

TEAM 2
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - June 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
2 ALEXANDER	3	\$740	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY	3	\$152	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE	2	\$298	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
6 AVERY	3	\$351	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	8	\$2,099	7			1	\$53			1	\$53	2.53%	97.47%
14 CALDWELL	10	\$1,902	9					1	\$77	1	\$77	4.05%	95.95%
23 CLEVELAND	12	\$2,168	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	4	\$896	3			1	\$77			1	\$77	8.59%	91.41%
61 MITCHELL	2	\$324	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
81 RUTHERFORD	8	\$1,868	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	2	\$178	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	7	\$1,201	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	6	\$1,375	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	70	\$13,552	67	0	\$0	2	\$130	1	\$77	3	\$207	1.53%	98.47%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	848	\$181,139	812	5	\$1,564	20	\$2,288	10	\$713	35	\$4,565	2.52%	97.48%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	7%	8%	0%	0%	10%	6%	10%	11%	9%	5%

TEAM 3
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - June 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
4 ANSON	3	\$512	2			1	\$45			1	\$45	8.79%	91.21%
13 CABARRUS	11	\$2,117	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	12	\$2,757	11	1	\$124					1	\$124	4.50%	95.50%
36 GASTON	22	\$3,894	21					1	\$136	1	\$136	3.49%	96.51%
49 IREDELL	9	\$2,181	8					1	\$29	1	\$29	1.33%	98.67%
55 LINCOLN	6	\$1,365	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	67	\$15,844	64			2	\$494	1	\$74	3	\$568	3.58%	96.42%
62 MONTGOMERY	5	\$690	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	7	\$2,010	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	11	\$2,140	10			1	\$58			1	\$58	2.71%	97.29%
84 STANLY	5	\$760	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	10	\$2,260	9	1	\$398					1	\$398	17.61%	82.39%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	168	\$36,530	159	2	\$522	4	\$597	3	\$239	9	\$1,358	3.72%	96.28%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	848	\$181,139	812	5	\$1,564	20	\$2,288	10	\$713	35	\$4,565	2.52%	97.48%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	20%	20%	40%	33%	20%	26%	30%	34%	26%	30%

TEAM 4
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - june 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
1 ALAMANCE	8	\$1,943	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL	3	\$1,103	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	15	\$4,000	15							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
30 DAVIE	3	\$1,088	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	27	\$6,741	25			1	\$40	1	\$161	2	\$201	2.98%	97.02%
41 GUILFORD	47	\$11,331	45	1	\$394	1	\$256			2	\$650	5.74%	94.26%
68 ORANGE	6	\$1,823	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
73 PERSON	4	\$1,236	3					1	\$31	1	\$31	2.51%	97.49%
76 RANDOLPH	14	\$2,519	14							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	11	\$1,787	10			1	\$51			1	\$51	2.85%	97.15%
85 STOKES	4	\$1,210	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	9	\$1,588	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	2	\$502	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	153	\$36,871	147	1	\$394	3	\$347	2	\$192	6	\$933	2.53%	97.47%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	848	\$181,139	812	5	\$1,564	20	\$2,288	10	\$713	35	\$4,565	2.52%	97.48%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
295										
18%	20%	18%	20%	25%	15%	15%	20%	27%	17%	20%

TEAM 5
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - June 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY		
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT			ERROR	RATE
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO			
19 CHATHAM	4	\$379	3							1	\$49	1	\$49	12.93%	87.07%
32 DURHAM	23	\$4,813	23									0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN	5	\$882	5									0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE	4	\$916	3				1	\$101				1	\$101	11.03%	88.97%
42 HALIFAX	13	\$1,457	13									0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	10	\$2,978	10									0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	15	\$2,466	14				1	\$79				1	\$79	3.20%	96.80%
53 LEE	5	\$897	5									0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	11	\$2,183	11									0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	8	\$2,207	7				1	\$35				1	\$35	1.59%	98.41%
92 WAKE	42	\$8,392	41							1	\$67	1	\$67	0.80%	99.20%
93 WARREN	3	\$798	3									0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
98 WILSON	11	\$1,918	11									0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY												0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	154	\$30,286	149	0	\$0	3	\$215	2	\$116	5	\$331	1.09%	98.91%		
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****		
STATE	848	\$181,139	812	5	\$1,564	20	\$2,288	10	\$713	35	\$4,565	2.52%	97.48%		

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	17%	18%	0%	0%	15%	9%	20%	16%	14%	7%

TEAM 6
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - June 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
9 BLADEN	2	\$719	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	7	\$975	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	9	\$767	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	39	\$8,359	36			3	\$316			3	\$316	3.78%	96.22%
31 DUPLIN	6	\$1,223	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	4	\$979	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	6	\$1,478	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	13	\$2,138	10	1	\$344	1	\$80	1	\$42	3	\$466	21.80%	78.20%
71 PENDER	3	\$232	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	24	\$5,466	23					1	\$47	1	\$47	0.86%	99.14%
82 SAMPSON	8	\$913	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	8	\$2,053	7			1	\$285			1	\$285	13.88%	86.12%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
										0			
TEAM	129	\$25,302	121	1	\$344	5	\$681	2	\$89	8	\$1,114	4.40%	95.60%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	848	\$181,139	812	5	\$1,564	20	\$2,288	10	\$713	35	\$4,565	2.52%	97.48%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
15%	14%	15%	20%	22%	25%	30%	20%	12%	23%	24%

TEAM 7
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - June 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER	UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	ISSUE	NO	AMT	NO		AMT
8 BERTIE	7	\$1,485	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
15 CAMDEN	2	\$52	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
21 CHOWAN	2	\$516	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
27 CURRITUCK	1	\$443	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
33 EDGECOMBE	11	\$2,053	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
37 GATES	2	\$426	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
46 HERTFORD	6	\$1,058	5			1	\$90			1	\$90	8.51%	91.49%
58 MARTIN	5	\$974	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON	3	\$728	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
70 PASQUOTANK	7	\$1,008	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
72 PERQUIMANS	2	\$920	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
94 WASHINGTON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	48	\$9,663	47	0	\$0	1	\$90	0	\$0	1	\$90	0.93%	99.07%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	848	\$181,139	812	5	\$1,564	20	\$2,288	10	\$713	35	\$4,565	2.52%	97.48%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
6%	5%	6%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	0%	3%	2%

TEAM 8
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - June 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
7 BEAUFORT	3	\$539	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	5	\$1,787	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	6	\$1,243	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE	1	\$67	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
40 GREENE	4	\$799	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES	2	\$242	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
54 LENOIR	11	\$2,239	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	11	\$2,342	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO	1	\$79	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
74 PITT	16	\$3,772	14	1	\$304					1	\$304	8.06%	91.94%
89 TYRRELL	2	\$607	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
96 WAYNE	15	\$4,530	13			2	\$228			2	\$228	5.03%	94.97%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	77	\$18,246	73	1	\$304	2	\$228	0	\$0	3	\$532	2.92%	97.08%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	848	\$181,139	812	5	\$1,564	20	\$2,288	10	\$713	35	\$4,565	2.52%	97.48%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
9%	10%	9%	20%	19%	10%	10%	0%	0%	9%	12%	