

**TEAM 1
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE									RATE	
11 BUNCOMBE	26	\$3,807	26							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE	6	\$918	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
22 CLAY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	2	\$37	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
44 HAYWOOD	8	\$1,563	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
45 HENDERSON	5	\$531	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
50 JACKSON	4	\$337	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
56 MACON	6	\$1,012	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
57 MADISON	1	\$128	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
75 POLK	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
87 SWAIN	2	\$565	1					1	\$140	1	\$140	24.78%	75.22%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	4	\$740	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	65	\$9,793	64	0	\$0	0	\$0	1	\$140	1	\$140	1.43%	98.57%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1116	\$217,152	1067	11	\$2,189	23	\$2,500	15	\$1,284	49	\$5,973	2.75%	97.25%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE								
6%	5%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	11%	2%	2%

**TEAM 2
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
2 ALEXANDER	1	\$318	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY	2	\$784	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
6 AVERY	1	\$15	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	10	\$1,909	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
14 CALDWELL	11	\$1,831	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
23 CLEVELAND	20	\$3,337	19			1	\$104			1	\$104	3.12%	96.88%
59 MCDOWELL	7	\$670	6			1	\$32			1	\$32	4.78%	95.22%
61 MITCHELL	2	\$386	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
81 RUTHERFORD	10	\$2,239	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	2	\$265	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	11	\$1,003	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	8	\$971	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	85	\$13,728	83	0	\$0	2	\$136	0	\$0	2	\$136	0.99%	99.01%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1116	\$217,152	1067	11	\$2,189	23	\$2,500	15	\$1,284	49	\$5,973	2.75%	97.25%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	6%	8%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	0%	4%	2%

**TEAM 3
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
4 ANSON	8	\$1,599	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	14	\$3,924	12	1	\$84	1	\$213			2	\$297	7.57%	92.43%
18 CATAWBA	19	\$4,203	19							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	24	\$5,467	22			1	\$41	1	\$49	2	\$90	1.65%	98.35%
49 IREDELL	11	\$2,242	10					1	\$90	1	\$90	4.01%	95.99%
55 LINCOLN	5	\$833	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	91	\$19,266	87	3	\$1,098			1	\$41	4	\$1,139	5.91%	94.09%
62 MONTGOMERY	7	\$1,189	5	1	\$10			1	\$121	2	\$131	11.02%	88.98%
77 RICHMOND	10	\$2,779	9					1	\$103	1	\$103	3.71%	96.29%
80 ROWAN	17	\$2,941	16					1	\$85	1	\$85	2.89%	97.11%
84 STANLY	7	\$1,177	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	13	\$2,031	13							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	226	\$47,651	213	5	\$1,192	2	\$254	6	\$489	13	\$1,935	4.06%	95.94%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1116	\$217,152	1067	11	\$2,189	23	\$2,500	15	\$1,284	49	\$5,973	2.75%	97.25%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	22%	20%	45%	54%	9%	10%	40%	38%	27%	32%

TEAM 4
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - September 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
1 ALAMANCE	11	\$2,425	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL	2	\$294	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	20	\$3,335	19					1	\$30	1	\$30	0.90%	99.10%
30 DAVIE	3	\$746	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	37	\$7,241	36					1	\$48	1	\$48	0.66%	99.34%
41 GUILFORD	58	\$13,393	54	1	\$23	3	\$184			4	\$207	1.55%	98.45%
68 ORANGE	10	\$1,394	9			1	\$142			1	\$142	10.19%	89.81%
73 PERSON	4	\$1,040	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	14	\$3,839	13					1	\$230	1	\$230	5.99%	94.01%
79 ROCKINGHAM	13	\$2,137	13							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	6	\$1,296	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	12	\$2,469	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	3	\$517	2	1	\$119					1	\$119	23.02%	76.98%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	193	\$40,126	184	2	\$142	4	\$326	3	\$308	9	\$776	1.93%	98.07%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1116	\$217,152	1067	11	\$2,189	23	\$2,500	15	\$1,284	49	\$5,973	2.75%	97.25%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CASES	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
17%	18%	17%	18%	6%	17%	13%	20%	24%	18%	13%

**TEAM 5
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
19 CHATHAM	2	\$346	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	26	\$5,625	24	1	\$152	1	\$101				2	\$253	4.50%	95.50%
35 FRANKLIN	9	\$1,643	8					1	\$39		1	\$39	2.37%	97.63%
39 GRANVILLE	3	\$799	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
42 HALIFAX	18	\$3,426	18								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	15	\$2,507	15								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	19	\$3,235	18			1	\$91				1	\$91	2.81%	97.19%
53 LEE	7	\$1,252	7								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	18	\$3,964	18								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	11	\$1,209	10			1	\$131				1	\$131	10.84%	89.16%
92 WAKE	49	\$10,471	45			2	\$112	2	\$118		4	\$230	2.20%	97.80%
93 WARREN	7	\$1,607	6			1	\$229				1	\$229	14.25%	85.75%
98 WILSON	12	\$2,097	10			2	\$229				2	\$229	10.92%	89.08%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	196	\$38,181	184	1	\$152	8	\$893	3	\$157	12	\$1,202	3.15%	96.85%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	1116	\$217,152	1067	11	\$2,189	23	\$2,500	15	\$1,284	49	\$5,973	2.75%	97.25%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	18%	17%	9%	7%	35%	36%	20%	12%	24%	20%

**TEAM 6
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
9 BLADEN	3	\$110	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	9	\$1,427	8	1	\$278					1	\$278	19.48%	80.52%
24 COLUMBUS	15	\$2,167	13			1	\$52	1	\$126	2	\$178	8.21%	91.79%
26 CUMBERLAND	44	\$9,628	42			1	\$71	1	\$64	2	\$135	1.40%	98.60%
31 DUPLIN	9	\$1,529	8			1	\$107			1	\$107	7.00%	93.00%
47 HOKE	7	\$1,075	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	5	\$1,643	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	15	\$3,122	14	1	\$278					1	\$278	8.90%	91.10%
71 PENDER	4	\$920	3			1	\$33			1	\$33	3.59%	96.41%
78 ROBESON	35	\$6,279	34	1	\$147					1	\$147	2.34%	97.66%
82 SAMPSON	11	\$2,886	10			1	\$378			1	\$378	13.10%	86.90%
83 SCOTLAND	9	\$2,319	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	166	\$33,105	156	3	\$703	5	\$641	2	\$190	10	\$1,534	4.63%	95.37%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1116	\$217,152	1067	11	\$2,189	23	\$2,500	15	\$1,284	49	\$5,973	2.75%	97.25%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
15%	15%	15%	27%	32%	22%	26%	13%	15%	20%	26%

TEAM 7
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - September 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE									RATE	
8 BERTIE	8	\$1,055	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
15 CAMDEN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
21 CHOWAN	4	\$607	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
27 CURRITUCK										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
33 EDGECOMBE	16	\$3,894	16							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
37 GATES	5	\$1,338	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
46 HERTFORD	8	\$1,518	7			1	\$87			1	\$87	5.73%	94.27%
58 MARTIN	5	\$1,212	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON	9	\$1,446	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
70 PASQUOTANK	7	\$1,634	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
72 PERQUIMANS	4	\$560	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
94 WASHINGTON	3	\$1,030	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	69	\$14,294	68	0	\$0	1	\$87	0	\$0	1	\$87	0.61%	99.39%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1116	\$217,152	1067	11	\$2,189	23	\$2,500	15	\$1,284	49	\$5,973	2.75%	97.25%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
6%	7%	6%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	0%	2%	1%

**TEAM 8
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
CASES		CASE										RATE	
7 BEAUFORT	11	\$1,800	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	10	\$1,328	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	11	\$1,434	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE	3	\$93	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
40 GREENE	8	\$1,864	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	16	\$2,165	16							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	12	\$2,833	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO	1	\$27	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
74 PITT	24	\$5,197	23			1	\$163			1	\$163	3.14%	96.86%
89 TYRRELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	19	\$3,523	19							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	116	\$20,274	115	0	\$0	1	\$163	0	\$0	1	\$163	0.80%	99.20%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	1116	\$217,152	1067	11	\$2,189	23	\$2,500	15	\$1,284	49	\$5,973	2.75%	97.25%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CASES	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
10%	9%	11%	0%	0%	4%	7%	0%	0%	2%	3%