

**TEAM 1**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - March 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	ISSUE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE	
	CASES		CASE				NO		NO		NO	RATE		
11 BUNCOMBE	11	\$2,665	11								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE	2	\$100	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
22 CLAY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	1	\$399	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
44 HAYWOOD	4	\$668	3					1	\$68		1	\$68	10.18%	89.82%
45 HENDERSON	5	\$555	5								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
50 JACKSON	2	\$248	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
56 MACON	2	\$303	1					1	\$43		1	\$43	14.19%	85.81%
57 MADISON	1	\$155	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
75 POLK	1	\$290	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
87 SWAIN	1	\$47	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	2	\$630	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>\$6,060</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$111</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$111</b>	<b>1.83%</b>	<b>98.17%</b>	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
<b>STATE</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>\$112,887</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$812</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$2,585</b>	<b>2.29%</b>	<b>97.71%</b>	

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
6%	5%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	14%	9%	4%

**TEAM 2**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - March 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY		
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE	
2 ALEXANDER	1	\$315	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
3 ALLEGHANY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
5 ASHE	1	\$155	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
6 AVERY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
12 BURKE	5	\$863	5								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
14 CALDWELL	5	\$1,005	4					1	\$28		1	\$28	2.79%	97.21%	
23 CLEVELAND	7	\$1,351	7								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
59 MCDOWELL	3	\$334	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
61 MITCHELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
81 RUTHERFORD	5	\$1,821	5								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
95 WATAUGA	2	\$293	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
97 WILKES	4	\$694	1				2	\$129		1	\$261	3	\$390	56.20%	43.80%
00 YANCEY	5	\$935	5								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>\$7,766</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$129</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$289</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$418</b>	<b>5.38%</b>	<b>94.62%</b>		
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****		
<b>STATE</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>\$112,887</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$812</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$2,585</b>	<b>2.29%</b>	<b>97.71%</b>		

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP	TOTAL	NUM CORR	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
7%	7%	7%	0%	0%	20%	14%	22%	36%	17%	16%

**TEAM 3**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - March 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE									RATE		
4 ANSON	5	\$944	5								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	7	\$1,350	7								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	11	\$2,421	11								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	12	\$3,133	10				2	\$169			2	\$169	5.39%	94.61%
49 IREDELL	6	\$406	5				1	\$96			1	\$96	23.65%	76.35%
55 LINCOLN	3	\$175	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	39	\$7,748	36				2	\$353	1	\$37	3	\$390	5.03%	94.97%
62 MONTGOMERY	2	\$441	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	5	\$921	5								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	7	\$1,540	7								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
84 STANLY	3	\$957	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	4	\$1,486	4								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>\$21,522</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$618</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$37</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$655</b>	<b>3.04%</b>	<b>96.96%</b>	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
<b>STATE</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>\$112,887</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$812</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$2,585</b>	<b>2.29%</b>	<b>97.71%</b>	

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	19%	20%	0%	0%	50%	66%	11%	5%	26%	25%

**TEAM 4**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - March 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
1 ALAMANCE	5	\$1,297	4			1	\$67			1	\$67	5.17%	94.83%
17 CASWELL	1	\$16	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	8	\$1,698	7					1	\$222	1	\$222	13.07%	86.93%
30 DAVIE	3	\$491	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	18	\$3,465	17			1	\$81			1	\$81	2.34%	97.66%
41 GUILFORD	28	\$8,293	27					1	\$57	1	\$57	0.69%	99.31%
68 ORANGE	4	\$1,173	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
73 PERSON	3	\$675	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	7	\$1,588	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	6	\$867	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	3	\$876	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	5	\$1,190	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>\$21,667</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$148</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$279</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$427</b>	<b>1.97%</b>	<b>98.03%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>\$112,887</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$812</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$2,585</b>	<b>2.29%</b>	<b>97.71%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	19%	18%	0%	0%	20%	16%	22%	34%	17%	17%

**TEAM 5**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - March 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
19 CHATHAM	1	\$59	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	13	\$2,611	13							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN	3	\$577	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE	4	\$423	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
42 HALIFAX	7	\$1,970	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	6	\$1,428	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	10	\$1,806	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE	3	\$868	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	7	\$1,663	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	5	\$385	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
92 WAKE	24	\$6,386	22	2	\$435					2	\$435	6.81%	93.19%
93 WARREN	3	\$517	2	1	\$243					1	\$243	47.00%	53.00%
98 WILSON	7	\$1,834	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>\$20,527</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$678</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$678</b>	<b>3.30%</b>	<b>96.70%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>\$112,887</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$812</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$2,585</b>	<b>2.29%</b>	<b>97.71%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	18%	18%	75%	81%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	26%

**TEAM 6**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - March 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
9 BLADEN	6	\$346	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	5	\$1,101	4					1	\$38	1	\$38	3.45%	96.55%
24 COLUMBUS	6	\$1,778	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	24	\$5,344	23	1	\$155					1	\$155	2.90%	97.10%
31 DUPLIN	3	\$1,093	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	4	\$883	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	4	\$1,207	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	9	\$2,205	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
71 PENDER	2	\$183	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	17	\$4,181	17							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
82 SAMPSON	5	\$1,536	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	5	\$1,118	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>\$20,975</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$155</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$38</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$193</b>	<b>0.92%</b>	<b>99.08%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>\$112,887</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$812</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$2,585</b>	<b>2.29%</b>	<b>97.71%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
17%	19%	18%	25%	19%	0%	0%	11%	5%	9%	7%



**TEAM 8**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - March 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
7 BEAUFORT	4	\$835	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	5	\$690	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	5	\$524	4					1	\$58	1	\$58	11.07%	88.93%
28 DARE	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
40 GREENE	1	\$495	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	6	\$1,537	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	7	\$1,080	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	12	\$2,417	11			1	\$45			1	\$45	1.86%	98.14%
89 TYRRELL	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
96 WAYNE	9	\$1,995	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>\$9,766</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$45</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$58</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$103</b>	<b>1.05%</b>	<b>98.95%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>\$112,887</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$833</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$940</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$812</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$2,585</b>	<b>2.29%</b>	<b>97.71%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
10%	9%	10%	0%	0%	10%	5%	11%	7%	9%	4%