

**TEAM 1**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
11 BUNCOMBE	22	21	1	95.45%	4.55%
20 CHEROKEE	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
22 CLAY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
44 HAYWOOD	6	6	0	100.00%	0.00%
45 HENDERSON	5	5	0	100.00%	0.00%
50 JACKSON	3	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
56 MACON	6	6	0	100.00%	0.00%
57 MADISON	2	2	0	100.00%	0.00%
75 POLK	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
87 SWAIN	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	3	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>98.15%</b>	<b>1.85%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>811</b>	<b>795</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>98.03%</b>	<b>1.97%</b>

**TEAM 2**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
2 ALEXANDER	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
3 ALLEGHANY	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
5 ASHE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
6 AVERY	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
12 BURKE	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
14 CALDWELL	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
23 CLEVELAND	13	13		100.00%	0.00%
59 MCDOWELL	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
61 MITCHELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
95 WATAUGA	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
97 WILKES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
0 YANCEY	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#REF!
TEAM	50	50	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	811	795	16	98.03%	1.97%

**TEAM 3**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
4 ANSON	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
13 CABARRUS	15	14	1	93.33%	6.67%
18 CATAWBA	14	13	1	92.86%	7.14%
36 GASTON	24	21	3	87.50%	12.50%
49 IREDELL	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
55 LINCOLN	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	94	94		100.00%	0.00%
62 MONTGOMERY	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
77 RICHMOND	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
80 ROWAN	16	16		100.00%	0.00%
84 STANLY	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
90 UNION	11	11		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	201	196	5	97.51%	2.49%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	811	795	16	98.03%	1.97%

**TEAM 4**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
1 ALAMANCE	14	14		100.00%	0.00%
17 CASWELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
29 DAVIDSON	12	11	1	91.67%	8.33%
30 DAVIE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
34 FORSYTH	28	28		100.00%	0.00%
41 GUILFORD	43	43		100.00%	0.00%
68 ORANGE	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
73 PERSON	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
76 RANDOLPH	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
85 STOKES	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
86 SURRY	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
99 YADKIN	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	145	144	1	99.31%	0.69%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	811	795	16	98.03%	1.97%

**TEAM 5**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
19 CHATHAM	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
32 DURHAM	24	23	1	95.83%	4.17%
35 FRANKLIN	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
39 GRANVILLE	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
42 HALIFAX	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
43 HARNETT	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
51 JOHNSTON	13	12	1	92.31%	7.69%
53 LEE	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
64 NASH	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
91 VANCE	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
92 WAKE	38	37	1	97.37%	2.63%
93 WARREN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
98 WILSON	12	12		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	138	135	3	97.83%	2.17%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	811	795	16	98.03%	1.97%

**TEAM 6**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
9 BLADEN	7	6	1	85.71%	14.29%
10 BRUNSWICK	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
24 COLUMBUS	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	30	29	1	96.67%	3.33%
31 DUPLIN	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
47 HOKE	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
63 MOORE	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	18	18		100.00%	0.00%
71 PENDER	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
78 ROBESON	19	18	1	94.74%	5.26%
82 SAMPSON	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
83 SCOTLAND	4	3	1	75.00%	25.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	116	112	4	96.55%	3.45%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	811	795	16	98.03%	1.97%

**TEAM 7**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
8 BERTIE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
15 CAMDEN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
21 CHOWAN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
27 CURRITUCK	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
33 EDGEcombe	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
37 GATES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
46 HERTFORD	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
58 MARTIN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
70 PASQUOTANK	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
72 PERQUIMANS				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
94 WASHINGTON	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	26	26	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	811	795	16	98.03%	1.97%

**TEAM 8**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - September 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
7 BEAUFORT	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
16 CARTERET	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
25 CRAVEN	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
28 DARE	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
40 GREENE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
48 HYDE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
52 JONES	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
54 LENOIR	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
67 ONSLOW	13	13		100.00%	0.00%
69 PAMLICO				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	14	14		100.00%	0.00%
89 TYRRELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	12	10	2	83.33%	16.67%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>97.53%</b>	<b>2.47%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>811</b>	<b>795</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>98.03%</b>	<b>1.97%</b>