

TEAM 1
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - May 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
11 BUNCOMBE	14	\$3,241	14								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE	3	\$508	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
22 CLAY	1	\$127	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
38 GRAHAM	1	\$399	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
44 HAYWOOD	6	\$848	5					1	\$68		1	\$68	8.02%	91.98%
45 HENDERSON	6	\$666	6								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
50 JACKSON	2	\$248	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
56 MACON	2	\$303	1					1	\$43		1	\$43	14.19%	85.81%
57 MADISON	2	\$180	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
75 POLK	1	\$290	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
87 SWAIN	2	\$288	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	2	\$630	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	42	\$7,728	40	0	\$0	0	\$0	2	\$111	2	\$111	1.44%	98.56%	

STATE	694	\$147,622	664	6	\$1,328	14	\$1,432	10	\$866	30	\$3,626	2.46%	97.54%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
6%	5%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	13%	7%	3%

TEAM 2
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - May 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
2 ALEXANDER	1	\$315	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
5 ASHE	1	\$155	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
6 AVERY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
12 BURKE	7	\$1,270	7								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
14 CALDWELL	7	\$1,450	6					1	\$28		1	\$28	1.93%	98.07%
23 CLEVELAND	9	\$2,304	9								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	3	\$334	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
61 MITCHELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	7	\$2,115	7								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	2	\$293	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	6	\$1,111	2	1	\$340	2	\$129	1	\$261		4	\$730	65.71%	34.29%
00 YANCEY	6	\$973	6								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	49	\$10,320	44	1	\$340	2	\$129	2	\$289	5	\$758	7.34%	92.66%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	694	\$147,622	664	6	\$1,328	14	\$1,432	10	\$866	30	\$3,626	2.46%	97.54%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP	TOTAL	NUM CORR	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
7%	7%	7%	17%	26%	14%	9%	20%	33%	17%	21%

TEAM 3
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - May 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
4 ANSON	7	\$998	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	9	\$1,807	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	13	\$2,843	13							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	18	\$4,562	16			2	\$169			2	\$169	3.70%	96.30%
49 IREDELL	7	\$814	6			1	\$96			1	\$96	11.79%	88.21%
55 LINCOLN	5	\$593	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	51	\$10,174	48			2	\$353	1	\$37	3	\$390	3.83%	96.17%
62 MONTGOMERY	2	\$441	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	7	\$1,276	6			1	\$34			1	\$34	2.66%	97.34%
80 ROWAN	9	\$2,024	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
84 STANLY	4	\$967	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	6	\$2,244	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	138	\$28,743	131	0	\$0	6	\$652	1	\$37	7	\$689	2.40%	97.60%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	694	\$147,622	664	6	\$1,328	14	\$1,432	10	\$866	30	\$3,626	2.46%	97.54%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	19%	20%	0%	0%	43%	46%	10%	4%	23%	19%

TEAM 4
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - May 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
1 ALAMANCE	8	\$1,545	7			1	\$67			1	\$67	4.34%	95.66%
17 CASWELL	1	\$16	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	11	\$2,483	10					1	\$222	1	\$222	8.94%	91.06%
30 DAVIE	3	\$491	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	24	\$4,755	23			1	\$81			1	\$81	1.70%	98.30%
41 GUILFORD	38	\$10,489	37					1	\$57	1	\$57	0.54%	99.46%
68 ORANGE	6	\$1,203	5					1	\$54	1	\$54	4.49%	95.51%
73 PERSON	5	\$868	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	9	\$2,035	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	8	\$987	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	4	\$886	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	7	\$1,395	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	125	\$27,191	120	0	\$0	2	\$148	3	\$333	5	\$481	1.77%	98.23%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	694	\$147,622	664	6	\$1,328	14	\$1,432	10	\$866	30	\$3,626	2.46%	97.54%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	18%	18%	0%	0%	14%	10%	30%	38%	17%	13%

TEAM 5
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - May 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
19 CHATHAM	1	\$59	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	17	\$3,938	17							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN	3	\$577	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE	6	\$1,081	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
42 HALIFAX	9	\$2,300	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	8	\$1,777	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	14	\$2,756	13			1	\$28			1	\$28	1.02%	98.98%
53 LEE	3	\$868	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	9	\$2,370	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	7	\$651	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
92 WAKE	32	\$7,976	30	2	\$435					2	\$435	5.45%	94.55%
93 WARREN	4	\$622	3	1	\$243					1	\$243	39.07%	60.93%
98 WILSON	9	\$2,242	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	122	\$27,217	118	3	\$678	1	\$28	0	\$0	4	\$706	2.59%	97.41%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	694	\$147,622	664	6	\$1,328	14	\$1,432	10	\$866	30	\$3,626	2.46%	97.54%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	18%	18%	50%	51%	7%	2%	0%	0%	13%	19%

TEAM 6
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - May 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
9 BLADEN	8	\$651	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	7	\$1,398	6					1	\$38	1	\$38	2.72%	97.28%
24 COLUMBUS	8	\$2,075	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	31	\$6,866	30	1	\$155					1	\$155	2.26%	97.74%
31 DUPLIN	5	\$1,169	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	5	\$1,099	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	5	\$1,261	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	12	\$2,928	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
71 PENDER	2	\$183	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	23	\$5,969	23							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
82 SAMPSON	7	\$1,985	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	6	\$1,302	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	119	\$26,886	117	1	\$155	0	\$0	1	\$38	2	\$193	0.72%	99.28%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	694	\$147,622	664	6	\$1,328	14	\$1,432	10	\$866	30	\$3,626	2.46%	97.54%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
17%	18%	18%	17%	12%	0%	0%	10%	4%	7%	5%

TEAM 8
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2006 - May 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
7 BEAUFORT	6	\$1,161	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	7	\$1,129	6	1	\$155					1	\$155	13.73%	86.27%
25 CRAVEN	7	\$1,051	6					1	\$58	1	\$58	5.52%	94.48%
28 DARE	2	\$439	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
40 GREENE	1	\$495	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	8	\$1,697	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	9	\$1,276	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	16	\$2,947	15			1	\$45			1	\$45	1.53%	98.47%
89 TYRRELL	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
96 WAYNE	12	\$2,879	10			2	\$430			2	\$430	14.94%	85.06%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	69	\$13,112	64	1	\$155	3	\$475	1	\$58	5	\$688	5.25%	94.75%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	694	\$147,622	664	6	\$1,328	14	\$1,432	10	\$866	30	\$3,626	2.46%	97.54%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
10%	9%	10%	17%	12%	21%	33%	10%	7%	17%	19%