

TEAM 1
FS ERROR RATES
October 2006 - October 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
11 BUNCOMBE	2	\$76	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
22 CLAY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
44 HAYWOOD	1	\$52	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
45 HENDERSON	1	\$51	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
50 JACKSON											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
56 MACON											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
57 MADISON											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
75 POLK											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
87 SWAIN	1	\$47	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	5	\$226	5	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	89	\$18,491	84	0	\$0	3	\$201	2	\$95	5	\$296	1.60%	98.40%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
6%	1%	6%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

TEAM 2
FS ERROR RATES
October 2006 - October 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR
2 ALEXANDER											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
3 ALLEGHANY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
5 ASHE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
6 AVERY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
12 BURKE	1	\$148	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
14 CALDWELL	1	\$155	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
23 CLEVELAND	1	\$98	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
61 MITCHELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	1	\$463	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	\$155	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	1	\$221				1	\$29				1	\$29	13.12%	86.88%
0 YANCEY	1	\$10	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	7	\$1,250	6	0	\$0	1	\$29	0	\$0	1	\$29	2.32%	97.68%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	89	\$18,491	84	0	\$0	3	\$201	2	\$95	5	\$296	1.60%	98.40%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	7%	7%	#####	#DIV/0!	33%	14%	0%	0%	20%	10%

TEAM 3
FS ERROR RATES
October 2006 - October 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
4 ANSON	1	\$299	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	1	\$31	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	2	\$664	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	3	\$664	2			1	\$127			1	\$127	19.13%	80.87%
49 IREDELL	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
55 LINCOLN	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	6	\$1,667	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
62 MONTGOMERY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
77 RICHMOND	1	\$284	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	1	\$225	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
84 STANLY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
90 UNION	1	\$408	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	18	\$4,435	17	0	\$0	1	\$127	0	\$0	1	\$127	2.86%	97.14%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	89	\$18,491	84	0	\$0	3	\$201	2	\$95	5	\$296	1.60%	98.40%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	24%	20%	#####	#DIV/0!	33%	63%	0%	0%	20%	43%

TEAM 4
FS ERROR RATES
October 2006 - October 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	ERROR	RATE
	CASES										NO	AMT	RATE
1 ALAMANCE	1	\$284	1								0	\$0	100.00%
17 CASWELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!
29 DAVIDSON	2	\$95	2								0	\$0	100.00%
30 DAVIE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!
34 FORSYTH	3	\$393	3								0	\$0	100.00%
41 GUILFORD	5	\$1,447	4					1	\$57		1	\$57	3.94%
68 ORANGE	1	\$363	1								0	\$0	100.00%
73 PERSON	1	\$45	1								0	\$0	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	1	\$494	1								0	\$0	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	1	\$67	1								0	\$0	100.00%
85 STOKES	1	\$380	1								0	\$0	100.00%
86 SURRY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!
99 YADKIN											0	\$0	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!
TEAM	16	\$3,568	15	0	\$0	0	\$0	1	\$57	1	\$57	1.60%	98.40%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	89	\$18,491	84	0	\$0	3	\$201	2	\$95	5	\$296	1.60%	98.40%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
295										
18%	19%	18%	####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	50%	60%	20%	19%

TEAM 5
FS ERROR RATES
October 2006 - October 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	
19 CHATHAM												#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
32 DURHAM	2	\$310	2									0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN												#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
39 GRANVILLE	1	\$121	1									0.00%	100.00%
42 HALIFAX	1	\$10	1									0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	1	\$82	1									0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	2	\$269	2									0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE												#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
64 NASH	1	\$295	1									0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE												#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
92 WAKE	4	\$1,138	4									0.00%	100.00%
93 WARREN												#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
98 WILSON	1	\$212	1									0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY												#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	13	\$2,437	13	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	89	\$18,491	84	0	\$0	3	\$201	2	\$95	5	\$296	1.60%	98.40%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
15%	13%	15%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

TEAM 6
FS ERROR RATES
October 2006 - October 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
9 BLADEN	1	\$59	1								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	1	\$185						1	\$38		\$38	20.54%	79.46%
24 COLUMBUS	1	\$278	1								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	4	\$956	4								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
31 DUPLIN	1	\$444	1								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	1	\$327	1								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	1	\$458	1								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	2	\$732	2								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
71 PENDER											\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
78 ROBESON	3	\$664	3								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
82 SAMPSON	1	\$518	1								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	1	\$10	1								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	17	\$4,631	16	0	\$0	0	\$0	1	\$38	1	\$38	0.82%	99.18%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	89	\$18,491	84	0	\$0	3	\$201	2	\$95	5	\$296	1.60%	98.40%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
19%	25%	19%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	50%	40%	20%	13%

**TEAM 7
FS ERROR RATES
October 2006 - October 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE							NO	AMT	RATE	
8 BERTIE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
15 CAMDEN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
21 CHOWAN	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
27 CURRITUCK										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
33 EDGECOMBE	2	\$165	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
37 GATES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
46 HERTFORD										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
58 MARTIN	1	\$43	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
70 PASQUOTANK	1	\$194	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
72 PERQUIMANS										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
94 WASHINGTON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	5	\$557	5	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	89	\$18,491	84	0	\$0	3	\$201	2	\$95	5	\$296	1.60%	98.40%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
6%	3%	6%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**TEAM 8
FS ERROR RATES
October 2006 - October 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER	UNDER		TOTAL	ISSUE	ACCURACY		
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	ISSUE	NO	AMT			ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
7 BEAUFORT	1	\$187	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	1	\$32	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	1	\$284	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
40 GREENE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
48 HYDE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	1	\$385	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	1	\$249	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	2	\$151	1			1	\$45			1	\$45	29.80%	70.20%
89 TYRRELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	1	\$99	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	8	\$1,387	7	0	\$0	1	\$45	0	\$0	1	\$45	3.24%	96.76%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	89	\$18,491	84	0	\$0	3	\$201	2	\$95	5	\$296	1.60%	98.40%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
9%	8%	8%	#####	#DIV/0!	33%	22%	0%	0%	20%	15%