

TEAM 2
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - July 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
2 ALEXANDER	4	\$1,166	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY	3	\$152	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE	3	\$308	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
6 AVERY	3	\$351	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	9	\$2,261	8			1	\$53			1	\$53	2.34%	97.66%
14 CALDWELL	11	\$2,413	10					1	\$77	1	\$77	3.19%	96.81%
23 CLEVELAND	14	\$2,210	14							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	5	\$1,374	4			1	\$77			1	\$77	5.60%	94.40%
61 MITCHELL	2	\$324	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
81 RUTHERFORD	9	\$2,166	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	2	\$178	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	8	\$1,743	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	7	\$1,727	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	80	\$16,373	77	0	\$0	2	\$130	1	\$77	3	\$207	1.26%	98.74%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	947	\$203,256	905	6	\$1,756	23	\$2,496	13	\$894	42	\$5,146	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	8%	9%	0%	0%	9%	5%	8%	9%	7%	4%

TEAM 3
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - July 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	
4 ANSON	3	\$512	2			1	\$45			1	\$45	8.79%	91.21%
13 CABARRUS	13	\$2,854	13							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	13	\$2,767	12	1	\$124					1	\$124	4.48%	95.52%
36 GASTON	25	\$4,334	24					1	\$136	1	\$136	3.14%	96.86%
49 IREDELL	10	\$2,607	9					1	\$29	1	\$29	1.11%	98.89%
55 LINCOLN	7	\$1,425	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	75	\$18,435	71	1	\$192	2	\$494	1	\$74	4	\$760	4.12%	95.88%
62 MONTGOMERY	6	\$988	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	8	\$2,182	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	13	\$2,594	12			1	\$58			1	\$58	2.24%	97.76%
84 STANLY	5	\$760	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	12	\$2,720	11	1	\$398					1	\$398	14.63%	85.37%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	190	\$42,178	180	3	\$714	4	\$597	3	\$239	10	\$1,550	3.67%	96.33%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	947	\$203,256	905	6	\$1,756	23	\$2,496	13	\$894	42	\$5,146	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
20%	21%	20%	50%	41%	17%	24%	23%	27%	24%	30%	

TEAM 5
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - July 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
19 CHATHAM	4	\$379	3					1	\$49	1	\$49	12.93%	87.07%
32 DURHAM	25	\$5,095	25							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN	6	\$1,212	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE	4	\$916	3			1	\$101			1	\$101	11.03%	88.97%
42 HALIFAX	14	\$1,552	14							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	10	\$2,978	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	16	\$3,027	14			1	\$79	1	\$82	2	\$161	5.32%	94.68%
53 LEE	6	\$995	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	12	\$2,193	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	9	\$2,721	8			1	\$35			1	\$35	1.29%	98.71%
92 WAKE	47	\$9,674	46					1	\$67	1	\$67	0.69%	99.31%
93 WARREN	3	\$798	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
98 WILSON	13	\$2,177	13							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	169	\$33,717	163	0	\$0	3	\$215	3	\$198	6	\$413	1.22%	98.78%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	947	\$203,256	905	6	\$1,756	23	\$2,496	13	\$894	42	\$5,146	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE								
18%	17%	18%	0%	0%	13%	9%	23%	22%	14%	8%

TEAM 6
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - July 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
9 BLADEN	3	\$784	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	7	\$975	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	10	\$777	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	43	\$9,341	40			3	\$316			3	\$316	3.38%	96.62%
31 DUPLIN	7	\$1,448	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	5	\$989	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	7	\$1,789	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	14	\$2,602	11	1	\$344	1	\$80	1	\$42	3	\$466	17.91%	82.09%
71 PENDER	4	\$401	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	27	\$5,949	26					1	\$47	1	\$47	0.79%	99.21%
82 SAMPSON	9	\$1,075	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	9	\$2,257	7			2	\$330			2	\$330	14.62%	85.38%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
										0			
TEAM	145	\$28,387	136	1	\$344	6	\$726	2	\$89	9	\$1,159	4.08%	95.92%
STATE	947	\$203,256	905	6	\$1,756	23	\$2,496	13	\$894	42	\$5,146	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
15%	14%	15%	17%	20%	26%	29%	15%	10%	21%	23%

TEAM 7
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - July 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
8 BERTIE	8	\$1,629	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
15 CAMDEN	2	\$52	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
21 CHOWAN	3	\$577	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
27 CURRITUCK	1	\$443	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
33 EDGECOMBE	13	\$2,159	13							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
37 GATES	2	\$426	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
46 HERTFORD	6	\$1,058	5			1	\$90			1	\$90	8.51%	91.49%
58 MARTIN	5	\$974	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON	3	\$728	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
70 PASQUOTANK	8	\$1,018	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
72 PERQUIMANS	2	\$920	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
94 WASHINGTON	1	\$419	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	54	\$10,403	53	0	\$0	1	\$90	0	\$0	1	\$90	0.87%	99.13%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	947	\$203,256	905	6	\$1,756	23	\$2,496	13	\$894	42	\$5,146	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
6%	5%	6%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	0%	2%	2%

TEAM 8
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - July 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
7 BEAUFORT	3	\$539	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	5	\$1,787	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	7	\$1,363	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE	2	\$493	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
40 GREENE	5	\$877	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES	2	\$242	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
54 LENOIR	12	\$2,481	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	12	\$2,763	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO	1	\$79	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
74 PITT	18	\$3,923	16	1	\$304			1	\$47	2	\$351	8.95%	91.05%
89 TYRRELL	2	\$607	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
96 WAYNE	16	\$4,681	13			2	\$228	1	\$52	3	\$280	5.98%	94.02%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	85	\$19,835	80	1	\$304	2	\$228	2	\$99	5	\$631	3.18%	96.82%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	947	\$203,256	905	6	\$1,756	23	\$2,496	13	\$894	42	\$5,146	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
9%	10%	9%	17%	17%	9%	9%	15%	11%	12%	12%