

**TEAM 3
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
4 ANSON	1	\$102	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	2	\$756	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	1	\$123	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	2	\$277	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
49 IREDELL	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
55 LINCOLN	1	\$102	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	6	\$1,388	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
62 MONTGOMERY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
77 RICHMOND										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
80 ROWAN	2	\$230	1			1	\$58			1	\$58	25.22%	74.78%
84 STANLY	1	\$298	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	1	\$426	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	18	\$3,712	17	0	\$0	1	\$58	0	\$0	1	\$58	1.56%	98.44%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	92	\$21,732	87	1	\$304	3	\$146	1	\$67	5	\$517	2.38%	97.62%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
20%	17%	20%	0%	0%	33%	40%	0%	0%	20%	11%

TEAM 4
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER	UNDER		TOTAL	ISSUE	ACCURACY		
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	ISSUE	NO	AMT	ERROR			ERROR	RATE
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
1 ALAMANCE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
17 CASWELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
29 DAVIDSON	2	\$852	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
30 DAVIE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
34 FORSYTH	3	\$1,225	2			1	\$40			1	\$40	3.27%	96.73%
41 GUILFORD	6	\$1,495	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
68 ORANGE	1	\$162	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
73 PERSON	1	\$129	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	2	\$203	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	1	\$114	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
86 SURRY	1	\$60	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	17	\$4,240	16	0	\$0	1	\$40	0	\$0	1	\$40	0.94%	99.06%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	92	\$21,732	87	1	\$304	3	\$146	1	\$67	5	\$517	2.38%	97.62%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
295										
18%	20%	18%	0%	0%	33%	27%	0%	0%	20%	8%

**TEAM 5
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		ERROR
19 CHATHAM											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
32 DURHAM	2	\$405	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN	1	\$162	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
42 HALIFAX	1	\$41	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	2	\$467	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	1	\$10	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE	1	\$426	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	1	\$234	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	1	\$237	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
92 WAKE	5	\$1,353	4					1	\$67		1	\$67	4.95%	95.05%
93 WARREN											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
98 WILSON	2	\$251	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	17	\$3,586	16	0	\$0	0	\$0	1	\$67		1	\$67	1.87%	98.13%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	92	\$21,732	87	1	\$304	3	\$146	1	\$67		5	\$517	2.38%	97.62%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	17%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	20%	13%

TEAM 6
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
9 BLADEN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
10 BRUNSWICK	1	\$443	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	1	\$34	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	5	\$1,253	4			1	\$48			1	\$48	3.83%	96.17%
31 DUPLIN	1	\$298	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
63 MOORE	1	\$162	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	1	\$21	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
71 PENDER										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
78 ROBESON	3	\$863	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
82 SAMPSON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
83 SCOTLAND	1	\$111	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
										0	\$0		
TEAM	14	\$3,185	13	0	\$0	1	\$48	0	\$0	1	\$48	1.51%	98.49%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	92	\$21,732	87	1	\$304	3	\$146	1	\$67	5	\$517	2.38%	97.62%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
15%	15%	15%	0%	0%	33%	33%	0%	0%	20%	9%

TEAM 8
FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES
October 2007

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
7 BEAUFORT	1	\$65	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	1	\$518	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
28 DARE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
40 GREENE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
48 HYDE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	1	\$122	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	1	\$102	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	2	\$466	1	1		\$304					1	\$304	65.24%	34.76%
89 TYRRELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	1	\$500	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	7	\$1,773	6	1		\$304	0	\$0	0	\$0	1	\$304	17.15%	82.85%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	92	\$21,732	87	1		\$304	3	\$146	1	\$67	5	\$517	2.38%	97.62%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	8%	7%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	59%