

**TEAM 1**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
11 BUNCOMBE	14	13	1	92.86%	7.14%
20 CHEROKEE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
22 CLAY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
44 HAYWOOD	4	4	0	100.00%	0.00%
45 HENDERSON	3	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
50 JACKSON	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
56 MACON	3	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
57 MADISON	2	2	0	100.00%	0.00%
75 POLK			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
87 SWAIN	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
88 TRANSYLVANIA	3	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>96.97%</b>	<b>3.03%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>526</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>98.29%</b>	<b>1.71%</b>

**TEAM 2**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
2 ALEXANDER	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
3 ALLEGHANY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
5 ASHE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
6 AVERY	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
12 BURKE	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
14 CALDWELL	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
23 CLEVELAND	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
59 MCDOWELL	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
61 MITCHELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
97 WILKES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
0 YANCEY	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#REF!
<b>TEAM</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
<b>STATE</b>	<b>526</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>98.29%</b>	<b>1.71%</b>

**TEAM 3**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
4 ANSON	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
13 CABARRUS	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
18 CATAWBA	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
36 GASTON	15	13	2	86.67%	13.33%
49 IREDELL	6	6		100.00%	0.00%
55 LINCOLN	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
60 MECKLENBURG	63	63		100.00%	0.00%
62 MONTGOMERY	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
77 RICHMOND	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
80 ROWAN	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
84 STANLY	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
90 UNION	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	131	129	2	98.47%	1.53%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	526	517	9	98.29%	1.71%

**TEAM 4**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
1 ALAMANCE	10	10		100.00%	0.00%
17 CASWELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
29 DAVIDSON	8	7	1	87.50%	12.50%
30 DAVIE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
34 FORSYTH	16	16		100.00%	0.00%
41 GUILFORD	27	27		100.00%	0.00%
68 ORANGE	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
73 PERSON	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
76 RANDOLPH	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
85 STOKES	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
86 SURRY	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
99 YADKIN	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	95	94	1	98.95%	1.05%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	526	517	9	98.29%	1.71%

**TEAM 5**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
19 CHATHAM	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
32 DURHAM	16	15	1	93.75%	6.25%
35 FRANKLIN	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
39 GRANVILLE	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
42 HALIFAX	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
43 HARNETT	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
51 JOHNSTON	10	9	1	90.00%	10.00%
53 LEE	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
64 NASH	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
91 VANCE	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
92 WAKE	24	24		100.00%	0.00%
93 WARREN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
98 WILSON	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	95	93	2	97.89%	2.11%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	526	517	9	98.29%	1.71%

**TEAM 6**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
9 BLADEN	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
10 BRUNSWICK	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
24 COLUMBUS	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	19	19		100.00%	0.00%
31 DUPLIN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
47 HOKE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
63 MOORE	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	12	12		100.00%	0.00%
71 PENDER	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
78 ROBESON	13	13		100.00%	0.00%
82 SAMPSON	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
83 SCOTLAND	3	2	1	66.67%	33.33%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	71	70	1	98.59%	1.41%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	526	517	9	98.29%	1.71%

**TEAM 7**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
8 BERTIE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
15 CAMDEN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
21 CHOWAN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
27 CURRITUCK	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
33 EDGEcombe	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
37 GATES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
46 HERTFORD	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
58 MARTIN				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
66 NORTHAMPTON				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
70 PASQUOTANK	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
72 PERQUIMANS				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
94 WASHINGTON	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	19	19	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	526	517	9	98.29%	1.71%

**TEAM 8**  
**FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES**  
**October 2005 - May 2006**

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
7 BEAUFORT	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
16 CARTERET	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
25 CRAVEN	7	7		100.00%	0.00%
28 DARE	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
40 GREENE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
48 HYDE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
52 JONES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
67 ONSLOW	9	9		100.00%	0.00%
69 PAMLICO				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
89 TYRRELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	8	6	2	75.00%	25.00%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	51	49	2	96.08%	3.92%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	526	517	9	98.29%	1.71%