

TEAM 1
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
11 BUNCOMBE	4	3	1	75.00%	25.00%
20 CHEROKEE				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
22 CLAY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
38 GRAHAM			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
44 HAYWOOD	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
45 HENDERSON	1	1	0	100.00%	0.00%
50 JACKSON			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
56 MACON	2	2	0	100.00%	0.00%
57 MADISON			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
75 POLK			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
87 SWAIN			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
88 TRANSYLVANIA			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	8	7	1	87.50%	12.50%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	144	142	2	98.61%	1.39%

TEAM 2
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
2 ALEXANDER				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
3 ALLEGHANY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
5 ASHE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
6 AVERY	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
12 BURKE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
14 CALDWELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
23 CLEVELAND	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
59 MCDOWELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
61 MITCHELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
81 RUTHERFORD	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
95 WATAUGA	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
97 WILKES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
0 YANCEY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#REF!
TEAM	8	8	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	144	142	2	98.61%	1.39%

TEAM 3
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
4 ANSON				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
13 CABARRUS	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
18 CATAWBA	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
36 GASTON	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
49 IREDELL	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
55 LINCOLN				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
60 MECKLENBURG	18	18		100.00%	0.00%
62 MONTGOMERY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
77 RICHMOND	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
80 ROWAN	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
84 STANLY	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
90 UNION	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	36	36	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	144	142	2	98.61%	1.39%

TEAM 4
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
1 ALAMANCE	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
17 CASWELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
29 DAVIDSON	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
30 DAVIE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
34 FORSYTH	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
41 GUILFORD	8	8		100.00%	0.00%
68 ORANGE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
73 PERSON	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
76 RANDOLPH	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
79 ROCKINGHAM	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
85 STOKES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
86 SURRY	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
99 YADKIN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	29	29	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	144	142	2	98.61%	1.39%

TEAM 5
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
19 CHATHAM	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
32 DURHAM	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
35 FRANKLIN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
39 GRANVILLE				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
42 HALIFAX	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
43 HARNETT	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
51 JOHNSTON	4	4		100.00%	0.00%
53 LEE				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
64 NASH	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
91 VANCE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
92 WAKE	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
93 WARREN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
98 WILSON	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY				#REF!	#REF!
TEAM	25	25	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	144	142	2	98.61%	1.39%

TEAM 6
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
9 BLADEN	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
10 BRUNSWICK				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
24 COLUMBUS	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
26 CUMBERLAND	5	5		100.00%	0.00%
31 DUPLIN				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
47 HOKE				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
63 MOORE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
65 NEW HANOVER	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
71 PENDER				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
78 ROBESON	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
82 SAMPSON	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
83 SCOTLAND	1		1	0.00%	100.00%
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	18	17	1	94.44%	5.56%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	144	142	2	98.61%	1.39%

TEAM 7
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
8 BERTIE				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
15 CAMDEN				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
21 CHOWAN	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
27 CURRITUCK				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
33 EDGEcombe	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
37 GATES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
46 HERTFORD				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
58 MARTIN				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
66 NORTHAMPTON				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
70 PASQUOTANK	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
72 PERQUIMANS				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
94 WASHINGTON				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
STATE AGENCY				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	5	5	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	144	142	2	98.61%	1.39%

TEAM 8
FS NEGATIVE CASE ERROR RATES
October 2005 - November 2005

COUNTY	NO. COMP CASES	NO. CORRECT CASES	NO. INVALID CASES	ACCURACY RATE	ERROR RATE
7 BEAUFORT				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
16 CARTERET	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
25 CRAVEN	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
28 DARE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
40 GREENE				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
48 HYDE	1	1		100.00%	0.00%
52 JONES				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
54 LENOIR	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
67 ONSLOW	3	3		100.00%	0.00%
69 PAMLICO				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
74 PITT	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
89 TYRRELL				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
96 WAYNE	2	2		100.00%	0.00%
STATE AGENCY			0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
TEAM	15	15	0	100.00%	0.00%
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
STATE	144	142	2	98.61%	1.39%