

TEAM 1
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - August 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
11 BUNCOMBE	20	19	1	95.00%	5.00%
20 CHEROKEE	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
22 CLAY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
44 HAYWOOD	5	5	0	100.00%	0.00%
45 HENDERSON	5	5	0	100.00%	0.00%
50 JACKSON	3	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
56 MACON	5	5	0	100.00%	0.00%
57 MADISON	2	2	0	100.00%	0.00%
75 POLK	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
87 SWAIN	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	3	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	49	48	1	97.96%	2.04%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	737	721	16	97.83%	2.17%

TEAM 2
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - August 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
2 ALEXANDER	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
3 ALLEGHANY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
5 ASHE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
6 AVERY	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
12 BURKE	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
14 CALDWELL	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
23 CLEVELAND	12	12		100.00%	0.00%
59 MCDOWELL	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
61 MITCHELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
95 WATAUGA	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
97 WILKES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
0 YANCEY	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#REF!
TEAM	44	44	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	737	721	16	97.83%	2.17%

TEAM 3
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - August 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
4 ANSON	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
13 CABARRUS	14	13	1	92.86%	7.14%
18 CATAWBA	12	11	1	91.67%	8.33%
36 GASTON	22	19	3	86.36%	13.64%
49 IREDELL	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
55 LINCOLN	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	87	87		100.00%	0.00%
62 MONTGOMERY	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
77 RICHMOND	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
80 ROWAN	15	15		100.00%	0.00%
84 STANLY	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
90 UNION	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	184	179	5	97.28%	2.72%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	737	721	16	97.83%	2.17%

TEAM 4
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - August 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
1 ALAMANCE	13	13		100.00%	0.00%
17 CASWELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
29 DAVIDSON	11	10	1	90.91%	9.09%
30 DAVIE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
34 FORSYTH	25	25		100.00%	0.00%
41 GUILFORD	39	39		100.00%	0.00%
68 ORANGE	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
73 PERSON	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
76 RANDOLPH	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
85 STOKES	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
86 SURRY	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
99 YADKIN	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	132	131	1	99.24%	0.76%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	737	721	16	97.83%	2.17%

TEAM 5
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - August 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
19 CHATHAM	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
32 DURHAM	22	21	1	95.45%	4.55%
35 FRANKLIN	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
39 GRANVILLE	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
42 HALIFAX	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
43 HARNETT	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
51 JOHNSTON	12	11	1	91.67%	8.33%
53 LEE	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
64 NASH	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
91 VANCE	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
92 WAKE	35	34	1	97.14%	2.86%
93 WARREN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
98 WILSON	11	11		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	127	124	3	97.64%	2.36%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	737	721	16	97.83%	2.17%

TEAM 6
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - August 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
9 BLADEN	7	6	1	85.71%	14.29%
10 BRUNSWICK	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
24 COLUMBUS	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	27	26	1	96.30%	3.70%
31 DUPLIN	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
47 HOKE	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
63 MOORE	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	16	16		100.00%	0.00%
71 PENDER	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
78 ROBESON	17	16	1	94.12%	5.88%
82 SAMPSON	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
83 SCOTLAND	4	3	1	75.00%	25.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	105	101	4	96.19%	3.81%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	737	721	16	97.83%	2.17%

TEAM 7
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - August 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
8 BERTIE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
15 CAMDEN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
21 CHOWAN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
27 CURRITUCK	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
33 EDGEcombe	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
37 GATES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
46 HERTFORD	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
58 MARTIN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
70 PASQUOTANK	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
72 PERQUIMANS				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
94 WASHINGTON	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	23	23	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	737	721	16	97.83%	2.17%

TEAM 8
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - August 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
7 BEAUFORT	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
16 CARTERET	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
25 CRAVEN	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
28 DARE	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
40 GREENE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
48 HYDE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
52 JONES	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
54 LENOIR	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
67 ONSLOW	12	12		100.00%	0.00%
69 PAMLICO				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	12	12		100.00%	0.00%
89 TYRRELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	11	9	2	81.82%	18.18%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	73	71	2	97.26%	2.74%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	737	721	16	97.83%	2.17%