

**TEAM 2
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - April 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR
	CASES		CASE									RATE	
2 ALEXANDER										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
3 ALLEGHANY	2	\$784	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
6 AVERY	1	\$15	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	6	\$1,348	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
14 CALDWELL	8	\$1,162	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
23 CLEVELAND	12	\$2,605	11			1	\$104			1	\$104	3.99%	96.01%
59 MCDOWELL	3	\$155	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
61 MITCHELL	2	\$386	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
81 RUTHERFORD	6	\$1,460	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	\$222	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	7	\$741	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	4	\$395	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	52	\$9,273	51	0	\$0	1	\$104	0	\$0	1	\$104	1.12%	98.88%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	639	\$125,423	608	5	\$1,570	14	\$1,676	12	\$1,031	31	\$4,277	3.41%	96.59%

Team / State Comparison Percentages	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
	CASES		CASE								
	8%	7%	8%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	0%	3%	2%

TEAM 3
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - April 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
4 ANSON	3	\$809	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	6	\$1,838	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	11	\$2,459	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	13	\$3,202	11			1	\$41	1	\$49	2	\$90	2.81%	97.19%
49 IREDELL	6	\$1,491	5					1	\$90	1	\$90	6.04%	93.96%
55 LINCOLN	4	\$763	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	53	\$11,493	50	2	\$1,021			1	\$41	3	\$1,062	9.24%	90.76%
62 MONTGOMERY	4	\$997	3					1	\$121	1	\$121	12.14%	87.86%
77 RICHMOND	7	\$2,069	6					1	\$103	1	\$103	4.98%	95.02%
80 ROWAN	10	\$1,685	9					1	\$85	1	\$85	5.04%	94.96%
84 STANLY	3	\$297	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	8	\$1,462	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	128	\$28,565	119	2	\$1,021	1	\$41	6	\$489	9	\$1,551	5.43%	94.57%

STATE	639	\$125,423	608	5	\$1,570	14	\$1,676	12	\$1,031	31	\$4,277	3.41%	96.59%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	23%	20%	40%	65%	7%	2%	50%	47%	29%	36%

TEAM 4
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - April 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
CASES		CASE										RATE	
1 ALAMANCE	6	\$1,340	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL	1	\$152	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	13	\$2,298	12					1	\$30	1	\$30	1.31%	98.69%
30 DAVIE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
34 FORSYTH	19	\$3,751	18					1	\$48	1	\$48	1.28%	98.72%
41 GUILFORD	34	\$7,859	33			1	\$31			1	\$31	0.39%	99.61%
68 ORANGE	6	\$688	5			1	\$142			1	\$142	20.64%	79.36%
73 PERSON	1	\$152	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	7	\$2,252	6					1	\$230	1	\$230	10.21%	89.79%
79 ROCKINGHAM	8	\$1,384	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	5	\$962	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	7	\$1,383	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	2	\$157	1	1	\$119					1	\$119	75.80%	24.20%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	109	\$22,378	103	1	\$119	2	\$173	3	\$308	6	\$600	2.68%	97.32%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	639	\$125,423	608	5	\$1,570	14	\$1,676	12	\$1,031	31	\$4,277	3.41%	96.59%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE								
17%	18%	17%	20%	8%	14%	10%	25%	30%	19%	14%

**TEAM 5
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - April 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE									RATE	
19 CHATHAM	1	\$335	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	14	\$2,411	12	1	\$152	1	\$101			2	\$253	10.49%	89.51%
35 FRANKLIN	5	\$756	4					1	\$39	1	\$39	5.16%	94.84%
39 GRANVILLE	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
42 HALIFAX	8	\$1,768	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	10	\$1,525	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	9	\$1,433	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE	5	\$468	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	10	\$2,319	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	5	\$463	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
92 WAKE	28	\$6,678	25			2	\$112	1	\$69	3	\$181	2.71%	97.29%
93 WARREN	4	\$1,109	3			1	\$229			1	\$229	20.65%	79.35%
98 WILSON	6	\$1,373	5			1	\$203			1	\$203	14.79%	85.21%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	106	\$20,676	98	1	\$152	5	\$645	2	\$108	8	\$905	4.38%	95.62%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	639	\$125,423	608	5	\$1,570	14	\$1,676	12	\$1,031	31	\$4,277	3.41%	96.59%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
17%	16%	16%	20%	10%	36%	38%	17%	10%	26%	21%

TEAM 6
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - April 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE										
9 BLADEN	3	\$110	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	4	\$587	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	9	\$1,508	7			1	\$52	1	\$126	2	\$178	11.80%	88.20%
26 CUMBERLAND	25	\$6,056	25							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
31 DUPLIN	7	\$1,063	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	2	\$330	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	3	\$707	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	9	\$1,777	8	1	\$278					1	\$278	15.64%	84.36%
71 PENDER	3	\$763	2			1	\$33			1	\$33	4.33%	95.67%
78 ROBESON	20	\$3,089	20							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
82 SAMPSON	7	\$1,514	6			1	\$378			1	\$378	24.97%	75.03%
83 SCOTLAND	6	\$1,754	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	98	\$19,258	93	1	\$278	3	\$463	1	\$126	5	\$867	4.50%	95.50%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	639	\$125,423	608	5	\$1,570	14	\$1,676	12	\$1,031	31	\$4,277	3.41%	96.59%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE								
15%	15%	15%	20%	18%	21%	28%	8%	12%	16%	20%

TEAM 7
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - April 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
8 BERTIE	5	\$925	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
15 CAMDEN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
21 CHOWAN	3	\$278	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
27 CURRITUCK										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
33 EDGECOMBE	10	\$2,250	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
37 GATES	3	\$751	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
46 HERTFORD	5	\$735	4			1	\$87			1	\$87	11.84%	88.16%
58 MARTIN	4	\$813	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON	4	\$598	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
70 PASQUOTANK	4	\$546	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
72 PERQUIMANS	2	\$165	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
94 WASHINGTON	2	\$814	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	42	\$7,875	41	0	\$0	1	\$87	0	\$0	1	\$87	1.10%	98.90%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	639	\$125,423	608	5	\$1,570	14	\$1,676	12	\$1,031	31	\$4,277	3.41%	96.59%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
7%	6%	7%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	0%	3%	2%

**TEAM 8
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - April 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
7 BEAUFORT	6	\$1,149	6								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	6	\$621	6								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	6	\$688	6								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
40 GREENE	5	\$1,054	5								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE	1	\$10	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
52 JONES											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	8	\$1,304	8								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	8	\$2,134	8								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO	1	\$27	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
74 PITT	15	\$3,296	14				1	\$163			1	\$163	4.95%	95.05%
89 TYRRELL											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	10	\$1,821	10								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	66	\$12,104	65	0	\$0	1	\$163	0	\$0	1	\$163	1.35%	98.65%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	639	\$125,423	608	5	\$1,570	14	\$1,676	12	\$1,031	31	\$4,277	3.41%	96.59%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
10%	10%	11%	0%	0%	7%	10%	0%	0%	3%	4%