

**TEAM 1
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE									RATE	
11 BUNCOMBE	18	\$2,764	18							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE	3	\$327	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
22 CLAY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	2	\$37	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
44 HAYWOOD	5	\$896	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
45 HENDERSON	4	\$272	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
50 JACKSON	4	\$337	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
56 MACON	2	\$314	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
57 MADISON	1	\$128	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
75 POLK	1	\$155	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
87 SWAIN	1	\$266						1	\$140	1	\$140	52.63%	47.37%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	2	\$296	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	43	\$5,792	42	0	\$0	0	\$0	1	\$140	1	\$140	2.42%	97.58%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	733	\$143,316	698	7	\$1,794	14	\$1,676	14	\$1,220	35	\$4,690	3.27%	96.73%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
	CASES		CASE								
	6%	4%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	11%	3%	3%

**TEAM 2
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE									RATE	
2 ALEXANDER	1	\$318	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
3 ALLEGHANY	2	\$784	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
6 AVERY	1	\$15	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	7	\$1,610	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
14 CALDWELL	8	\$1,162	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
23 CLEVELAND	13	\$2,757	12			1	\$104			1	\$104	3.77%	96.23%
59 MCDOWELL	3	\$155	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
61 MITCHELL	2	\$386	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
81 RUTHERFORD	7	\$1,738	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	\$222	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
97 WILKES	8	\$803	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	4	\$395	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	57	\$10,345	56	0	\$0	1	\$104	0	\$0	1	\$104	1.01%	98.99%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	733	\$143,316	698	7	\$1,794	14	\$1,676	14	\$1,220	35	\$4,690	3.27%	96.73%

Team / State Comparison Percentages	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
	CASES		CASE								
	8%	7%	8%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	0%	3%	2%

**TEAM 3
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	
4 ANSON	4	\$961	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
13 CABARRUS	8	\$2,433	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	12	\$2,708	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	16	\$3,582	14			1	\$41	1	\$49	2	\$90	2.51%	97.49%
49 IREDELL	7	\$1,549	6					1	\$90	1	\$90	5.81%	94.19%
55 LINCOLN	5	\$833	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	60	\$12,737	56	3	\$1,098			1	\$41	4	\$1,139	8.94%	91.06%
62 MONTGOMERY	4	\$997	3					1	\$121	1	\$121	12.14%	87.86%
77 RICHMOND	7	\$2,069	6					1	\$103	1	\$103	4.98%	95.02%
80 ROWAN	12	\$2,029	11					1	\$85	1	\$85	4.19%	95.81%
84 STANLY	4	\$803	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
90 UNION	9	\$1,581	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	148	\$32,282	138	3	\$1,098	1	\$41	6	\$489	10	\$1,628	5.04%	94.96%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	733	\$143,316	698	7	\$1,794	14	\$1,676	14	\$1,220	35	\$4,690	3.27%	96.73%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	23%	20%	43%	61%	7%	2%	43%	40%	29%	35%

**TEAM 4
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
CASES		CASE										RATE	
1 ALAMANCE	7	\$1,638	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL	2	\$294	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	14	\$2,450	13					1	\$30	1	\$30	1.22%	98.78%
30 DAVIE	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
34 FORSYTH	23	\$3,953	22					1	\$48	1	\$48	1.21%	98.79%
41 GUILFORD	39	\$8,962	38			1	\$31			1	\$31	0.35%	99.65%
68 ORANGE	7	\$699	6			1	\$142			1	\$142	20.31%	79.69%
73 PERSON	2	\$658	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
76 RANDOLPH	8	\$2,758	7					1	\$230	1	\$230	8.34%	91.66%
79 ROCKINGHAM	9	\$1,540	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	5	\$962	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	8	\$1,477	8							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN	2	\$157	1	1	\$119					1	\$119	75.80%	24.20%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	127	\$25,558	121	1	\$119	2	\$173	3	\$308	6	\$600	2.35%	97.65%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	733	\$143,316	698	7	\$1,794	14	\$1,676	14	\$1,220	35	\$4,690	3.27%	96.73%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
17%	18%	17%	14%	7%	14%	10%	21%	25%	17%	13%

**TEAM 5
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE									RATE		
19 CHATHAM	2	\$346	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	17	\$3,113	15	1	\$152	1	\$101				2	\$253	8.13%	91.87%
35 FRANKLIN	5	\$756	4					1	\$39		1	\$39	5.16%	94.84%
39 GRANVILLE	2	\$350	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
42 HALIFAX	10	\$2,551	10								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	11	\$1,854	11								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	11	\$2,081	11								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE	6	\$974	6								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	11	\$2,403	11								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	6	\$611	6								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
92 WAKE	33	\$7,900	29			2	\$112	2	\$118		4	\$230	2.91%	97.09%
93 WARREN	5	\$1,224	4			1	\$229				1	\$229	18.71%	81.29%
98 WILSON	7	\$1,481	6			1	\$203				1	\$203	13.71%	86.29%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	126	\$25,644	117	1	\$152	5	\$645	3	\$157	9	\$954	3.72%	96.28%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	733	\$143,316	698	7	\$1,794	14	\$1,676	14	\$1,220	35	\$4,690	3.27%	96.73%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			CASES	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
17%	18%	17%	14%	8%	36%	38%	21%	13%	26%	20%

**TEAM 6
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
9 BLADEN	3	\$110	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	5	\$613	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	10	\$1,629	8			1	\$52	1	\$126	2	\$178	10.93%	89.07%
26 CUMBERLAND	29	\$6,723	29							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
31 DUPLIN	7	\$1,063	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	3	\$815	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	4	\$1,088	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	11	\$2,387	10	1	\$278					1	\$278	11.65%	88.35%
71 PENDER	3	\$763	2			1	\$33			1	\$33	4.33%	95.67%
78 ROBESON	23	\$3,400	22	1	\$147					1	\$147	4.32%	95.68%
82 SAMPSON	7	\$1,514	6			1	\$378			1	\$378	24.97%	75.03%
83 SCOTLAND	7	\$1,860	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	112	\$21,965	106	2	\$425	3	\$463	1	\$126	6	\$1,014	4.62%	95.38%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	733	\$143,316	698	7	\$1,794	14	\$1,676	14	\$1,220	35	\$4,690	3.27%	96.73%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
15%	15%	15%	29%	24%	21%	28%	7%	10%	17%	22%

**TEAM 7
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
8 BERTIE	5	\$925	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
15 CAMDEN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
21 CHOWAN	3	\$278	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
27 CURRITUCK										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
33 EDGECOMBE	11	\$2,288	11							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
37 GATES	3	\$751	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
46 HERTFORD	5	\$735	4			1	\$87			1	\$87	11.84%	88.16%
58 MARTIN	5	\$1,212	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON	5	\$762	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
70 PASQUOTANK	4	\$546	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
72 PERQUIMANS	2	\$165	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
94 WASHINGTON	2	\$814	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	45	\$8,476	44	0	\$0	1	\$87	0	\$0	1	\$87	1.03%	98.97%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	733	\$143,316	698	7	\$1,794	14	\$1,676	14	\$1,220	35	\$4,690	3.27%	96.73%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
6%	6%	6%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	0%	3%	2%

**TEAM 8
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
CASES		CASE										RATE	
7 BEAUFORT	7	\$1,159	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	6	\$621	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	7	\$729	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE	1	\$18	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
40 GREENE	5	\$1,054	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	9	\$1,576	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	9	\$2,235	9							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO	1	\$27	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
74 PITT	17	\$3,436	16			1	\$163			1	\$163	4.74%	95.26%
89 TYRRELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	12	\$2,389	12							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	75	\$13,254	74	0	\$0	1	\$163	0	\$0	1	\$163	1.23%	98.77%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	733	\$143,316	698	7	\$1,794	14	\$1,676	14	\$1,220	35	\$4,690	3.27%	96.73%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		
			CASES	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
10%	9%	11%	0%	0%	7%	10%	0%	0%	3%	3%	