



**TEAM 2**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2008 - April 2009**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG	OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	ISSUE		
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE
CASES		CASE										
2 ALEXANDER	5	\$1,609	4	1	\$38					1	\$38	2.36%
3 ALLEGHANY	2	\$479	2							0	\$0	0.00%
5 ASHE	3	\$420	3							0	\$0	0.00%
6 AVERY	1	\$176	1							0	\$0	0.00%
12 BURKE	8	\$1,719	7					1	\$28	1	\$28	1.63%
14 CALDWELL	6	\$1,270	5	1	\$142					1	\$142	11.18%
23 CLEVELAND	10	\$3,113	10							0	\$0	0.00%
59 MCDOWELL	1	\$153	1							0	\$0	0.00%
61 MITCHELL	2	\$217	2							0	\$0	0.00%
81 RUTHERFORD	6	\$985	6							0	\$0	0.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	\$23	1							0	\$0	0.00%
97 WILKES	6	\$929	6							0	\$0	0.00%
0 YANCEY	2	\$53	2							0	\$0	0.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>\$11,146</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$180</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$28</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$208</b>	<b>1.87%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>\$167,877</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$523</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>\$1,996</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,027</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>\$3,546</b>	<b>2.11%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	7%	8%	50%	34%	0%	0%	6%	3%	8%	6%

**TEAM 3**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2008 - April 2009**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	ERROR
	CASES		CASE							NO	AMT	RATE
4 ANSON	2	\$912	2							0	\$0	0.00%
13 CABARRUS	9	\$2,292	9							0	\$0	0.00%
18 CATAWBA	13	\$3,193	13							0	\$0	0.00%
36 GASTON	17	\$5,640	15			1	\$45	1	\$30	2	\$75	1.33%
49 IREDELL	6	\$2,089	5					1	\$45	1	\$45	2.15%
55 LINCOLN	5	\$2,245	5							0	\$0	0.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	59	\$17,424	55			2	\$372	2	\$145	4	\$517	2.97%
62 MONTGOMERY	3	\$395	3							0	\$0	0.00%
77 RICHMOND	6	\$1,615	6							0	\$0	0.00%
80 ROWAN	9	\$3,211	8			1	\$59			1	\$59	1.84%
84 STANLY	3	\$810	3							0	\$0	0.00%
90 UNION	10	\$1,733	9	1	\$167					1	\$167	9.64%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>\$41,559</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$167</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$476</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$220</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$863</b>	<b>2.08%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>\$167,877</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$523</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>\$1,996</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,027</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>\$3,546</b>	<b>2.11%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE								
21%	25%	21%	25%	32%	22%	24%	25%	21%	24%	24%

**TEAM 4**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2008 - April 2009**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR
	CASES		CASE									RATE
1 ALAMANCE	8	\$1,587	7			1	\$218			1	\$218	13.74%
17 CASWELL	4	\$1,002	4							0	\$0	0.00%
29 DAVIDSON	14	\$2,656	12					2	\$92	2	\$92	3.46%
30 DAVIE	2	\$287	2							0	\$0	0.00%
34 FORSYTH	23	\$7,031	23							0	\$0	0.00%
41 GUILFORD	37	\$9,437	35					2	\$126	2	\$126	1.34%
68 ORANGE	4	\$770	4							0	\$0	0.00%
73 PERSON	4	\$1,154	4							0	\$0	0.00%
76 RANDOLPH	10	\$2,698	9			1	\$45			1	\$45	1.67%
79 ROCKINGHAM	8	\$1,953	8							0	\$0	0.00%
85 STOKES	5	\$1,443	5							0	\$0	0.00%
86 SURRY	7	\$1,784	6					1	\$140	1	\$140	7.85%
99 YADKIN	1	\$41	1							0	\$0	0.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>\$31,843</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$263</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$358</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$621</b>	<b>1.95%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>\$167,877</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$523</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>\$1,996</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,027</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>\$3,546</b>	<b>2.11%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
295	19%	19%	19%	0%	0%	11%	13%	31%	35%	18%	18%

**TEAM 5**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2008 - April 2009**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG	OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	ISSUE		
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE
CASES		CASE										
19 CHATHAM	1	\$666	1							0	\$0	0.00%
32 DURHAM	18	\$3,735	17					1	\$74	1	\$74	1.98%
35 FRANKLIN	4	\$1,425	4							0	\$0	0.00%
39 GRANVILLE	1	\$137	1							0	\$0	0.00%
42 HALIFAX	11	\$1,936	11							0	\$0	0.00%
43 HARNETT	7	\$2,233	7							0	\$0	0.00%
51 JOHNSTON	12	\$2,856	12							0	\$0	0.00%
53 LEE	5	\$1,387	4			1	\$132			1	\$132	9.52%
64 NASH	7	\$2,434	5			1	\$74	1	\$89	2	\$163	6.70%
91 VANCE	7	\$2,262	6			1	\$152			1	\$152	6.72%
92 WAKE	33	\$8,900	32			1	\$134			1	\$134	1.51%
93 WARREN	2	\$529	2							0	\$0	0.00%
98 WILSON	9	\$2,558	8			1	\$57			1	\$57	2.23%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>\$31,058</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$549</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$163</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$712</b>	<b>2.29%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>\$167,877</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$523</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>\$1,996</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,027</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>\$3,546</b>	<b>2.11%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL			
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERRORS
CASES		CASE										
17%	19%	17%	0%	0%	28%	28%	13%	16%	18%	20%		

**TEAM 6**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2008 - April 2009**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
	CASES		CASE									RATE
9 BLADEN	4	\$1,503	4							0	\$0	0.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	7	\$1,545	7							0	\$0	0.00%
24 COLUMBUS	7	\$1,440	7							0	\$0	0.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	26	\$6,343	21			3	\$208	2	\$168	5	\$376	5.93%
31 DUPLIN	4	\$365	4							0	\$0	0.00%
47 HOKE	3	\$590	3							0	\$0	0.00%
63 MOORE	5	\$1,851	5							0	\$0	0.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	12	\$3,149	11			1	\$39			1	\$39	1.24%
71 PENDER	5	\$1,252	4			1	\$137			1	\$137	10.94%
78 ROBESON	15	\$2,886	13					2	\$90	2	\$90	3.12%
82 SAMPSON	6	\$986	6							0	\$0	0.00%
83 SCOTLAND	5	\$1,153	3	1	\$176	1	\$197			2	\$373	32.35%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!
										0		
TEAM	99	\$23,063	88	1	\$176	6	\$581	4	\$258	11	\$1,015	4.40%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	672	\$167,877	634	4	\$523	18	\$1,996	16	\$1,027	38	\$3,546	2.11%

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
15%	14%	14%	25%	34%	33%	29%	25%	25%	29%	29%



**TEAM 8**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2008 - April 2009**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG	OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	ISSUE		
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE
CASES		CASE										
7 BEAUFORT	4	\$795	4							0	\$0	0.00%
16 CARTERET	5	\$814	5							0	\$0	0.00%
25 CRAVEN	7	\$1,814	7							0	\$0	0.00%
28 DARE	1	\$176	1							0	\$0	0.00%
40 GREENE	4	\$1,411	4							0	\$0	0.00%
48 HYDE	1	\$176	1							0	\$0	0.00%
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	7	\$1,223	7							0	\$0	0.00%
67 ONSLOW	9	\$1,444	9							0	\$0	0.00%
69 PAMLICO	1	\$300	1							0	\$0	0.00%
74 PITT	15	\$3,902	14			1	\$127			1	\$127	3.25%
89 TYRRELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	11	\$1,812	11							0	\$0	0.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>\$13,867</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$127</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$127</b>	<b>0.92%</b>
*****												
<b>STATE</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>\$167,877</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$523</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>\$1,996</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$1,027</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>\$3,546</b>	<b>2.11%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE								
10%	8%	10%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	0%	3%	4%



ACCURACY  
RATE

---

---

100.00%  
100.00%  
#DIV/0!  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
#DIV/0!  
#DIV/0!  
100.00%  
#DIV/0!

---

---

100.00%  
\*\*\*\*\*  
97.89%

ACCURACY  
RATE

---

97.64%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
98.37%  
88.82%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
#DIV/0!

---

98.13%  
\*\*\*\*\*  
97.89%

ACCURACY  
RATE

---

---

100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
98.67%  
97.85%  
100.00%  
97.03%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
98.16%  
100.00%  
90.36%  
#DIV/0!

---

---

97.92%  
\*\*\*\*\*  
97.89%

ACCURACY  
RATE

---

86.26%  
100.00%  
96.54%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
98.66%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
98.33%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
92.15%  
100.00%  
#DIV/0!

---

98.05%  
\*\*\*\*\*  
97.89%

ACCURACY  
RATE

---

100.00%  
98.02%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
90.48%  
93.30%  
93.28%  
98.49%  
100.00%  
97.77%  
#DIV/0!

---

97.71%

\*\*\*\*\*

97.89%

ACCURACY  
RATE

---

100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
94.07%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
98.76%  
89.06%  
96.88%  
100.00%  
67.65%  
#DIV/0!

---

95.60%  
\*\*\*\*\*  
97.89%

ACCURACY  
RATE

---

100.00%  
#DIV/0!  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
#DIV/0!  
100.00%  
#DIV/0!

---

100.00%  
\*\*\*\*\*  
97.89%

ACCURACY  
RATE

---

100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
#DIV/0!  
100.00%  
100.00%  
100.00%  
96.75%  
#DIV/0!  
100.00%  
#DIV/0!

---

99.08%  
\*\*\*\*\*  
97.89%