

TEAM 1
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - January 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
11 BUNCOMBE	7	\$1,438	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE	2	\$100	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
22 CLAY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	1	\$399	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
44 HAYWOOD	3	\$388	2					1	\$68	1	\$68	17.53%	82.47%
45 HENDERSON	3	\$160	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
50 JACKSON	2	\$248	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
56 MACON	2	\$303	1					1	\$43	1	\$43	14.19%	85.81%
57 MADISON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
75 POLK	1	\$290	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
87 SWAIN	1	\$47	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	1	\$408	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	23	\$3,781	21	0	\$0	0	\$0	2	\$111	2	\$111	2.94%	97.06%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	348	\$76,205	333	1	\$155	7	\$520	7	\$514	15	\$1,189	1.56%	98.44%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
7%	5%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29%	22%	13%	9%

TEAM 2
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - January 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
2 ALEXANDER	1	\$315	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
5 ASHE	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
6 AVERY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
12 BURKE	3	\$568	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
14 CALDWELL	4	\$850	3					1	\$28	1	\$28	3.29%	96.71%
23 CLEVELAND	5	\$1,057	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	2	\$211	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
61 MITCHELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	3	\$1,301	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	2	\$347	0			2	\$129			2	\$129	37.18%	62.82%
0 YANCEY	3	\$291	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	25	\$5,250	22	0	\$0	2	\$129	1	\$28	3	\$157	2.99%	97.01%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	348	\$76,205	333	1	\$155	7	\$520	7	\$514	15	\$1,189	1.56%	98.44%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
7%	7%	7%	0%	0%	29%	25%	14%	5%	20%	13%

TEAM 3
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - January 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
4 ANSON	3	\$693	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	5	\$1,091	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	7	\$1,431	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	8	\$2,421	6			2	\$169			2	\$169	6.98%	93.02%
49 IREDELL	4	\$265	3			1	\$96			1	\$96	36.23%	63.77%
55 LINCOLN	2	\$165	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	25	\$5,380	25							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
62 MONTGOMERY	2	\$441	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	3	\$728	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	5	\$1,006	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
84 STANLY	2	\$141	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	3	\$1,150	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	69	\$14,912	66	0	\$0	3	\$265	0	\$0	3	\$265	1.78%	98.22%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	348	\$76,205	333	1	\$155	7	\$520	7	\$514	15	\$1,189	1.56%	98.44%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
COMP	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	20%	20%	0%	0%	43%	51%	0%	0%	20%	22%

**TEAM 4
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - January 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER	UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	ISSUE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		ERROR
1 ALAMANCE	3	\$942	3						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
17 CASWELL	1	\$16	1						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
29 DAVIDSON	6	\$1,388	5					1	\$222	1	\$222	15.99%	84.01%
30 DAVIE	2	\$443	2						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
34 FORSYTH	12	\$2,235	11			1	\$81		1	\$81	3.62%	96.38%	
41 GUILFORD	18	\$5,383	17					1	\$57	1	\$57	1.06%	98.94%
68 ORANGE	3	\$889	3						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
73 PERSON	2	\$440	2						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
76 RANDOLPH	5	\$896	5						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
79 ROCKINGHAM	4	\$674	4						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
85 STOKES	2	\$511	2						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
86 SURRY	3	\$869	3						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
99 YADKIN	1	\$38	1						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
STATE AGENCY									0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
TEAM	62	\$14,724	59	0	\$0	1	\$81	2	\$279	3	\$360	2.44%	97.56%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	348	\$76,205	333	1	\$155	7	\$520	7	\$514	15	\$1,189	1.56%	98.44%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
295										
18%	19%	18%	0%	0%	14%	16%	29%	54%	20%	30%

TEAM 6
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - January 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
9 BLADEN	4	\$131	4								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	3	\$717	2					1	\$38		\$38	5.30%	94.70%
24 COLUMBUS	4	\$1,412	4								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	16	\$3,796	15	1	\$155						\$155	4.08%	95.92%
31 DUPLIN	2	\$962	2								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	2	\$437	2								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	2	\$541	2								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	6	\$1,315	6								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
71 PENDER	2	\$183	2								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	11	\$3,141	11								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
82 SAMPSON	4	\$1,360	4								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	4	\$1,080	4								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	60	\$15,075	58	1	\$155	0	\$0	1	\$38	2	\$193	1.28%	98.72%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	348	\$76,205	333	1	\$155	7	\$520	7	\$514	15	\$1,189	1.56%	98.44%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
17%	20%	17%	100%	100%	0%	0%	14%	7%	13%	16%

TEAM 8
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - January 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE							NO	AMT	NO	RATE
7 BEAUFORT	2	\$460	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	3	\$65	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	3	\$439	2					1	\$58	1	\$58	13.21%	86.79%
28 DARE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
40 GREENE	1	\$495	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	4	\$1,065	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	4	\$682	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	8	\$1,674	7				1	\$45		1	\$45	2.69%	97.31%
89 TYRRELL	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
96 WAYNE	6	\$1,200	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	32	\$6,118	30	0	\$0	1	\$45	1	\$58	2	\$103	1.68%	98.32%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	348	\$76,205	333	1	\$155	7	\$520	7	\$514	15	\$1,189	1.56%	98.44%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
9%	8%	9%	0%	0%	14%	9%	14%	11%	13%	9%