



**TEAM 2**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2007 - September 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
2 ALEXANDER	5	\$1,708	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY	3	\$152	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE	3	\$308	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
6 AVERY	3	\$351	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	10	\$2,471	9			1	\$53			1	\$53	2.14%	97.86%
14 CALDWELL	14	\$2,640	13					1	\$77	1	\$77	2.92%	97.08%
23 CLEVELAND	17	\$3,177	17							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	6	\$1,672	5			1	\$77			1	\$77	4.61%	95.39%
61 MITCHELL	2	\$324	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
81 RUTHERFORD	11	\$2,475	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	3	\$445	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	10	\$1,841	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	7	\$1,727	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>\$19,291</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$130</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$77</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$207</b>	<b>1.07%</b>	<b>98.93%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>1152</b>	<b>\$246,896</b>	<b>1097</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$2,057</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>\$3,275</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>\$1,079</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>\$6,411</b>	<b>2.60%</b>	<b>97.40%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	8%	8%	0%	0%	7%	4%	6%	7%	5%	3%

**TEAM 3**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2007 - September 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
4 ANSON	4	\$709	3			1	\$45			1	\$45	6.35%	93.65%
13 CABARRUS	15	\$3,629	15							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	17	\$4,064	15	2	\$211					2	\$211	5.19%	94.81%
36 GASTON	30	\$6,317	29					1	\$136	1	\$136	2.15%	97.85%
49 IREDELL	12	\$3,162	11					1	\$29	1	\$29	0.92%	99.08%
55 LINCOLN	7	\$1,425	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	93	\$22,790	88	1	\$192	2	\$494	2	\$122	5	\$808	3.55%	96.45%
62 MONTGOMERY	8	\$1,060	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	10	\$2,689	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	16	\$3,013	15			1	\$58			1	\$58	1.92%	98.08%
84 STANLY	5	\$760	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	14	\$3,543	13	1	\$398					1	\$398	11.23%	88.77%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>\$53,161</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$801</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$597</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$287</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>\$1,685</b>	<b>3.17%</b>	<b>96.83%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>1152</b>	<b>\$246,896</b>	<b>1097</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$2,057</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>\$3,275</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>\$1,079</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>\$6,411</b>	<b>2.60%</b>	<b>97.40%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	22%	20%	44%	39%	14%	18%	24%	27%	22%	26%

**TEAM 4**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2007 - September 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER	UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	ISSUE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		ERROR
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
1 ALAMANCE	13	\$3,027	13							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL	6	\$1,230	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	21	\$5,687	20					1	\$57	1	\$57	1.00%	99.00%
30 DAVIE	3	\$1,088	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	36	\$9,191	33			2	\$105	1	\$161	3	\$266	2.89%	97.11%
41 GUILFORD	64	\$14,342	57	2	\$446	3	\$437	2	\$80	7	\$963	6.71%	93.29%
68 ORANGE	7	\$2,289	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
73 PERSON	4	\$1,236	3					1	\$31	1	\$31	2.51%	97.49%
76 RANDOLPH	17	\$3,372	16			1	\$318			1	\$318	9.43%	90.57%
79 ROCKINGHAM	14	\$2,889	13			1	\$51			1	\$51	1.77%	98.23%
85 STOKES	6	\$1,413	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	12	\$2,223	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	2	\$502	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>\$48,489</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$446</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$911</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$329</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>\$1,686</b>	<b>3.48%</b>	<b>96.52%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>1152</b>	<b>\$246,896</b>	<b>1097</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$2,057</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>\$3,275</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>\$1,079</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>\$6,411</b>	<b>2.60%</b>	<b>97.40%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
295										
18%	20%	17%	22%	22%	24%	28%	29%	30%	25%	26%

**TEAM 5**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2007 - September 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
19 CHATHAM	4	\$379	3					1	\$49	1	\$49	12.93%	87.07%
32 DURHAM	29	\$5,736	29							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN	7	\$1,483	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE	4	\$916	3			1	\$101			1	\$101	11.03%	88.97%
42 HALIFAX	16	\$1,724	16							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	13	\$3,396	12			1	\$76			1	\$76	2.24%	97.76%
51 JOHNSTON	20	\$4,039	18			1	\$79	1	\$82	2	\$161	3.99%	96.01%
53 LEE	7	\$1,164	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	16	\$2,970	16							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	11	\$3,157	10			1	\$35			1	\$35	1.11%	98.89%
92 WAKE	57	\$12,166	56					1	\$67	1	\$67	0.55%	99.45%
93 WARREN	4	\$849	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
98 WILSON	16	\$2,934	15			1	\$72			1	\$72	2.45%	97.55%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>\$40,913</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$363</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$198</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>\$561</b>	<b>1.37%</b>	<b>98.63%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>1152</b>	<b>\$246,896</b>	<b>1097</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$2,057</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>\$3,275</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>\$1,079</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>\$6,411</b>	<b>2.60%</b>	<b>97.40%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	17%	18%	0%	0%	17%	11%	18%	18%	15%	9%

**TEAM 6**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2007 - September 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
9 BLADEN	3	\$784	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	9	\$1,227	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	11	\$1,107	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	53	\$11,789	50			3	\$316			3	\$316	2.68%	97.32%
31 DUPLIN	9	\$1,802	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	5	\$989	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	8	\$1,986	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	17	\$3,153	14	1	\$344	1	\$80	1	\$42	3	\$466	14.78%	85.22%
71 PENDER	5	\$563	4	1	\$162					1	\$162	28.77%	71.23%
78 ROBESON	33	\$6,895	32					1	\$47	1	\$47	0.68%	99.32%
82 SAMPSON	11	\$1,407	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	10	\$2,419	8			2	\$330			2	\$330	13.64%	86.36%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
										0			
TEAM	174	\$34,121	164	2	\$506	6	\$726	2	\$89	10	\$1,321	3.87%	96.13%
STATE	1152	\$246,896	1097	9	\$2,057	29	\$3,275	17	\$1,079	55	\$6,411	2.60%	97.40%

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
15%	14%	15%	22%	25%	21%	22%	12%	8%	18%	21%

**TEAM 7**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2007 - September 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
8 BERTIE	10	\$1,856	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
15 CAMDEN	3	\$92	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
21 CHOWAN	5	\$729	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
27 CURRITUCK	1	\$443	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
33 EDGECOMBE	16	\$2,820	15			1	\$157			1	\$157	5.57%	94.43%
37 GATES	3	\$467	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
46 HERTFORD	8	\$1,186	7			1	\$90			1	\$90	7.59%	92.41%
58 MARTIN	6	\$1,136	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON	3	\$728	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
70 PASQUOTANK	10	\$1,190	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
72 PERQUIMANS	3	\$1,218	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
94 WASHINGTON	1	\$419	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>\$12,284</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$247</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$247</b>	<b>2.01%</b>	<b>97.99%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>1152</b>	<b>\$246,896</b>	<b>1097</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$2,057</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>\$3,275</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>\$1,079</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>\$6,411</b>	<b>2.60%</b>	<b>97.40%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES	ISSUE	CASE								
6%	5%	6%	0%	0%	7%	8%	0%	0%	4%	4%

**TEAM 8**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2007 - September 2008**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
7 BEAUFORT	4	\$641	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	5	\$1,787	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	10	\$1,824	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE	3	\$503	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
40 GREENE	6	\$1,175	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE	1	\$153	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
52 JONES	2	\$242	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
54 LENOIR	15	\$2,845	15							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	15	\$3,230	14			1	\$73			1	\$73	2.26%	97.74%
69 PAMLICO	1	\$79	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
74 PITT	24	\$5,743	22	1	\$304			1	\$47	2	\$351	6.11%	93.89%
89 TYRRELL	2	\$607	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
96 WAYNE	19	\$4,953	16			2	\$228	1	\$52	3	\$280	5.65%	94.35%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>\$23,782</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$304</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$301</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$99</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$704</b>	<b>2.96%</b>	<b>97.04%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>1152</b>	<b>\$246,896</b>	<b>1097</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$2,057</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>\$3,275</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>\$1,079</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>\$6,411</b>	<b>2.60%</b>	<b>97.40%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
9%	10%	9%	11%	15%	10%	9%	12%	9%	11%	11%	