92.3%
State Total	4,577	1.1%	6.8%	92.2%

ADULT SURVEY: RECOVERY OUTCOMES¹⁴


Statewide, 86 percent of adult respondent *Recovery Outcomes* scores were positive, and five percent were negative.

ADULT RECOVERY OUTCOMES ITEM

As a direct result of the services I received...

- I am not likely to use alcohol or drugs that have not been prescribed for me.

ADULT RECOVERY OUTCOMES: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-2.7% to +/-3.9%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

ADULT RECOVERY OUTCOMES: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	567	4.9%	10.2%	84.8%
Cardinal	715	5.5%	9.2%	85.3%
CenterPoint	511	7.0%	10.8%	82.2%
CoastalCare	335	4.5%	7.5%	88.1%
Eastpointe	346	7.2%	7.8%	85.0%
ECBH	274	4.0%	7.3%	88.7%
Partners	558	4.1%	9.0%	86.9%
Sandhills	466	4.1%	8.4%	87.6%
Smoky Mtn	544	4.6%	8.6%	86.8%
State Total	4,316	5.1%	9.0%	85.9%

¹⁴ LME-MCO scores in this Adult Survey domain may vary slightly with proportions of Black/African American and/or White respondents.


ADULT SURVEY: *RECOVERY SUPPORT*¹⁵

Statewide, 85 percent of adult respondent *Recovery Support* scores were positive, and four percent were negative.

ADULT *RECOVERY SUPPORT* ITEM

- The people I care about are supportive of my recovery.

ADULT *RECOVERY SUPPORT*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-2.5% to +/-4.1%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

ADULT *RECOVERY SUPPORT*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	589	3.9%	10.0%	86.1%
Cardinal	743	3.6%	9.6%	86.8%
CenterPoint	529	5.7%	14.2%	80.2%
CoastalCare	335	4.8%	11.3%	83.9%
Eastpointe	363	4.4%	9.1%	86.5%
ECBH	275	2.9%	5.1%	92.0%
Partners	581	4.6%	9.1%	86.2%
Sandhills	472	4.7%	10.4%	85.0%
Smoky Mtn	582	4.6%	11.5%	83.8%
State Total	4,469	4.4%	10.3%	85.3%

¹⁵ LME-MCO scores in this Adult Survey domain may vary with proportions of MH and SU respondents.


YOUTH SURVEY: ACCESS

Statewide, 79 percent of youth respondent Access domain scores were positive, and five percent were negative.

YOUTH ACCESS DOMAIN ITEMS

- The location of services was convenient.
- Services were available at times that were convenient for me.

YOUTH ACCESS: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-4.5% to +/-8.3%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

YOUTH ACCESS: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	274	4.0%	14.2%	81.8%
Cardinal	223	4.0%	21.5%	74.4%
CenterPoint	109	7.3%	14.7%	78.0%
CoastalCare	124	7.3%	20.2%	72.6%
Eastpointe	116	0.9%	4.3%	94.8%
ECBH	89	3.4%	13.5%	83.1%
Partners	199	6.0%	19.1%	74.9%
Sandhills	144	2.1%	13.9%	84.0%
Smoky Mtn	175	8.0%	20.0%	72.0%
State Total	1,453	4.8%	16.4%	78.8%


YOUTH SURVEY: *TREATMENT PLANNING*

Statewide, 73 percent of youth respondent *Treatment Planning* domain scores were positive, and five percent were negative.

YOUTH *TREATMENT PLANNING* DOMAIN ITEMS

- I helped to choose my services.
- I helped to choose my treatment goals.
- I participated in my own treatment.

YOUTH *TREATMENT PLANNING*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-5.6% to +/-8.9%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

YOUTH *TREATMENT PLANNING*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	274	3.3%	25.9%	70.8%
Cardinal	229	5.7%	23.6%	70.7%
CenterPoint	114	11.4%	14.0%	74.6%
CoastalCare	124	4.8%	24.2%	71.0%
Eastpointe	115	1.7%	13.0%	85.2%
ECBH	87	4.6%	14.9%	80.5%
Partners	199	5.0%	23.1%	71.9%
Sandhills	144	3.5%	19.4%	77.1%
Smoky Mtn	180	7.8%	23.9%	68.3%
State Total	1,466	5.2%	21.6%	73.3%


YOUTH SURVEY: *CULTURAL SENSITIVITY*

Statewide, 90 percent of youth respondent *Cultural Sensitivity* domain scores were positive, and two percent were negative.

YOUTH *CULTURAL SENSITIVITY* DOMAIN ITEMS

- Staff treated me with respect.
- Staff respected my family’s religious/ spiritual beliefs.
- Staff spoke with me in a way that I understood.
- Staff were sensitive to my cultural/ ethnic background.

YOUTH *CULTURAL SENSITIVITY*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-2.8% to +/-6.0%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

YOUTH *CULTURAL SENSITIVITY*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	273	1.1%	9.2%	89.7%
Cardinal	225	1.3%	7.1%	91.6%
CenterPoint	115	1.7%	6.1%	92.2%
CoastalCare	120	1.7%	9.2%	89.2%
Eastpointe	118	0.0%	1.7%	98.3%
ECBH	89	1.1%	5.6%	93.3%
Partners	199	0.5%	11.6%	87.9%
Sandhills	144	1.4%	9.0%	89.6%
Smoky Mtn	179	4.5%	13.4%	82.1%
State Total	1,462	1.5%	8.6%	89.9%

YOUTH SURVEY: *OUTCOMES*


Statewide, 69 percent of youth respondent *Outcomes* domain scores were positive, and five percent were negative.

YOUTH *OUTCOMES* DOMAIN ITEMS

As a direct result of the services I received...

- I am better at handling daily life.
- I get along better with family members.
- I get along better with friends and other people.
- I do better in school and/or work.
- I am better able to cope when things go wrong.
- I am satisfied with our family life right now.

YOUTH *OUTCOMES*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-5.4% to +/-10.1%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

YOUTH *OUTCOMES*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	280	3.6%	23.9%	72.5%
Cardinal	230	6.5%	30.9%	62.6%
CenterPoint	113	6.2%	23.0%	70.8%
CoastalCare	124	2.4%	19.4%	78.2%
Eastpointe	118	4.2%	19.5%	76.3%
ECBH	90	6.7%	24.4%	68.9%
Partners	203	0.5%	28.6%	70.9%
Sandhills	144	2.8%	33.3%	63.9%
Smoky Mtn	181	8.3%	30.9%	60.8%
State Total	1,483	4.5%	26.6%	68.9%


YOUTH SURVEY: *GENERAL SATISFACTION*

Statewide, 81 percent of youth respondent *General Satisfaction* domain scores were positive, and three percent were negative.

YOUTH *GENERAL SATISFACTION* DOMAIN ITEMS

- Overall, I am satisfied with the services I received.
- The people helping me stuck with me no matter what.
- I felt I had someone to talk to when I was troubled.
- I received services that were right for me.
- I got the help I wanted.
- I got as much help as I needed.

YOUTH *GENERAL SATISFACTION*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-4.7% to +/-8.2%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

YOUTH *GENERAL SATISFACTION*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	284	2.5%	15.8%	81.7%
Cardinal	231	3.0%	18.6%	78.4%
CenterPoint	116	4.3%	19.8%	75.9%
CoastalCare	126	2.4%	15.9%	81.7%
Eastpointe	118	0.8%	5.1%	94.1%
ECBH	89	1.1%	12.4%	86.5%
Partners	206	2.9%	16.5%	80.6%
Sandhills	145	1.4%	14.5%	84.1%
Smoky Mtn	180	6.7%	20.6%	72.8%
State Total	1,495	2.9%	16.1%	81.0%

YOUTH SURVEY: RECOVERY OUTCOMES


Statewide, 81 percent of youth respondent *Recovery Outcomes* scores were positive, and seven percent were negative.

YOUTH RECOVERY OUTCOMES ITEM

As a direct result of the services I received...

- I am not likely to use alcohol or drugs that have not been prescribed for me.

YOUTH RECOVERY OUTCOMES: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-4.8% to +/-8.7%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

YOUTH RECOVERY OUTCOMES: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	264	7.6%	10.6%	81.8%
Cardinal	215	7.9%	9.8%	82.3%
CenterPoint	111	9.9%	17.1%	73.0%
CoastalCare	114	6.1%	8.8%	85.1%
Eastpointe	110	5.5%	10.0%	84.5%
ECBH	87	4.6%	8.0%	87.4%
Partners	198	4.5%	13.6%	81.8%
Sandhills	130	6.2%	14.6%	79.2%
Smoky Mtn	174	6.3%	15.5%	78.2%
State Total	1,403	6.6%	12.0%	81.3%


YOUTH SURVEY: *RECOVERY SUPPORT*

Statewide, 85 percent of youth respondent *Recovery Support* scores were positive, and three percent were negative.

YOUTH *RECOVERY SUPPORT* ITEM

- The people I care about are supportive of my recovery.

YOUTH *RECOVERY SUPPORT*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-4.2% to +/-7%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

YOUTH *RECOVERY SUPPORT*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	263	1.9%	11.0%	87.1%
Cardinal	224	4.9%	13.4%	81.7%
CenterPoint	111	5.4%	13.5%	81.1%
CoastalCare	118	0.8%	7.6%	91.5%
Eastpointe	115	2.6%	7.0%	90.4%
ECBH	90	1.1%	5.6%	93.3%
Partners	199	1.5%	13.6%	84.9%
Sandhills	137	2.2%	13.1%	84.7%
Smoky Mtn	180	6.7%	13.9%	79.4%
State Total	1,437	3.1%	11.6%	85.3%


FAMILY SURVEY: ACCESS

Statewide, 91 percent of family respondent Access domain scores were positive, and two percent were negative.

FAMILY ACCESS DOMAIN ITEMS

- The location of services was convenient for us.
- Services were available at times that were convenient for us.

FAMILY ACCESS: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-3.6% to +/-9.1%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

FAMILY ACCESS: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	276	1.1%	8.0%	90.9%
Cardinal	126	3.2%	9.5%	87.3%
CenterPoint	60	1.7%	10.0%	88.3%
CoastalCare	63	0.0%	11.1%	88.9%
Eastpointe	69	0.0%	4.3%	95.7%
ECBH	56	0.0%	5.4%	94.6%
Partners	82	1.2%	8.5%	90.2%
Sandhills	51	3.9%	5.9%	90.2%
Smoky Mtn	92	3.3%	5.4%	91.3%
State Total	875	1.6%	7.8%	90.6%


FAMILY SURVEY: *TREATMENT PLANNING*

Statewide, 93 percent of family respondent *Treatment Planning* domain scores were positive, and fewer than one percent were negative.

FAMILY *TREATMENT PLANNING* DOMAIN ITEMS

- I helped to choose my child’s services.
- I helped to choose my child’s treatment goals.
- I participated in my child’s treatment.

FAMILY *TREATMENT PLANNING*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-2.9% to +/-8.7%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

FAMILY *TREATMENT PLANNING*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	271	0.4%	5.2%	94.5%
Cardinal	119	0.0%	5.0%	95.0%
CenterPoint	60	0.0%	6.7%	93.3%
CoastalCare	66	0.0%	3.0%	97.0%
Eastpointe	70	0.0%	11.4%	88.6%
ECBH	54	0.0%	9.3%	90.7%
Partners	82	3.7%	1.2%	95.1%
Sandhills	50	0.0%	8.0%	92.0%
Smoky Mtn	91	0.0%	9.9%	90.1%
State Total	863	0.5%	6.1%	93.4%


FAMILY SURVEY: *CULTURAL SENSITIVITY*

Statewide, 97 percent of family respondent *Cultural Sensitivity* domain scores were positive, and fewer than one percent were negative.

FAMILY *CULTURAL SENSITIVITY* DOMAIN ITEMS

- Staff treated me with respect.
- Staff respected my family’s religious/ spiritual beliefs.
- Staff spoke with me in a way that I understood.
- Staff were sensitive to my cultural/ ethnic background.

FAMILY *CULTURAL SENSITIVITY*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-0.8% to +/-6.6%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

FAMILY *CULTURAL SENSITIVITY*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	271	0.0%	1.8%	98.2%
Cardinal	119	0.0%	3.4%	96.6%
CenterPoint	58	0.0%	5.2%	94.8%
CoastalCare	63	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Eastpointe	70	1.4%	1.4%	97.1%
ECBH	54	0.0%	1.9%	98.1%
Partners	80	0.0%	5.0%	95.0%
Sandhills	49	2.0%	0.0%	98.0%
Smoky Mtn	90	0.0%	4.4%	95.6%
State Total	854	0.2%	2.6%	97.2%

FAMILY SURVEY: *CHILD OUTCOMES*

Statewide, 69 percent of family respondent *Child Outcomes* domain scores were positive, and six percent were negative.


FAMILY SURVEY *CHILD OUTCOMES* DOMAIN ITEMS

As a direct result of the services my child received...

- My child is better at handling daily life.*
- My child gets along better with family members.*
- My child gets along better with friends and other people.*
- My child is doing better in school and/or work.*
- My child is better able to cope when things go wrong.*
- I am satisfied with our family life right now.

*Items also count toward Functioning domain.

FAMILY SURVEY *CHILD OUTCOMES*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-5.4% to +/-13.3%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

FAMILY SURVEY *CHILD OUTCOMES*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	264	4.9%	19.3%	75.8%
Cardinal	120	11.7%	35.0%	53.3%
CenterPoint	61	3.3%	27.9%	68.9%
CoastalCare	64	4.7%	17.2%	78.1%
Eastpointe	69	1.4%	26.1%	72.5%
ECBH	52	9.6%	15.4%	75.0%
Partners	80	8.8%	27.5%	63.8%
Sandhills	48	8.3%	16.7%	75.0%
Smoky Mtn	89	4.5%	34.8%	60.7%
State Total	847	6.3%	24.6%	69.2%

FAMILY SURVEY: *CHILD FUNCTIONING*

Statewide, 70 percent of family respondent *Child Functioning* domain scores were positive, and six percent were negative.


FAMILY SURVEY *CHILD FUNCTIONING* DOMAIN ITEMS

As a direct result of the services my child received...

- My child is better at handling daily life.*
- My child gets along better with family members.*
- My child gets along better with friends and other people.*
- My child is doing better in school and/or work.*
- My child is better able to cope when things go wrong.*
- My child is better able to do things he or she wants.

**Items also count toward Outcomes domain.*

FAMILY SURVEY *CHILD FUNCTIONING*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-5.4% to +/-13.6%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

FAMILY SURVEY *CHILD FUNCTIONING*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	264	4.2%	20.1%	75.8%
Cardinal	120	11.7%	32.5%	55.8%
CenterPoint	61	1.6%	29.5%	68.9%
CoastalCare	64	4.7%	17.2%	78.1%
Eastpointe	69	4.3%	24.6%	71.0%
ECBH	52	11.5%	13.5%	75.0%
Partners	80	8.8%	25.0%	66.3%
Sandhills	48	6.3%	20.8%	72.9%
Smoky Mtn	89	3.4%	32.6%	64.0%
State Total	847	6.0%	24.1%	69.9%


FAMILY SURVEY: *SOCIAL CONNECTEDNESS*

Statewide, 87 percent of family respondent *Social Connectedness* domain scores were positive, and two percent were negative.

FAMILY *SOCIAL CONNECTEDNESS* DOMAIN ITEMS

- I know people who will listen and understand me when I need to talk.
- I have people that I am comfortable talking with about my child’s problems.
- In a crisis, I would have the support I need from family or friends.
- I have people with whom I can do enjoyable things.

FAMILY *SOCIAL CONNECTEDNESS*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-3.8% to +/-13.1%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

FAMILY *SOCIAL CONNECTEDNESS*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	271	1.8%	8.5%	89.7%
Cardinal	125	4.0%	12.0%	84.0%
CenterPoint	60	3.3%	16.7%	80.0%
CoastalCare	67	3.0%	6.0%	91.0%
Eastpointe	70	0.0%	12.9%	87.1%
ECBH	53	1.9%	9.4%	88.7%
Partners	82	2.4%	11.0%	86.6%
Sandhills	44	2.3%	18.2%	79.5%
Smoky Mtn	90	0.0%	12.2%	87.8%
State Total	862	2.1%	10.9%	87.0%


FAMILY SURVEY: *GENERAL SATISFACTION*

Statewide, 92 percent of family respondent *General Satisfaction* domain scores were positive, and one percent were negative.

FAMILY *GENERAL SATISFACTION* DOMAIN ITEMS

- Overall, I am satisfied with the services my child received.
- The people helping my child stuck with us no matter what.
- I felt my child had someone to talk to when he/she was troubled.
- The services my child and/or family received were right for us.
- My family got the help we wanted for my child.
- My family got as much help as we needed for my child.

FAMILY *GENERAL SATISFACTION*: LME-MCO POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES



*95% CIs shown around LME-MCO scores range from approximately +/-2.8% to +/-10.1%. The State Total 95% CI is shown for descriptive purposes only. Statistically valid comparisons cannot be made between LME-MCO score CIs and the CI for the State Total that includes them.

FAMILY *GENERAL SATISFACTION*: LME-MCO NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE PERCENT SCORES

LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Negative	Neutral	Positive
Alliance	276	0.7%	4.3%	94.9%
Cardinal	127	0.0%	10.2%	89.8%
CenterPoint	61	0.0%	9.8%	90.2%
CoastalCare	66	0.0%	3.0%	97.0%
Eastpointe	70	0.0%	4.3%	95.7%
ECBH	56	5.4%	8.9%	85.7%
Partners	83	3.6%	7.2%	89.2%
Sandhills	50	2.0%	4.0%	94.0%
Smoky Mtn	90	2.2%	10.0%	87.8%
State Total	879	1.3%	6.6%	92.2%

LME-MCO Network

LME-MCO NETWORK: ADULT SURVEY

ADULT SURVEY: LME-MCO NETWORK QUESTIONS

LME-MCO	Percent "Yes" Responses*					
	Did you receive a Consumer Handbook in the mail within 14 days of starting services?	Do you know how to make a complaint with your LME-MCO?	If you contacted LME-MCO to request services, were you given a choice of providers?	Was first service in a time frame that met your needs?	Has LME-MCO provided info needed about services, supports available to you?	If you needed help applying for benefits, did you receive assistance needed?
Alliance	36%	48%	50%	74%	58%	73%
Cardinal	33%	41%	40%	67%	59%	66%
CenterPoint	37%	45%	47%	69%	60%	69%
CoastalCare	35%	55%	52%	78%	66%	76%
Eastpointe	49%	65%	69%	84%	73%	82%
ECBH	39%	44%	50%	71%	63%	73%
Partners	36%	49%	46%	76%	64%	74%
Sandhills	39%	53%	49%	72%	61%	73%
Smoky Mtn	31%	38%	41%	69%	59%	63%
State Total	36%	48%	48%	73%	62%	71%

*Responses of "Not Sure" are included in percentage denominators and may contribute to lower "Yes" percentages compared to 2013 and earlier surveys.

ADULT SURVEY: REPORTED OBSTACLES TO RECEIVING SERVICES

LME-MCO	Have any of the following problems interfered with your ability to receive the services you need from any of your LME/MCO's providers? (Percent selecting each option)*							
	Transportation cost/availability	Difficulty reaching provider	Service location	Medication cost	Service cost	Hours services available	Other	None of the above
Alliance	15%	5%	6%	9%	5%	5%	4%	73%
Cardinal	14%	4%	5%	11%	8%	4%	3%	71%
CenterPoint	18%	6%	5%	14%	8%	4%	3%	68%
CoastalCare	14%	2%	3%	10%	5%	3%	3%	77%
Eastpointe	9%	1%	2%	11%	2%	1%	1%	80%
ECBH	15%	4%	6%	8%	4%	3%	3%	76%
Partners	16%	5%	4%	12%	6%	3%	3%	72%
Sandhills	16%	7%	5%	9%	6%	6%	5%	74%
Smoky Mtn	19%	4%	4%	13%	8%	9%	4%	66%
State Total	15%	4%	5%	11%	6%	5%	3%	72%

**Check all that apply." Percentage denominators are equal to number of respondents who selected at least one option. Responses of "None of the above" were not counted if any other option was selected.

LME-MCO NETWORK: YOUTH SURVEY

YOUTH SURVEY: LME-MCO NETWORK QUESTIONS

LME-MCO	Percent "Yes" Responses*				
	Did you receive a Consumer Handbook in the mail within 14 days of starting services?	Do you know how to make a complaint with your LME-MCO?	If you contacted LME-MCO to request services, were you given a choice of providers?	Was first service in a time frame that met your needs?	Has LME-MCO provided info needed about services, supports available to you?
Alliance	38%	41%	46%	74%	53%
Cardinal	28%	33%	37%	67%	52%
CenterPoint	49%	59%	49%	72%	64%
CoastalCare	37%	48%	43%	75%	58%
Eastpointe	50%	59%	69%	93%	76%
ECBH	45%	52%	55%	84%	64%
Partners	33%	41%	43%	71%	58%
Sandhills	35%	53%	47%	77%	56%
Smoky Mtn	22%	32%	23%	57%	47%
State Total	36%	44%	44%	73%	57%

*Responses of "Not Sure" are included in percentage denominators and may contribute to lower "Yes" percentages compared to 2013 and earlier surveys.

YOUTH SURVEY: REPORTED OBSTACLES TO RECEIVING SERVICES

LME-MCO	Have any of the following problems interfered with your ability to receive the services you need from any of your LME/MCO's providers? (Percent selecting each option)*							
	Transportation cost/availability	Difficulty reaching provider	Service location	Medication cost	Service cost	Hours services available	Other	None of the above
Alliance	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	3%	91%
Cardinal	4%	2%	2%	0%	1%	3%	2%	91%
CenterPoint	9%	8%	2%	0%	2%	0%	4%	80%
CoastalCare	3%	2%	8%	1%	0%	3%	6%	82%
Eastpointe	6%	1%	5%	2%	2%	4%	0%	92%
ECBH	8%	1%	3%	0%	1%	2%	0%	89%
Partners	4%	4%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	88%
Sandhills	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	94%
Smoky Mtn	9%	2%	4%	2%	4%	6%	1%	85%
State Total	5%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	89%

**Check all that apply." Percentage denominators are equal to number of respondents who selected at least one option. Responses of "None of the above" were not counted if any other option was selected.

LME-MCO NETWORK: FAMILY SURVEY

FAMILY SURVEY: LME-MCO NETWORK QUESTIONS

LME-MCO	Percent "Yes" Responses*				
	Did you receive a Consumer Handbook in the mail within 14 days of starting services?	Do you know how to make a complaint with your LME-MCO?	If you contacted LME-MCO to request services, were you given a choice of providers?	Was first service in a time frame that met your needs?	Has LME-MCO provided info needed about services, supports available to you?
Alliance	52%	59%	59%	90%	78%
Cardinal	53%	60%	69%	89%	82%
CenterPoint	64%	74%	74%	87%	85%
CoastalCare	56%	66%	53%	88%	75%
Eastpointe	53%	66%	79%	90%	79%
ECBH	62%	65%	62%	85%	78%
Partners	50%	55%	62%	95%	78%
Sandhills	46%	57%	71%	84%	69%
Smoky Mtn	41%	62%	63%	87%	76%
State Total	52%	61%	64%	89%	78%

*Responses of "Not Sure" are included in percentage denominators and may contribute to lower "Yes" percentages compared to 2013 and earlier surveys.

FAMILY SURVEY: REPORTED OBSTACLES TO RECEIVING SERVICES

LME-MCO	Have any of the following problems interfered with your ability to receive the services you need from any of your LME/MCO's providers? (Percent selecting each option)*							
	Transportation cost/availability	Difficulty reaching provider	Service location	Medication cost	Service cost	Hours services available	Other	None of the above
Alliance	6%	2%	7%	0%	2%	3%	2%	87%
Cardinal	7%	6%	6%	1%	2%	6%	6%	86%
CenterPoint	2%	5%	7%	2%	4%	7%	4%	82%
CoastalCare	8%	7%	3%	3%	5%	5%	10%	80%
Eastpointe	9%	0%	5%	2%	2%	2%	3%	85%
ECBH	2%	2%	4%	0%	0%	2%	2%	93%
Partners	8%	10%	6%	1%	3%	6%	0%	79%
Sandhills	2%	4%	11%	0%	0%	4%	4%	83%
Smoky Mtn	6%	1%	5%	0%	1%	2%	8%	84%
State Total	6%	4%	6%	1%	2%	4%	4%	85%

**Check all that apply." Percentage denominators are equal to number of respondents who selected at least one option. Responses of "None of the above" were not counted if any other option was selected.


Adult Physical Health

ADULT PHYSICAL HEALTH

ADULT SURVEY: RESPONDENT HEALTH STATUS

		In general would you say your health is:				
LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
Alliance	601	10%	21%	38%	27%	4%
Cardinal	762	11%	18%	39%	26%	6%
CenterPoint	541	10%	15%	38%	32%	5%
CoastalCare	341	9%	23%	36%	23%	9%
Eastpointe	371	8%	23%	34%	27%	7%
ECBH	278	9%	16%	45%	26%	4%
Partners	587	7%	19%	39%	28%	8%
Sandhills	485	10%	19%	41%	25%	5%
Smoky Mtn	590	9%	20%	37%	26%	8%
State Total	4,556	9%	19%	38%	27%	6%

ADULT SURVEY: RESPONDENTS REPORTING “EXCELLENT,” “VERY GOOD,” OR “GOOD” HEALTH




ADULT SURVEY: HEALTH MEASURES


For how many days during the past 30 days...*						
LME-MCO	was your physical health not good?		was your mental health not good?		did poor physical or mental health keep you from doing your usual activities?	
	Average Days	Total Respondents	Average Days	Total Respondents	Average Days	Total Respondents
Alliance	8.1	376	10.1	444	7.8	419
Cardinal	8.4	434	11.6	524	8.7	503
CenterPoint	9.5	301	12.9	374	8.5	360
CoastalCare	8.9	192	9.5	221	7.8	217
Eastpointe	6.3	236	8.6	270	6.0	255
ECBH	7.2	177	7.9	190	6.6	191
Partners	9.6	359	11.1	431	8.0	421
Sandhills	7.8	280	9.4	330	6.9	324
Smoky Mtn	9.9	358	12.3	430	9.8	404
State Total	8.5	2,713	10.7	3,214	8.0	3,094

*Responses of "Don't Know/Not Sure" are excluded.


ADULT SURVEY: DAYS PHYSICAL HEALTH NOT GOOD



ADULT SURVEY: DAYS MENTAL HEALTH NOT GOOD



ADULT SURVEY: DAYS POOR HEALTH INTERFERED WITH ACTIVITIES




ADULT SURVEY: TIME SINCE ROUTINE DOCTOR VISIT

How long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine check-up?							
LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Past Year	1-2 Years	2-5 Years	5+ Years	Never	Not Sure
Alliance	599	69%	14%	6%	3%	1%	7%
Cardinal	757	64%	15%	8%	5%	0%	8%
CenterPoint	538	60%	19%	6%	6%	1%	8%
CoastalCare	339	57%	19%	6%	6%	2%	10%
Eastpointe	370	67%	15%	3%	4%	1%	10%
ECBH	278	63%	16%	7%	5%	1%	8%
Partners	585	64%	14%	7%	5%	1%	9%
Sandhills	484	65%	15%	4%	3%	1%	12%
Smoky Mtn	591	61%	16%	8%	7%	1%	7%
State Total	4,541	64%	16%	6%	5%	1%	9%

ADULT SURVEY: TIME SINCE ROUTINE DENTAL VISIT

How long has it been since you last visited a dentist for routine care?							
LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Past Year	1-2 Years	2-5 Years	5+ Years	Never	Not Sure
Alliance	598	43%	20%	12%	12%	3%	9%
Cardinal	753	43%	16%	13%	17%	1%	9%
CenterPoint	540	41%	17%	15%	17%	1%	9%
CoastalCare	341	38%	23%	11%	14%	2%	12%
Eastpointe	371	42%	22%	8%	11%	2%	15%
ECBH	278	36%	18%	19%	12%	2%	12%
Partners	585	43%	19%	14%	14%	2%	8%
Sandhills	484	38%	20%	11%	11%	2%	17%
Smoky Mtn	593	37%	18%	16%	19%	2%	8%
State Total	4,543	41%	19%	13%	15%	2%	11%

ADULT SURVEY: CONSUMERS WITH ROUTINE CHECK-UPS IN PAST YEAR




ADULT SURVEY: CHRONIC PHYSICAL HEALTH CONDITIONS

		Have you ever been told by a doctor that you have...? (Percent "Yes")*							
LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Asthma	High Blood Pressure	Cancer	High Cholesterol	Diabetes	Stroke	Heart Disease	None
Alliance	590	22%	35%	5%	20%	15%	4%	6%	43%
Cardinal	749	21%	40%	4%	26%	16%	3%	5%	38%
CenterPoint	530	20%	35%	3%	22%	18%	3%	4%	46%
CoastalCare	337	18%	36%	5%	23%	14%	2%	3%	44%
Eastpointe	360	25%	38%	5%	25%	15%	5%	6%	39%
ECBH	276	22%	34%	5%	17%	14%	1%	6%	43%
Partners	575	24%	37%	7%	25%	15%	4%	5%	38%
Sandhills	466	20%	37%	4%	18%	17%	5%	5%	41%
Smoky Mtn	587	24%	32%	6%	25%	16%	3%	7%	42%
State Total	4,470	22%	36%	5%	23%	16%	4%	5%	41%

*"Check all that apply." Total includes all respondents who selected at least one option, including the option, "None."


ADULT SURVEY: PREVALENCE OF CHRONIC PHYSICAL HEALTH CONDITIONS (ALL LME-MCOs)



ADULT SURVEY: SMOKING PREVALENCE AND FREQUENCY

	Do you smoke cigarettes every day, some days or not at all?				
LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Every day	Some days	Not at all	Never smoked
Alliance	600	47%	13%	27%	14%
Cardinal	746	46%	11%	26%	17%
CenterPoint	531	54%	10%	26%	10%
CoastalCare	341	51%	13%	25%	11%
Eastpointe	364	45%	14%	25%	15%
ECBH	277	53%	14%	22%	12%
Partners	576	61%	7%	22%	10%
Sandhills	472	49%	13%	28%	11%
Smoky Mtn	585	49%	10%	27%	15%
State Total	4,492	50%	11%	25%	12%

ADULT SURVEY: SMOKING PREVALENCE




ADULT SURVEY: EXERCISE FREQUENCY

In a usual week, how many days do you do moderate physical activities that may increase your heart rate for at least 10 minutes at a time?*						
LME-MCO	Total Respondents	Zero Days/Do not do any	1-2 Days	3-5 Days	6-7 Days	Average Days
Alliance	577	23%	14%	35%	27%	3.5
Cardinal	718	19%	15%	39%	27%	3.6
CenterPoint	502	22%	20%	31%	28%	3.4
CoastalCare	326	18%	11%	39%	32%	4.0
Eastpointe	349	25%	15%	38%	22%	3.4
ECBH	253	16%	11%	30%	43%	4.4
Partners	556	15%	15%	38%	31%	3.9
Sandhills	447	25%	14%	33%	28%	3.5
Smoky Mtn	575	15%	15%	36%	33%	3.9
State Total	4,303	20%	15%	36%	30%	3.7

*Excludes responses with reported number of days per week greater than 7, and responses with reported days per week greater than zero if “Do not do any physical activity” was also checked. Computed average includes responses of “zero” and responses of “Do not do any physical activity” recoded to equal zero.

ADULT SURVEY: DAYS OF MODERATE PHYSICAL ACTIVITY





*Mental Health,
Developmental Disabilities,
and Substance Abuse Services*
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

State of North Carolina • Pat McCrory, Governor
Department of Health and Human Services
Richard O. Brajer, Secretary
Division of Mental Health, Developmental Disabilities, and Substance Abuse Services
www.ncdhhs.gov • www.ncdhhs.gov/mhddsas

The Department of Health and Human Services does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in employment or the provision of services.