

TEAM 2
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - February 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
2 ALEXANDER	2	\$504	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE	1	\$136	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
6 AVERY	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	4	\$271	3			1	\$53			1	\$53	19.56%	80.44%
14 CALDWELL	6	\$1,251	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
23 CLEVELAND	7	\$1,232	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
61 MITCHELL	2	\$324	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
81 RUTHERFORD	5	\$1,302	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	\$41	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	5	\$870	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	3	\$285	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	38	\$6,236	37	0	\$0	1	\$53	0	\$0	1	\$53	0.85%	99.15%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	471	\$97,261	452	2	\$648	13	\$1,335	4	\$317	19	\$2,300	2.36%	97.64%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	6%	8%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	0%	5%	2%

**TEAM 3
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - February 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER	UNDER		TOTAL	ISSUE		ACCURACY	
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	ISSUE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR
4 ANSON	3	\$512	2			1	\$45			1	\$45	8.79%	91.21%
13 CABARRUS	7	\$1,462	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	7	\$1,432	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	12	\$2,031	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
49 IREDELL	5	\$1,244	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
55 LINCOLN	5	\$1,299	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	40	\$8,505	38			2	\$494			2	\$494	5.81%	94.19%
62 MONTGOMERY	2	\$322	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	4	\$922	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	6	\$842	5			1	\$58			1	\$58	6.89%	93.11%
84 STANLY	2	\$411	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	7	\$1,138	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	100	\$20,120	96	0	\$0	4	\$597	0	\$0	4	\$597	2.97%	97.03%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	471	\$97,261	452	2	\$648	13	\$1,335	4	\$317	19	\$2,300	2.36%	97.64%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
21%	21%	21%	0%	0%	31%	45%	0%	0%	21%	26%

TEAM 4
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - February 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
1 ALAMANCE	3	\$781	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
29 DAVIDSON	7	\$1,917	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
30 DAVIE	3	\$1,088	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	16	\$3,762	14			1	\$40	1	\$161	2	\$201	5.34%	94.66%
41 GUILFORD	27	\$6,547	27							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
68 ORANGE	2	\$428	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
73 PERSON	1	\$129	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	8	\$1,051	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	6	\$643	5			1	\$51			1	\$51	7.93%	92.07%
85 STOKES	3	\$567	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	5	\$657	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	1	\$426	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	82	\$17,996	79	0	\$0	2	\$91	1	\$161	3	\$252	1.40%	98.60%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	471	\$97,261	452	2	\$648	13	\$1,335	4	\$317	19	\$2,300	2.36%	97.64%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
295		17%	0%	0%	15%	7%	25%	51%	16%	11%
17%	19%	17%	0%	0%	15%	7%	25%	51%	16%	11%

TEAM 5
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - February 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
19 CHATHAM	1	\$21	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	14	\$2,716	14							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN	1	\$162	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE	3	\$769	2			1	\$101			1	\$101	13.13%	86.87%
42 HALIFAX	6	\$601	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	6	\$1,387	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	8	\$1,460	7			1	\$79			1	\$79	5.41%	94.59%
53 LEE	4	\$887	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	5	\$616	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	5	\$1,075	4			1	\$35			1	\$35	3.26%	96.74%
92 WAKE	23	\$4,626	22					1	\$67	1	\$67	1.45%	98.55%
93 WARREN	1	\$294	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
98 WILSON	6	\$1,150	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	83	\$15,764	79	0	\$0	3	\$215	1	\$67	4	\$282	1.79%	98.21%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	471	\$97,261	452	2	\$648	13	\$1,335	4	\$317	19	\$2,300	2.36%	97.64%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	16%	17%	0%	0%	23%	16%	25%	21%	21%	12%

TEAM 6
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - February 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
9 BLADEN	1	\$421	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	5	\$741	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	5	\$272	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	22	\$4,891	21			1	\$48			1	\$48	0.98%	99.02%
31 DUPLIN	2	\$596	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	2	\$548	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	5	\$1,295	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	8	\$867	6	1	\$344			1	\$42	2	\$386	44.52%	55.48%
71 PENDER	2	\$84	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	14	\$3,557	13					1	\$47	1	\$47	1.32%	98.68%
82 SAMPSON	4	\$326	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	5	\$1,393	4			1	\$285			1	\$285	20.46%	79.54%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
										0			
TEAM	75	\$14,991	70	1	\$344	2	\$333	2	\$89	5	\$766	5.11%	94.89%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	471	\$97,261	452	2	\$648	13	\$1,335	4	\$317	19	\$2,300	2.36%	97.64%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
16%	15%	15%	50%	53%	15%	25%	50%	28%	26%	33%

**TEAM 8
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - February 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER	UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	ISSUE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		ERROR
7 BEAUFORT	2	\$241	2						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
16 CARTERET	4	\$1,659	4						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
25 CRAVEN	3	\$677	3						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
28 DARE	1	\$67	1						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
40 GREENE	1	\$41	1						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
48 HYDE									0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
52 JONES	1	\$231	1						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
54 LENOIR	5	\$1,516	5						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
67 ONSLOW	7	\$1,508	7						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
69 PAMLICO	1	\$79	1						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
74 PITT	9	\$2,634	8	1	\$304				1	\$304	11.54%	88.46%	
89 TYRRELL	1	\$445	1						0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
96 WAYNE	8	\$1,973	7			1	\$46		1	\$46	2.33%	97.67%	
STATE AGENCY									0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
TEAM	43	\$11,071	41	1	\$304	1	\$46	0	\$0	2	\$350	3.16%	96.84%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	471	\$97,261	452	2	\$648	13	\$1,335	4	\$317	19	\$2,300	2.36%	97.64%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
9%	11%	9%	50%	47%	8%	3%	0%	0%	11%	15%