



**TEAM 2**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2008 - March 2009**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
2 ALEXANDER	4	\$1,571	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY	1	\$463	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE	3	\$420	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
6 AVERY	1	\$176	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	7	\$1,703	6					1	\$28	1	\$28	1.64%	98.36%
14 CALDWELL	5	\$764	4	1	\$142					1	\$142	18.59%	81.41%
23 CLEVELAND	9	\$2,965	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	1	\$153	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
61 MITCHELL	2	\$217	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
81 RUTHERFORD	6	\$985	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	\$23	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	5	\$790	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	2	\$53	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>\$10,283</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$142</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$28</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$170</b>	<b>1.65%</b>	<b>98.35%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>\$147,169</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$485</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,721</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,027</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>\$3,233</b>	<b>2.20%</b>	<b>97.80%</b>

Team / State Comparison Percentages	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE								
	8%	7%	8%	33%	29%	0%	0%	6%	3%	6%	5%

**TEAM 3**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2008 - March 2009**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
4 ANSON	2	\$912	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	8	\$1,843	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	12	\$2,826	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	15	\$4,746	13			1	\$45	1	\$30	2	\$75	1.58%	98.42%
49 IREDELL	5	\$1,583	4					1	\$45	1	\$45	2.84%	97.16%
55 LINCOLN	4	\$1,352	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	53	\$14,909	49			2	\$372	2	\$145	4	\$517	3.47%	96.53%
62 MONTGOMERY	2	\$28	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	6	\$1,615	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	8	\$2,543	7			1	\$59			1	\$59	2.32%	97.68%
84 STANLY	2	\$610	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	9	\$1,605	8	1	\$167					1	\$167	10.40%	89.60%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>\$34,572</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$167</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$476</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$220</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$863</b>	<b>2.50%</b>	<b>97.50%</b>
*****													
<b>STATE</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>\$147,169</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$485</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,721</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,027</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>\$3,233</b>	<b>2.20%</b>	<b>97.80%</b>

Team / State Comparison Percentages	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
	21%	23%	21%	33%	34%	25%	28%	25%	21%	26%	27%

**TEAM 4**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2008 - March 2009**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG	OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	ERROR	RATE	
	CASES		CASE							NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
1 ALAMANCE	7	\$1,263	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL	4	\$1,002	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	12	\$2,084	10					2	\$92	2	\$92	4.41%	95.59%
30 DAVIE	2	\$287	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	20	\$6,044	20							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
41 GUILFORD	33	\$8,205	31					2	\$126	2	\$126	1.54%	98.46%
68 ORANGE	4	\$770	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
73 PERSON	3	\$1,089	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	8	\$2,225	7			1	\$45			1	\$45	2.02%	97.98%
79 ROCKINGHAM	7	\$1,427	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	5	\$1,443	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	6	\$1,584	5					1	\$140	1	\$140	8.84%	91.16%
99 YADKIN	1	\$41	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>\$27,464</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$45</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$358</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$403</b>	<b>1.47%</b>	<b>98.53%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>\$147,169</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$485</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,721</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,027</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>\$3,233</b>	<b>2.20%</b>	<b>97.80%</b>

Team / State Comparison Percentages	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
	CASES		CASE								
	295		19%	0%	0%	6%	3%	31%	35%	17%	12%

**TEAM 5**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2008 - March 2009**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG	OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	ERROR	RATE	
	CASES		CASE							NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
19 CHATHAM										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
32 DURHAM	16	\$3,581	15					1	\$74	1	\$74	2.07%	97.93%
35 FRANKLIN	4	\$1,425	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE	1	\$137	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
42 HALIFAX	9	\$1,513	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	7	\$2,233	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	10	\$2,337	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE	5	\$1,387	4			1	\$132			1	\$132	9.52%	90.48%
64 NASH	6	\$2,195	4			1	\$74	1	\$89	2	\$163	7.43%	92.57%
91 VANCE	6	\$1,594	5			1	\$152			1	\$152	9.54%	90.46%
92 WAKE	29	\$8,473	28			1	\$134			1	\$134	1.58%	98.42%
93 WARREN	2	\$529	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
98 WILSON	8	\$2,250	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>\$27,654</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$492</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$163</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$655</b>	<b>2.37%</b>	<b>97.63%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>\$147,169</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$485</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,721</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,027</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>\$3,233</b>	<b>2.20%</b>	<b>97.80%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
17%	19%	17%	0%	0%	25%	29%	13%	16%	17%	20%

**TEAM 6**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2008 - March 2009**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	
9 BLADEN	3	\$1,337	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	6	\$1,105	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	6	\$1,339	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	23	\$5,754	18			3	\$208	2	\$168	5	\$376	6.53%	93.47%
31 DUPLIN	4	\$365	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	3	\$590	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	5	\$1,851	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	11	\$2,743	10			1	\$39			1	\$39	1.42%	98.58%
71 PENDER	5	\$1,252	4			1	\$137			1	\$137	10.94%	89.06%
78 ROBESON	15	\$2,886	13					2	\$90	2	\$90	3.12%	96.88%
82 SAMPSON	5	\$786	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	5	\$1,153	3	1	\$176	1	\$197			2	\$373	32.35%	67.65%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
										0			
TEAM	91	\$21,161	80	1	\$176	6	\$581	4	\$258	11	\$1,015	4.80%	95.20%
STATE	599	\$147,169	564	3	\$485	16	\$1,721	16	\$1,027	35	\$3,233	2.20%	97.80%

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
15%	14%	14%	33%	36%	38%	34%	25%	25%	31%	31%



**TEAM 8**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2008 - March 2009**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG	OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	ERROR	RATE	
	CASES		CASE							NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
7 BEAUFORT	4	\$795	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	4	\$631	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	6	\$1,614	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE	1	\$176	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
40 GREENE	4	\$1,411	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE	1	\$176	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	6	\$727	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	8	\$1,238	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO	1	\$300	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
74 PITT	14	\$3,376	13			1	\$127			1	\$127	3.76%	96.24%
89 TYRRELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	10	\$1,612	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>\$12,056</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$127</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$127</b>	<b>1.05%</b>	<b>98.95%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>\$147,169</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$485</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,721</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,027</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>\$3,233</b>	<b>2.20%</b>	<b>97.80%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
10%	8%	10%	0%	0%	6%	7%	0%	0%	3%	4%