

**TEAM 1
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE									RATE	
11 BUNCOMBE	20	\$3,281	20							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE	3	\$327	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
22 CLAY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	2	\$37	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
44 HAYWOOD	6	\$1,007	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
45 HENDERSON	4	\$272	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
50 JACKSON	4	\$337	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
56 MACON	3	\$434	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
57 MADISON	1	\$128	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
75 POLK	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
87 SWAIN	2	\$565	1					1	\$140	1	\$140	24.78%	75.22%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	2	\$296	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	48	\$6,839	47	0	\$0	0	\$0	1	\$140	1	\$140	2.05%	97.95%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	825	\$163,311	788	8	\$1,817	15	\$1,783	14	\$1,220	37	\$4,820	2.95%	97.05%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
	CASES		CASE								
	6%	4%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	11%	3%	3%

**TEAM 2
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
2 ALEXANDER	1	\$318	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY	2	\$784	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
6 AVERY	1	\$15	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	8	\$1,855	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
14 CALDWELL	8	\$1,162	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
23 CLEVELAND	14	\$2,850	13			1	\$104			1	\$104	3.65%	96.35%
59 MCDOWELL	4	\$365	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
61 MITCHELL	2	\$386	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
81 RUTHERFORD	8	\$2,016	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	\$222	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	9	\$841	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	5	\$541	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	63	\$11,355	62	0	\$0	1	\$104	0	\$0	1	\$104	0.92%	99.08%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	825	\$163,311	788	8	\$1,817	15	\$1,783	14	\$1,220	37	\$4,820	2.95%	97.05%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	7%	8%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	0%	3%	2%

**TEAM 3
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
4 ANSON	5	\$1,098	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	10	\$3,105	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	14	\$2,981	14							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	17	\$4,076	15			1	\$41	1	\$49	2	\$90	2.21%	97.79%
49 IREDELL	8	\$1,701	7					1	\$90	1	\$90	5.29%	94.71%
55 LINCOLN	5	\$833	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	67	\$13,795	63	3	\$1,098			1	\$41	4	\$1,139	8.26%	91.74%
62 MONTGOMERY	4	\$997	3					1	\$121	1	\$121	12.14%	87.86%
77 RICHMOND	8	\$2,346	7					1	\$103	1	\$103	4.39%	95.61%
80 ROWAN	13	\$2,827	12					1	\$85	1	\$85	3.01%	96.99%
84 STANLY	5	\$969	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	10	\$1,692	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	166	\$36,420	156	3	\$1,098	1	\$41	6	\$489	10	\$1,628	4.47%	95.53%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	825	\$163,311	788	8	\$1,817	15	\$1,783	14	\$1,220	37	\$4,820	2.95%	97.05%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	22%	20%	38%	60%	7%	2%	43%	40%	27%	34%

TEAM 4
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE									RATE	
1 ALAMANCE	8	\$1,876	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL	2	\$294	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	16	\$3,127	15					1	\$30	1	\$30	0.96%	99.04%
30 DAVIE	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	26	\$4,352	25					1	\$48	1	\$48	1.10%	98.90%
41 GUILFORD	44	\$10,072	42	1	\$23	1	\$31			2	\$54	0.54%	99.46%
68 ORANGE	7	\$699	6			1	\$142			1	\$142	20.31%	79.69%
73 PERSON	3	\$733	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	9	\$2,952	8					1	\$230	1	\$230	7.79%	92.21%
79 ROCKINGHAM	10	\$1,708	10							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	5	\$962	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	9	\$1,616	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	2	\$157	1	1	\$119					1	\$119	75.80%	24.20%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	142	\$28,558	135	2	\$142	2	\$173	3	\$308	7	\$623	2.18%	97.82%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	825	\$163,311	788	8	\$1,817	15	\$1,783	14	\$1,220	37	\$4,820	2.95%	97.05%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CASES	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
17%	17%	17%	25%	8%	13%	10%	21%	25%	19%	13%

**TEAM 5
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE									RATE		
19 CHATHAM	2	\$346	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	19	\$4,315	17	1	\$152	1	\$101				2	\$253	5.86%	94.14%
35 FRANKLIN	6	\$908	5					1	\$39		1	\$39	4.30%	95.70%
39 GRANVILLE	2	\$350	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
42 HALIFAX	12	\$2,811	12								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	12	\$2,225	12								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	13	\$2,818	13								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE	6	\$974	6								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	12	\$3,125	12								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	7	\$682	7								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
92 WAKE	38	\$8,814	34			2	\$112	2	\$118		4	\$230	2.61%	97.39%
93 WARREN	6	\$1,545	5			1	\$229				1	\$229	14.82%	85.18%
98 WILSON	8	\$1,655	7			1	\$203				1	\$203	12.27%	87.73%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	143	\$30,568	134	1	\$152	5	\$645	3	\$157	9	\$954	3.12%	96.88%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	825	\$163,311	788	8	\$1,817	15	\$1,783	14	\$1,220	37	\$4,820	2.95%	97.05%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
17%	19%	17%	13%	8%	33%	36%	21%	13%	24%	20%

TEAM 6
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
9 BLADEN	3	\$110	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	6	\$633	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	12	\$1,705	10			1	\$52	1	\$126	2	\$178	10.44%	89.56%
26 CUMBERLAND	33	\$7,454	33							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
31 DUPLIN	8	\$1,392	7			1	\$107			1	\$107	7.69%	92.31%
47 HOKE	4	\$877	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	5	\$1,643	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	12	\$2,440	11	1	\$278					1	\$278	11.39%	88.61%
71 PENDER	4	\$920	3			1	\$33			1	\$33	3.59%	96.41%
78 ROBESON	25	\$4,084	24	1	\$147					1	\$147	3.60%	96.40%
82 SAMPSON	8	\$1,666	7			1	\$378			1	\$378	22.69%	77.31%
83 SCOTLAND	8	\$2,259	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	128	\$25,183	121	2	\$425	4	\$570	1	\$126	7	\$1,121	4.45%	95.55%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	825	\$163,311	788	8	\$1,817	15	\$1,783	14	\$1,220	37	\$4,820	2.95%	97.05%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
16%	15%	15%	25%	23%	27%	32%	7%	10%	19%	23%

TEAM 7
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
CASES		CASE										RATE	
8 BERTIE	6	\$935	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
15 CAMDEN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
21 CHOWAN	4	\$607	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
27 CURRITUCK										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
33 EDGECOMBE	13	\$2,804	13							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
37 GATES	3	\$751	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
46 HERTFORD	6	\$887	5			1	\$87			1	\$87	9.81%	90.19%
58 MARTIN	5	\$1,212	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON	6	\$1,101	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
70 PASQUOTANK	4	\$546	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
72 PERQUIMANS	2	\$165	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
94 WASHINGTON	2	\$814	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	51	\$9,822	50	0	\$0	1	\$87	0	\$0	1	\$87	0.89%	99.11%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	825	\$163,311	788	8	\$1,817	15	\$1,783	14	\$1,220	37	\$4,820	2.95%	97.05%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE								
6%	6%	6%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	0%	3%	2%

TEAM 8
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - June 2006

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
CASES		CASE										RATE	
7 BEAUFORT	8	\$1,529	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	7	\$807	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	8	\$946	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE	1	\$18	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
40 GREENE	6	\$1,092	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	11	\$1,654	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	9	\$2,235	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO	1	\$27	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
74 PITT	18	\$3,474	17			1	\$163			1	\$163	4.69%	95.31%
89 TYRRELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	14	\$2,774	14							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	84	\$14,566	83	0	\$0	1	\$163	0	\$0	1	\$163	1.12%	98.88%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	825	\$163,311	788	8	\$1,817	15	\$1,783	14	\$1,220	37	\$4,820	2.95%	97.05%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
10%	9%	11%	0%	0%	7%	9%	0%	0%	3%	3%