

TEAM 2
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - August 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
2 ALEXANDER	5	\$1,708	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY	3	\$152	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE	3	\$308	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
6 AVERY	3	\$351	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	9	\$2,261	8			1	\$53			1	\$53	2.34%	97.66%
14 CALDWELL	12	\$2,454	11					1	\$77	1	\$77	3.14%	96.86%
23 CLEVELAND	15	\$2,372	15							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	5	\$1,374	4			1	\$77			1	\$77	5.60%	94.40%
61 MITCHELL	2	\$324	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
81 RUTHERFORD	10	\$2,465	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	2	\$178	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	9	\$1,797	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	7	\$1,727	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	85	\$17,471	82	0	\$0	2	\$130	1	\$77	3	\$207	1.18%	98.82%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1052	\$224,942	1003	8	\$1,895	26	\$2,801	15	\$991	49	\$5,687	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	8%	8%	0%	0%	8%	5%	7%	8%	6%	4%

TEAM 3
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - August 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
4 ANSON	4	\$709	3			1	\$45			1	\$45	6.35%	93.65%
13 CABARRUS	14	\$3,387	14							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	15	\$3,280	13	2	\$211					2	\$211	6.43%	93.57%
36 GASTON	28	\$4,980	27					1	\$136	1	\$136	2.73%	97.27%
49 IREDELL	11	\$3,056	10					1	\$29	1	\$29	0.95%	99.05%
55 LINCOLN	7	\$1,425	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	83	\$20,029	78	1	\$192	2	\$494	2	\$122	5	\$808	4.03%	95.97%
62 MONTGOMERY	7	\$1,037	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	9	\$2,263	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	15	\$2,902	14			1	\$58			1	\$58	2.00%	98.00%
84 STANLY	5	\$760	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	13	\$3,146	12	1	\$398					1	\$398	12.65%	87.35%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	211	\$46,974	199	4	\$801	4	\$597	4	\$287	12	\$1,685	3.59%	96.41%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1052	\$224,942	1003	8	\$1,895	26	\$2,801	15	\$991	49	\$5,687	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	21%	20%	50%	42%	15%	21%	27%	29%	24%	30%

TEAM 4
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - August 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER	UNDER		TOTAL	ISSUE	ACCURACY		
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	ISSUE	NO	AMT	ERROR			RATE	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
1 ALAMANCE	11	\$2,813	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL	5	\$1,220	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	19	\$5,069	19							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
30 DAVIE	3	\$1,088	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	33	\$8,106	30			2	\$105	1	\$161	3	\$266	3.28%	96.72%
41 GUILFORD	59	\$13,127	54	2	\$446	2	\$354	1	\$49	5	\$849	6.47%	93.53%
68 ORANGE	7	\$2,289	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
73 PERSON	4	\$1,236	3					1	\$31	1	\$31	2.51%	97.49%
76 RANDOLPH	15	\$2,681	15							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	13	\$2,463	12			1	\$51			1	\$51	2.07%	97.93%
85 STOKES	6	\$1,413	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	11	\$2,176	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	2	\$502	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	188	\$44,183	178	2	\$446	5	\$510	3	\$241	10	\$1,197	2.71%	97.29%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1052	\$224,942	1003	8	\$1,895	26	\$2,801	15	\$991	49	\$5,687	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
295										
18%	20%	18%	25%	24%	19%	18%	20%	24%	20%	21%

TEAM 5
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - August 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
19 CHATHAM	4	\$379	3					1	\$49	1	\$49	12.93%	87.07%
32 DURHAM	26	\$5,393	26							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN	7	\$1,483	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE	4	\$916	3			1	\$101			1	\$101	11.03%	88.97%
42 HALIFAX	15	\$1,562	15							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	12	\$3,238	11			1	\$76			1	\$76	2.35%	97.65%
51 JOHNSTON	18	\$3,335	16			1	\$79	1	\$82	2	\$161	4.83%	95.17%
53 LEE	7	\$1,164	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	14	\$2,606	14							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	10	\$3,147	9			1	\$35			1	\$35	1.11%	98.89%
92 WAKE	52	\$11,229	51					1	\$67	1	\$67	0.60%	99.40%
93 WARREN	4	\$849	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
98 WILSON	14	\$2,588	13			1	\$72			1	\$72	2.78%	97.22%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	187	\$37,889	179	0	\$0	5	\$363	3	\$198	8	\$561	1.48%	98.52%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1052	\$224,942	1003	8	\$1,895	26	\$2,801	15	\$991	49	\$5,687	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	17%	18%	0%	0%	19%	13%	20%	20%	16%	10%

TEAM 6
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - August 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
9 BLADEN	3	\$784	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	8	\$1,065	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	11	\$1,107	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	48	\$10,746	45			3	\$316			3	\$316	2.94%	97.06%
31 DUPLIN	8	\$1,736	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	5	\$989	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	7	\$1,789	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	16	\$2,991	13	1	\$344	1	\$80	1	\$42	3	\$466	15.58%	84.42%
71 PENDER	4	\$401	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	30	\$6,193	29					1	\$47	1	\$47	0.76%	99.24%
82 SAMPSON	10	\$1,373	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	10	\$2,419	8			2	\$330			2	\$330	13.64%	86.36%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
										0			
TEAM	160	\$31,593	151	1	\$344	6	\$726	2	\$89	9	\$1,159	3.67%	96.33%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1052	\$224,942	1003	8	\$1,895	26	\$2,801	15	\$991	49	\$5,687	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
CASES	ISSUE	CASE								
15%	14%	15%	13%	18%	23%	26%	13%	9%	18%	20%

TEAM 7
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - August 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
8 BERTIE	9	\$1,694	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
15 CAMDEN	3	\$92	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
21 CHOWAN	4	\$642	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
27 CURRITUCK	1	\$443	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
33 EDGECOMBE	15	\$2,613	14			1	\$157			1	\$157	6.01%	93.99%
37 GATES	2	\$426	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
46 HERTFORD	7	\$1,068	6			1	\$90			1	\$90	8.43%	91.57%
58 MARTIN	6	\$1,136	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON	3	\$728	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
70 PASQUOTANK	9	\$1,180	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
72 PERQUIMANS	3	\$1,218	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
94 WASHINGTON	1	\$419	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	63	\$11,659	61	0	\$0	2	\$247	0	\$0	2	\$247	2.12%	97.88%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1052	\$224,942	1003	8	\$1,895	26	\$2,801	15	\$991	49	\$5,687	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
6%	5%	6%	0%	0%	8%	9%	0%	0%	4%	4%

TEAM 8
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007 - August 2008

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
7 BEAUFORT	4	\$641	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	5	\$1,787	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	9	\$1,596	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE	3	\$503	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
40 GREENE	5	\$877	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE	1	\$153	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
52 JONES	2	\$242	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
54 LENOIR	13	\$2,524	13							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	13	\$2,925	13							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO	1	\$79	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
74 PITT	21	\$5,016	19	1	\$304			1	\$47	2	\$351	7.00%	93.00%
89 TYRRELL	2	\$607	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
96 WAYNE	18	\$4,943	15			2	\$228	1	\$52	3	\$280	5.66%	94.34%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	97	\$21,893	92	1	\$304	2	\$228	2	\$99	5	\$631	2.88%	97.12%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1052	\$224,942	1003	8	\$1,895	26	\$2,801	15	\$991	49	\$5,687	2.53%	97.47%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
9%	10%	9%	13%	16%	8%	8%	13%	10%	10%	11%