

TEAM 1
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - February 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
11 BUNCOMBE	8	7	1	87.50%	12.50%
20 CHEROKEE				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
22 CLAY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
44 HAYWOOD	3	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
45 HENDERSON	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
50 JACKSON	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
56 MACON	3	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
57 MADISON	2	2	0	100.00%	0.00%
75 POLK			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
87 SWAIN	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	21	20	1	95.24%	4.76%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	331	325	6	98.19%	1.81%

TEAM 2
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - February 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
2 ALEXANDER	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
3 ALLEGHANY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
5 ASHE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
6 AVERY	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
12 BURKE	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
14 CALDWELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
23 CLEVELAND	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
59 MCDOWELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
61 MITCHELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
97 WILKES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
0 YANCEY	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#REF!
TEAM	17	17	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	331	325	6	98.19%	1.81%

TEAM 3
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - February 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
4 ANSON	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
13 CABARRUS	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
18 CATAWBA	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
36 GASTON	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
49 IREDELL	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
55 LINCOLN				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
60 MECKLENBURG	41	41		100.00%	0.00%
62 MONTGOMERY	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
77 RICHMOND	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
80 ROWAN	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
84 STANLY	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
90 UNION	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	77	77	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	331	325	6	98.19%	1.81%

TEAM 4
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - February 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
1 ALAMANCE	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
17 CASWELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
29 DAVIDSON	5	4	1	80.00%	20.00%
30 DAVIE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
34 FORSYTH	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
41 GUILFORD	18	18		100.00%	0.00%
68 ORANGE	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
73 PERSON	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
76 RANDOLPH	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
85 STOKES	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
86 SURRY	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
99 YADKIN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	63	62	1	98.41%	1.59%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	331	325	6	98.19%	1.81%

TEAM 5
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - February 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
19 CHATHAM	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
32 DURHAM	10	9	1	90.00%	10.00%
35 FRANKLIN	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
39 GRANVILLE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
42 HALIFAX	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
43 HARNETT	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
51 JOHNSTON	7	6	1	85.71%	14.29%
53 LEE				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
64 NASH	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
91 VANCE	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
92 WAKE	14	14		100.00%	0.00%
93 WARREN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
98 WILSON	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	60	58	2	96.67%	3.33%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	331	325	6	98.19%	1.81%

TEAM 6
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - February 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
9 BLADEN	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
24 COLUMBUS	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	12	12		100.00%	0.00%
31 DUPLIN				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
47 HOKE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
63 MOORE	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
71 PENDER	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
78 ROBESON	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
82 SAMPSON	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
83 SCOTLAND	2	1	1	50.00%	50.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	47	46	1	97.87%	2.13%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	331	325	6	98.19%	1.81%

TEAM 7
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - February 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
8 BERTIE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
15 CAMDEN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
21 CHOWAN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
27 CURRITUCK				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
33 EDGEcombe	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
37 GATES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
46 HERTFORD	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
58 MARTIN				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
66 NORTHAMPTON				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
70 PASQUOTANK	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
72 PERQUIMANS				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
94 WASHINGTON	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	12	12	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	331	325	6	98.19%	1.81%

TEAM 8
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - February 2006

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
7 BEAUFORT	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
16 CARTERET	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
25 CRAVEN	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
28 DARE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
40 GREENE				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
48 HYDE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
52 JONES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
67 ONSLOW	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
69 PAMLICO				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
89 TYRRELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	5	4	1	80.00%	20.00%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	34	33	1	97.06%	2.94%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	331	325	6	98.19%	1.81%