



**TEAM 2**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2007 - April 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
2 ALEXANDER	3	\$740	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE	2	\$298	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
6 AVERY	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	6	\$1,388	5			1	\$53			1	\$53	3.82%	96.18%
14 CALDWELL	8	\$1,801	7					1	\$77	1	\$77	4.28%	95.72%
23 CLEVELAND	9	\$1,738	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	2	\$436	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
61 MITCHELL	2	\$324	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
81 RUTHERFORD	6	\$1,537	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	2	\$178	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	7	\$1,201	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	4	\$680	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>\$10,341</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$53</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$77</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$130</b>	<b>1.26%</b>	<b>98.74%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>\$136,027</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$1,046</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>\$1,393</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$425</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$2,864</b>	<b>2.11%</b>	<b>97.89%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	8%	8%	0%	0%	7%	4%	17%	18%	9%	5%

**TEAM 3  
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES  
October 2007 - April 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER	UNDER		TOTAL	ISSUE	ACCURACY		
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	ISSUE	NO	AMT	ERROR			RATE	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
4 ANSON	3	\$512	2			1	\$45			1	\$45	8.79%	91.21%
13 CABARRUS	10	\$2,081	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	9	\$1,892	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	17	\$2,716	17							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
49 IREDELL	7	\$1,992	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
55 LINCOLN	5	\$1,299	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	52	\$11,386	50			2	\$494			2	\$494	4.34%	95.66%
62 MONTGOMERY	3	\$374	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	5	\$1,019	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	9	\$1,366	8			1	\$58			1	\$58	4.25%	95.75%
84 STANLY	3	\$514	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	8	\$1,536	7	1	\$398					1	\$398	25.91%	74.09%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>\$26,687</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$398</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$597</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$995</b>	<b>3.73%</b>	<b>96.27%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>\$136,027</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$1,046</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>\$1,393</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$425</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$2,864</b>	<b>2.11%</b>	<b>97.89%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
20%	20%	20%	33%	38%	29%	43%	0%	0%	22%	35%

**TEAM 4**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2007 - April 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
1 ALAMANCE	6	\$1,102	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL	2	\$805	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	11	\$2,358	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
30 DAVIE	3	\$1,088	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	22	\$5,468	20			1	\$40	1	\$161	2	\$201	3.68%	96.32%
41 GUILFORD	36	\$8,146	36							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
68 ORANGE	4	\$895	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
73 PERSON	3	\$1,133	2					1	\$31	1	\$31	2.74%	97.26%
76 RANDOLPH	11	\$1,772	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	9	\$1,282	8			1	\$51			1	\$51	3.98%	96.02%
85 STOKES	4	\$1,210	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	7	\$872	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	2	\$502	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>\$26,633</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$91</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$192</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$283</b>	<b>1.06%</b>	<b>98.94%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>\$136,027</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$1,046</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>\$1,393</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$425</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$2,864</b>	<b>2.11%</b>	<b>97.89%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
295										
18%	20%	18%	0%	0%	14%	7%	33%	45%	17%	10%

**TEAM 5**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2007 - April 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
19 CHATHAM	2	\$150	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	18	\$3,498	18							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN	3	\$750	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE	3	\$769	2			1	\$101			1	\$101	13.13%	86.87%
42 HALIFAX	10	\$998	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	8	\$1,793	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	12	\$1,996	11			1	\$79			1	\$79	3.96%	96.04%
53 LEE	4	\$887	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	8	\$1,729	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	6	\$1,377	5			1	\$35			1	\$35	2.54%	97.46%
92 WAKE	33	\$6,800	32					1	\$67	1	\$67	0.99%	99.01%
93 WARREN	2	\$701	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
98 WILSON	8	\$1,508	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>\$22,956</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$215</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$67</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$282</b>	<b>1.23%</b>	<b>98.77%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>\$136,027</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$1,046</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>\$1,393</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$425</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$2,864</b>	<b>2.11%</b>	<b>97.89%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	17%	18%	0%	0%	21%	15%	17%	16%	17%	10%

**TEAM 6**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2007 - April 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
9 BLADEN	2	\$719	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	6	\$911	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	7	\$459	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	31	\$6,626	29			2	\$106			2	\$106	1.60%	98.40%
31 DUPLIN	4	\$1,142	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	4	\$979	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	6	\$1,478	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	11	\$1,063	9	1	\$344			1	\$42	2	\$386	36.31%	63.69%
71 PENDER	3	\$232	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	19	\$4,616	18					1	\$47	1	\$47	1.02%	98.98%
82 SAMPSON	6	\$457	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	6	\$1,935	5			1	\$285			1	\$285	14.73%	85.27%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
										0			
TEAM	105	\$20,617	99	1	\$344	3	\$391	2	\$89	6	\$824	4.00%	96.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	658	\$136,027	633	3	\$1,046	14	\$1,393	6	\$425	23	\$2,864	2.11%	97.89%

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
16%	15%	16%	33%	33%	21%	28%	33%	21%	26%	29%



**TEAM 8**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2007 - April 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
7 BEAUFORT	3	\$539	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	4	\$1,659	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	4	\$854	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE	1	\$67	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
40 GREENE	3	\$501	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES	1	\$231	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
54 LENOIR	9	\$1,779	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	9	\$2,170	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO	1	\$79	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
74 PITT	13	\$3,084	12	1	\$304					1	\$304	9.86%	90.14%
89 TYRRELL	2	\$607	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
96 WAYNE	11	\$2,683	10			1	\$46			1	\$46	1.71%	98.29%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>\$14,253</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$304</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$46</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$350</b>	<b>2.46%</b>	<b>97.54%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>\$136,027</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$1,046</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>\$1,393</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$425</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$2,864</b>	<b>2.11%</b>	<b>97.89%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
9%	10%	9%	33%	29%	7%	3%	0%	0%	9%	12%