

**TEAM 1**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - July 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
11 BUNCOMBE	18	17	1	94.44%	5.56%
20 CHEROKEE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
22 CLAY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
44 HAYWOOD	4	4	0	100.00%	0.00%
45 HENDERSON	5	5	0	100.00%	0.00%
50 JACKSON	3	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
56 MACON	4	4	0	100.00%	0.00%
57 MADISON	2	2	0	100.00%	0.00%
75 POLK	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
87 SWAIN	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	3	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>97.73%</b>	<b>2.27%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>645</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>97.73%</b>	<b>2.27%</b>

**TEAM 2**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - July 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
2 ALEXANDER	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
3 ALLEGHANY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
5 ASHE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
6 AVERY	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
12 BURKE	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
14 CALDWELL	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
23 CLEVELAND	11	11		100.00%	0.00%
59 MCDOWELL	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
61 MITCHELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
95 WATAUGA	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
97 WILKES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
0 YANCEY	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#REF!
TEAM	38	38	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	660	645	15	97.73%	2.27%

**TEAM 3**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - July 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
4 ANSON	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
13 CABARRUS	12	11	1	91.67%	8.33%
18 CATAWBA	10	9	1	90.00%	10.00%
36 GASTON	19	16	3	84.21%	15.79%
49 IREDELL	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
55 LINCOLN	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	79	79		100.00%	0.00%
62 MONTGOMERY	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
77 RICHMOND	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
80 ROWAN	13	13		100.00%	0.00%
84 STANLY	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
90 UNION	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>96.95%</b>	<b>3.05%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>645</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>97.73%</b>	<b>2.27%</b>

**TEAM 4**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - July 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
1 ALAMANCE	12	12		100.00%	0.00%
17 CASWELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
29 DAVIDSON	10	9	1	90.00%	10.00%
30 DAVIE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
34 FORSYTH	22	22		100.00%	0.00%
41 GUILFORD	34	34		100.00%	0.00%
68 ORANGE	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
73 PERSON	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
76 RANDOLPH	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
85 STOKES	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
86 SURRY	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
99 YADKIN	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>99.15%</b>	<b>0.85%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>645</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>97.73%</b>	<b>2.27%</b>

**TEAM 5**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - July 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
19 CHATHAM	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
32 DURHAM	19	18	1	94.74%	5.26%
35 FRANKLIN	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
39 GRANVILLE	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
42 HALIFAX	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
43 HARNETT	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
51 JOHNSTON	11	10	1	90.91%	9.09%
53 LEE	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
64 NASH	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
91 VANCE	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
92 WAKE	31	30	1	96.77%	3.23%
93 WARREN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
98 WILSON	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	117	114	3	97.44%	2.56%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	660	645	15	97.73%	2.27%

**TEAM 6**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - July 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
9 BLADEN	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
24 COLUMBUS	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	24	23	1	95.83%	4.17%
31 DUPLIN	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
47 HOKE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
63 MOORE	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	14	14		100.00%	0.00%
71 PENDER	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
78 ROBESON	15	14	1	93.33%	6.67%
82 SAMPSON	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
83 SCOTLAND	4	3	1	75.00%	25.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	92	89	3	96.74%	3.26%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	660	645	15	97.73%	2.27%

**TEAM 7**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - July 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
8 BERTIE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
15 CAMDEN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
21 CHOWAN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
27 CURRITUCK	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
33 EDGECOMBE	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
37 GATES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
46 HERTFORD	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
58 MARTIN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
66 NORTHAMPTON				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
70 PASQUOTANK	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
72 PERQUIMANS				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
94 WASHINGTON	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	22	22	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	660	645	15	97.73%	2.27%

**TEAM 8**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - July 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
7 BEAUFORT	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
16 CARTERET	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
25 CRAVEN	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
28 DARE	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
40 GREENE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
48 HYDE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
52 JONES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
67 ONSLOW	11	11		100.00%	0.00%
69 PAMLICO				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
89 TYRRELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	10	8	2	80.00%	20.00%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>96.92%</b>	<b>3.08%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>645</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>97.73%</b>	<b>2.27%</b>