

TEAM 1
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - February 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
11 BUNCOMBE	9	\$2,129	9								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE	2	\$100	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
22 CLAY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	1	\$399	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
44 HAYWOOD	4	\$668	3					1	\$68		1	\$68	10.18%	89.82%
45 HENDERSON	4	\$315	4								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
50 JACKSON	2	\$248	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
56 MACON	2	\$303	1					1	\$43		1	\$43	14.19%	85.81%
57 MADISON	1	\$155	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
75 POLK	1	\$290	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
87 SWAIN	1	\$47	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	2	\$630	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	29	\$5,284	27	0	\$0	0	\$0	2	\$111	2	\$111	2.10%	97.90%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	435	\$94,180	414	3	\$779	10	\$940	8	\$775	21	\$2,494	2.65%	97.35%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
7%	6%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	14%	10%	4%

TEAM 2
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - February 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
2 ALEXANDER	1	\$315	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
5 ASHE	1	\$155	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
6 AVERY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
12 BURKE	4	\$673	4								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
14 CALDWELL	4	\$850	3					1	\$28		1	\$28	3.29%	96.71%
23 CLEVELAND	6	\$1,341	6								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	2	\$211	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
61 MITCHELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	4	\$1,456	4								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	\$155	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	3	\$463	0				2	\$129	1	\$261	3	\$390	84.23%	15.77%
00 YANCEY	4	\$651	4								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	30	\$6,270	26	0	\$0	2	\$129	2	\$289	4	\$418	6.67%	93.33%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	435	\$94,180	414	3	\$779	10	\$940	8	\$775	21	\$2,494	2.65%	97.35%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP	TOTAL	NUM CORR	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
7%	7%	6%	0%	0%	20%	14%	25%	37%	19%	17%

TEAM 3
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - February 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
4 ANSON	4	\$748	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	6	\$1,101	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	9	\$1,851	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	10	\$2,839	8			2	\$169			2	\$169	5.95%	94.05%
49 IREDELL	5	\$396	4			1	\$96			1	\$96	24.24%	75.76%
55 LINCOLN	3	\$175	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	32	\$6,529	30			2	\$353			2	\$353	5.41%	94.59%
62 MONTGOMERY	2	\$441	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	4	\$883	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	6	\$1,385	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
84 STANLY	3	\$957	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	3	\$1,150	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	87	\$18,455	82	0	\$0	5	\$618	0	\$0	5	\$618	3.35%	96.65%

STATE	435	\$94,180	414	3	\$779	10	\$940	8	\$775	21	\$2,494	2.65%	97.35%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	20%	20%	0%	0%	50%	66%	0%	0%	24%	25%

TEAM 4
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - February 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
1 ALAMANCE	4	\$1,224	3			1	\$67			1	\$67	5.47%	94.53%
17 CASWELL	1	\$16	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	7	\$1,543	6					1	\$222	1	\$222	14.39%	85.61%
30 DAVIE	2	\$443	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	15	\$2,885	14			1	\$81			1	\$81	2.81%	97.19%
41 GUILFORD	23	\$6,577	22					1	\$57	1	\$57	0.87%	99.13%
68 ORANGE	4	\$1,173	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
73 PERSON	3	\$675	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	6	\$1,180	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	5	\$712	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	2	\$511	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	4	\$980	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	77	\$17,957	73	0	\$0	2	\$148	2	\$279	4	\$427	2.38%	97.62%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	435	\$94,180	414	3	\$779	10	\$940	8	\$775	21	\$2,494	2.65%	97.35%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	19%	18%	0%	0%	20%	16%	25%	36%	19%	17%

TEAM 5
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - February 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
19 CHATHAM	1	\$59	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	11	\$2,048	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN	2	\$124	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE	3	\$314	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
42 HALIFAX	6	\$1,509	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	4	\$1,316	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	8	\$1,443	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE	2	\$600	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	6	\$1,190	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	4	\$375	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
92 WAKE	19	\$5,277	18	1	\$381					1	\$381	7.22%	92.78%
93 WARREN	3	\$517	2	1	\$243					1	\$243	47.00%	53.00%
98 WILSON	6	\$1,546	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	75	\$16,318	73	2	\$624	0	\$0	0	\$0	2	\$624	3.82%	96.18%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	435	\$94,180	414	3	\$779	10	\$940	8	\$775	21	\$2,494	2.65%	97.35%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
17%	17%	18%	67%	80%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	25%

TEAM 6
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - February 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
9 BLADEN	5	\$308	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	4	\$1,001	3					1	\$38	1	\$38	3.80%	96.20%
24 COLUMBUS	5	\$1,541	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	20	\$4,583	19	1	\$155					1	\$155	3.38%	96.62%
31 DUPLIN	3	\$1,093	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	3	\$574	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	3	\$923	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	7	\$1,381	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
71 PENDER	2	\$183	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	14	\$3,806	14							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
82 SAMPSON	5	\$1,536	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	4	\$1,080	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	75	\$18,009	73	1	\$155	0	\$0	1	\$38	2	\$193	1.07%	98.93%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	435	\$94,180	414	3	\$779	10	\$940	8	\$775	21	\$2,494	2.65%	97.35%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
17%	19%	18%	33%	20%	0%	0%	13%	5%	10%	8%

TEAM 8
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - February 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
7 BEAUFORT	3	\$802	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	4	\$433	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	4	\$449	3					1	\$58	1	\$58	12.92%	87.08%
28 DARE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
40 GREENE	1	\$495	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	5	\$1,129	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	5	\$820	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	10	\$2,239	9			1	\$45			1	\$45	2.01%	97.99%
89 TYRRELL	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
96 WAYNE	8	\$1,860	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	41	\$8,265	39	0	\$0	1	\$45	1	\$58	2	\$103	1.25%	98.75%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	435	\$94,180	414	3	\$779	10	\$940	8	\$775	21	\$2,494	2.65%	97.35%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
9%	9%	9%	0%	0%	10%	5%	13%	7%	10%	4%