

**TEAM 1
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE							ERRORS		RATE	
11 BUNCOMBE	4	\$604	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
20 CHEROKEE	1	\$19	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
22 CLAY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
44 HAYWOOD	1	\$235	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
45 HENDERSON	1	\$51	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
50 JACKSON	1	\$278	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
56 MACON	1	\$304	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
57 MADISON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
75 POLK										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
87 SWAIN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
88 TRANSYLVANIA										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	9	\$1,491	9	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	177	\$37,328	166	0	\$0	7	\$1,171	4	\$254	11	\$1,425	3.82%	96.18%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE								
5%	4%	5%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**TEAM 2
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005**

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
2 ALEXANDER										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
3 ALLEGHANY	1	\$506	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
5 ASHE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
6 AVERY	1	\$15	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
12 BURKE	1	\$481	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
14 CALDWELL	3	\$172	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
23 CLEVELAND	4	\$459	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
59 MCDOWELL	1	\$39	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
61 MITCHELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	1	\$399	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
95 WATAUGA										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
97 WILKES	2	\$127	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
0 YANCEY	1	\$25	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	15	\$2,223	15	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	177	\$37,328	166	0	\$0	7	\$1,171	4	\$254	11	\$1,425	3.82%	96.18%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
8%	6%	9%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

TEAM 3
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
4 ANSON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
13 CABARRUS	2	\$491	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
18 CATAWBA	3	\$691	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
36 GASTON	3	\$441	2					1	\$49	1	\$49	11.11%	88.89%
49 IREDELL	2	\$704	1					1	\$90	1	\$90	12.78%	87.22%
55 LINCOLN	1	\$184	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	17	\$3,809	17							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
62 MONTGOMERY	1	\$182	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
77 RICHMOND	2	\$568	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
80 ROWAN	3	\$903	2					1	\$85	1	\$85	9.41%	90.59%
84 STANLY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
90 UNION	2	\$450	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	36	\$8,423	33	0	\$0	0	\$0	3	\$224	3	\$224	2.66%	97.34%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	177	\$37,328	166	0	\$0	7	\$1,171	4	\$254	11	\$1,425	3.82%	96.18%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
20%	23%	20%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	75%	88%	27%	16%

TEAM 4
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG	OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE									RATE	
1 ALAMANCE	2	\$769	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
17 CASWELL	1	\$152	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
29 DAVIDSON	4	\$715	3					1	\$30	1	\$30	4.20%	95.80%
30 DAVIE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
34 FORSYTH	5	\$1,201	5							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
41 GUILFORD	9	\$2,290	8			1	\$31			1	\$31	1.35%	98.65%
68 ORANGE	2	\$430	1			1	\$142			1	\$142	33.02%	66.98%
73 PERSON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
76 RANDOLPH	2	\$385	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	2	\$622	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
85 STOKES	2	\$518	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
86 SURRY	2	\$298	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
99 YADKIN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	31	\$7,380	28	0	\$0	2	\$173	1	\$30	3	\$203	2.75%	97.25%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	177	\$37,328	166	0	\$0	7	\$1,171	4	\$254	11	\$1,425	3.82%	96.18%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
18%	20%	17%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	29%	15%	25%	12%	27%	14%

TEAM 5
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
19 CHATHAM	1	\$335	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
32 DURHAM	5	\$803	4				1	\$101			1	\$101	12.58%	87.42%
35 FRANKLIN	2	\$430	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
39 GRANVILLE											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
42 HALIFAX	1	\$14	1								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
43 HARNETT	3	\$488	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
51 JOHNSTON	2	\$57	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
53 LEE	2	\$228	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
64 NASH	3	\$530	3								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
91 VANCE	2	\$24	2								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
92 WAKE	5	\$1,169	5								0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
93 WARREN	2	\$323	1				1	\$229			1	\$229	70.90%	29.10%
98 WILSON	1	\$278					1	\$203			1	\$203	73.02%	26.98%
STATE AGENCY											0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	29	\$4,679	26	0	\$0	3	\$533	0	\$0	3	\$533	11.39%	88.61%	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	
STATE	177	\$37,328	166	0	\$0	7	\$1,171	4	\$254	11	\$1,425	3.82%	96.18%	

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
16%	13%	16%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	43%	46%	0%	0%	27%	37%

TEAM 6
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP		CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT		
	CASES	ISSUE	CASE									RATE	RATE
9 BLADEN	2	\$72	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	1	\$314	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
24 COLUMBUS	2	\$882	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	7	\$2,566	7							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
31 DUPLIN	2	\$419	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
47 HOKE	1	\$292	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
63 MOORE	1	\$250	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	1	\$293	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
71 PENDER	1	\$152	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
78 ROBESON	6	\$858	6							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
82 SAMPSON	2	\$798	1			1	\$378			1	\$378	47.37%	52.63%
83 SCOTLAND	2	\$500	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	28	\$7,396	27	0	\$0	1	\$378	0	\$0	1	\$378	5.11%	94.89%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	177	\$37,328	166	0	\$0	7	\$1,171	4	\$254	11	\$1,425	3.82%	96.18%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
16%	20%	16%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	14%	32%	0%	0%	9%	27%

TEAM 7
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG	OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY	
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
	CASES		CASE										
8 BERTIE	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
15 CAMDEN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
21 CHOWAN										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
27 CURRITUCK										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
33 EDGECOMBE	3	\$1,182	3							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
37 GATES	2	\$594	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
46 HERTFORD	1	\$240				1	\$87			1	\$87	36.25%	63.75%
58 MARTIN	1	\$206	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON	1	\$38	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
70 PASQUOTANK	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
72 PERQUIMANS	1	\$10	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
94 WASHINGTON										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	11	\$2,290	10	0	\$0	1	\$87	0	\$0	1	\$87	3.80%	96.20%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	177	\$37,328	166	0	\$0	7	\$1,171	4	\$254	11	\$1,425	3.82%	96.18%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL	
			COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO
CASES		CASE	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
6%	6%	6%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	14%	7%	0%	0%	9%	6%

TEAM 8
FS ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NUM	TOTAL	NUM	INELIG		OVER		UNDER		TOTAL		ISSUE	ACCURACY
	COMP	ISSUE	CORR	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	ERROR	RATE
CASES		CASE										RATE	
7 BEAUFORT	1	\$240	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
16 CARTERET	1	\$137	1							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
25 CRAVEN	2	\$176	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
28 DARE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
40 GREENE	2	\$318	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
48 HYDE										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
52 JONES										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	2	\$184	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
67 ONSLOW	2	\$541	2							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
69 PAMLICO										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	4	\$1,057	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
89 TYRRELL										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	4	\$793	4							0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY										0	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	18	\$3,446	18	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	100.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	177	\$37,328	166	0	\$0	7	\$1,171	4	\$254	11	\$1,425	3.82%	96.18%

**Team / State
Comparison
Percentages**

NUM COMP CASES	TOTAL ISSUE	NUM CORR CASE	INELIG		OVER ISSUE		UNDER ISSUE		TOTAL ERRORS	
			NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT	NO	AMT
10%	9%	11%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%