

**TEAM 1**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - November 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
11 BUNCOMBE	4	\$1,057	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
22 CLAY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	1	\$399	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
44 HAYWOOD	2	\$172	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
45 HENDERSON	1	\$51	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
50 JACKSON	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
56 MACON	1	\$241						1	\$43	1	\$43	17.84%	82.16%
57 MADISON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
75 POLK	1	\$290	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
87 SWAIN	1	\$47	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	1	\$408	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>\$2,858</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$43</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$43</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>98.50%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>\$37,143</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$243</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$138</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$381</b>	<b>1.03%</b>	<b>98.97%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	8%	8%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	33%	31%	14%	11%

**TEAM 2**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - November 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
2 ALEXANDER										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
3 ALLEGHANY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
5 ASHE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
6 AVERY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
12 BURKE	2	\$556	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
14 CALDWELL	2	\$310	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
23 CLEVELAND	2	\$547	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
61 MITCHELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	1	\$463	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	1	\$221				1	\$29			1	\$29	13.12%	86.88%
0 YANCEY	2	\$48	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>\$2,455</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$29</b>	<b>1.18%</b>	<b>98.82%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>\$37,143</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$243</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$138</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$381</b>	<b>1.03%</b>	<b>98.97%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
7%	7%	7%	#####	#DIV/0!	25%	12%	0%	0%	14%	8%

**TEAM 3**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - November 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
4 ANSON	2	\$538	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	2	\$439	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	4	\$912	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	5	\$1,227	3			2	\$169			2	\$169	13.77%	86.23%
49 IREDELL	2	\$48	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
55 LINCOLN	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	11	\$2,364	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
62 MONTGOMERY	1	\$412	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	2	\$439	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	3	\$489	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
84 STANLY	1	\$43	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	2	\$710	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>\$7,776</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$169</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$169</b>	<b>2.17%</b>	<b>97.83%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>\$37,143</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$243</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$138</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$381</b>	<b>1.03%</b>	<b>98.97%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
20%	21%	20%	#####	#DIV/0!	50%	70%	0%	0%	29%	44%

**TEAM 4**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - November 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	ERROR	
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE							NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
1 ALAMANCE	2	\$544	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
29 DAVIDSON	3	\$206	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
30 DAVIE	1	\$408	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	6	\$1,133	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
41 GUILFORD	8	\$2,380	7					1	\$57	1	\$57	2.39%	97.61%
68 ORANGE	2	\$734	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
73 PERSON	1	\$45	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	2	\$649	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	2	\$105	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	1	\$380	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	1	\$284	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>\$6,868</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$57</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$57</b>	<b>0.83%</b>	<b>99.17%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>\$37,143</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$243</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$138</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$381</b>	<b>1.03%</b>	<b>98.97%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
295		17%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	33%	41%	14%	15%

**TEAM 5**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - November 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	
19 CHATHAM												#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
32 DURHAM	5	\$993	5									0.00%	100.00%
35 FRANKLIN	1	\$114	1									0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE	1	\$121	1									0.00%	100.00%
42 HALIFAX	2	\$294	2									0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	2	\$606	2									0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	3	\$385	3									0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE	1	\$108	1									0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	3	\$678	3									0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	1	\$20	1									0.00%	100.00%
92 WAKE	7	\$1,648	7									0.00%	100.00%
93 WARREN	1	\$155	1									0.00%	100.00%
98 WILSON	3	\$506	3									0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY												#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	30	\$5,628	30	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE	176	\$37,143	168	0	\$0	4	\$243	3	\$138	7	\$381	1.03%	98.97%

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
17%	15%	18%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**TEAM 6**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - November 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
COUNTY	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
9 BLADEN	2	\$69	2								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	2	\$309	1					1	\$38		\$38	12.30%	87.70%
24 COLUMBUS	2	\$752	2								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	8	\$1,932	8								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
31 DUPLIN	1	\$444	1								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	1	\$327	1								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	1	\$458	1								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	2	\$732	2								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
71 PENDER	1	\$28	1								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	5	\$957	5								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
82 SAMPSON	2	\$717	2								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
83 SCOTLAND	2	\$154	2								\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>\$6,879</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$38</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$38</b>	<b>0.55%</b>	<b>99.45%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>\$37,143</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$243</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$138</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$381</b>	<b>1.03%</b>	<b>98.97%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
16%	19%	17%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	33%	28%	14%	10%

**TEAM 7**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - November 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	RATE	RATE
8 BERTIE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
15 CAMDEN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
21 CHOWAN	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
27 CURRITUCK	1	\$54	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
33 EDGECOMBE	3	\$562	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
37 GATES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
46 HERTFORD	1	\$408	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
58 MARTIN	1	\$43	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON	1	\$408	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
70 PASQUOTANK	1	\$194	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
72 PERQUIMANS										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
94 WASHINGTON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$1,824</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>\$37,143</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$243</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$138</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$381</b>	<b>1.03%</b>	<b>98.97%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
5%	5%	5%	#####	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**TEAM 8**  
**FS ACTIVE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2006 - November 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	ERROR	RATE
	CASES										NO	AMT	RATE
7 BEAUFORT	2	\$460	2								0	\$0	100.00%
16 CARTERET	2	\$42	2								0	\$0	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	2	\$362	2								0	\$0	100.00%
28 DARE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!
40 GREENE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!
48 HYDE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!
52 JONES											0	\$0	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	2	\$540	2								0	\$0	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	2	\$502	2								0	\$0	100.00%
69 PAMLICO											0	\$0	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	4	\$556	3				1	\$45			1	\$45	91.91%
89 TYRRELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	3	\$393	3								0	\$0	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>\$2,855</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$45</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$45</b>	<b>1.58%</b>	<b>98.42%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>\$37,143</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$243</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$138</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$381</b>	<b>1.03%</b>	<b>98.97%</b>

**Team / State  
Comparison  
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
10%	8%	10%	####	#DIV/0!	25%	19%	0%	0%	14%	12%